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International Standard on Auditing (ISA) 550 (Revised), “Related Parties,” should be read in the context of the “Preface to the International Standards on Quality Control, Auditing, Assurance and Related Services,” which sets out the application and authority of ISAs.
Introduction

1. The purpose of this International Standard on Auditing (ISA) is to establish standards and provide guidance on the auditor’s responsibilities and audit procedures regarding related parties, and transactions with such parties, when performing an audit of financial statements.

2. **The auditor should plan and perform audit procedures designed to reduce to an acceptably low level the risks of material misstatements in the financial statements resulting from related party relationships and transactions.**

3. The auditor ordinarily addresses the risks of material misstatements resulting from related party relationships and transactions within the context of the applicable financial reporting framework. While an entity may enter into related party transactions as part of its normal business, such transactions may not be conducted at arm’s length. Accordingly, financial reporting frameworks ordinarily require them to be disclosed so that users of the financial statements can understand the nature of the transactions and their financial effects. Financial reporting frameworks may also require disclosure of related party relationships to enable users to understand the nature of the relationships and their potential effects on the financial statements. When the applicable financial reporting framework does not establish such requirements, it is nevertheless important for the auditor to obtain, through the performance of risk assessment procedures, an understanding of the nature and extent of the entity’s related party relationships and transactions to evaluate whether the financial statements are likely to be misleading.1

4. The risk that the entity may not identify and appropriately account for or disclose related party relationships and transactions may be high for a number of reasons, including the following:
   (a) Related parties may operate through an extensive and complex range of relationships and structures, and may enter into complex transactions;
   (b) Related party transactions may be informal; for example, in smaller entities, there may be relationships and transactions with family members that are not fully documented or formally approved;
   (c) Information systems may not be designed to distinguish or summarize transactions and outstanding balances between an entity and its related parties;
   (d) Related party transactions may not be conducted in the normal course of business; for example, some related party transactions may be conducted with no exchange of consideration; and
   (e) Transactions with related parties may be controlled, manipulated, or concealed by management for fraudulent or other purposes.

---

1 ISA 700, “The Independent Auditor’s Report on a Complete Set of General Purpose Financial Statements,” and Proposed ISA 701, “The Independent Auditor’s Report on Other Historical Financial Information,” provide further guidance on the circumstances when financial information could be considered misleading. Section 110 of the IFAC Code of Ethics (Revised) requires a professional accountant not to be associated with misleading financial information.
5. For these reasons, there is an inherent level of uncertainty associated with the complete identification and appropriate accounting for and disclosure of related party relationships and transactions. Nevertheless, the auditor plans and performs the audit to obtain reasonable assurance of identifying material misstatements resulting from these relationships and transactions.

Definitions
6. This ISA refers to the definitions in IAS 24\(^2\) regarding related parties to assist the auditor in understanding the requirements and guidance of the ISA. If the applicable financial reporting framework provides different related party definitions, the auditor refers to those definitions for the purpose of the audit.

7. Other terms used in this ISA are:
   (a) “Arm’s length transaction” – a transaction conducted on such terms and conditions as between a willing buyer and a willing seller acting as if they were unrelated and pursuing their own best interests;
   (b) “Conflict of interest” – in relation to management or those charged with governance, a situation that arises from (i) their being in a position to advance their own personal interests contrary to their fiduciary responsibilities towards the entity, or (ii) having conflicting responsibilities to two or more entities; and
   (c) “Material misstatement resulting from related parties” – a material misstatement of the financial statements due to fraud or error arising from the failure to appropriately account for or disclose related party relationships, transactions or balances as required by the applicable financial reporting framework.

Risk Assessment Procedures
8. The performance of the risk assessment procedures required by ISA 315, “Understanding the Entity and Its Environment and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement,” in relation to related parties involves:
   (a) A discussion of related party matters among the engagement team during audit planning;
   (b) Obtaining an understanding of the entity and its environment in the context of related parties; and
   (c) Performing specific procedures directed towards the identification of related party relationships and transactions not identified or disclosed by management.

Discussion Among the Engagement Team
9. When planning the audit, the auditor should discuss with members of the engagement team the susceptibility of the entity’s financial statements to material misstatement resulting from related parties.

---

\(^2\) See Appendix 1.
10. This discussion, which occurs as part of the discussion among the engagement team required by ISA 315, ordinarily addresses matters that include:

- The nature and extent of the entity’s related party relationships and transactions.
- The importance of remaining alert during the audit to the potential for material misstatement resulting from related parties, and the need to exercise appropriate professional skepticism; for example, when reviewing bank and legal confirmations, and other third party confirmations.
- The circumstances or conditions of the entity that may indicate the existence of unidentified or undisclosed related party relationships or transactions, for example, a complex organizational structure.
- The importance that management and those charged with governance attach to the identification and appropriate accounting for and disclosure of related party relationships and transactions, and the related risk of management override of relevant controls.

Understanding the Entity and Its Environment in the Context of Related Parties

11. When performing the risk assessment procedures required by ISA 315 to understand the entity and its environment, the auditor should obtain an understanding of:

(a) The entity’s relationships with its related parties; and
(b) The entity’s controls, including controls for approving related party transactions, designed to mitigate the risks of material misstatement resulting from related parties.

Understanding the Entity’s Relationships with its Related Parties

12. In obtaining an understanding of the entity’s relationships with its related parties as identified by management, the auditor considers the nature, extent and business rationale of these relationships.

13. Considering the nature and extent of the entity’s related party relationships involves the auditor obtaining an understanding as to how the entity is controlled or significantly influenced, and how it controls or significantly influences other parties. Control or significant influence can ordinarily be recognized by considering a party’s ownership interests in, or extent of voting power over, an entity. Obtaining a full understanding of control relationships can, however, be difficult either because of the complexity of the issue (for example, the exercise of control or significant influence may occur indirectly through intermediate parties, or control may be exercised jointly with other parties), or because relationships with related parties may be concealed by management for fraudulent or other purposes. In some cases, the operation of effective control or significant influence may not be readily apparent for reasons such as:

- A complex shareholding structure;
- The location of shareholder or other relevant records in a foreign or offshore jurisdiction; or
- Control or significant influence over management or those charged with governance by external parties.

Appendix 1 provides examples of definitions of control and significant influence.
14. Understanding the business rationale of the entity’s related party relationships is relevant in evaluating the effects of the relationships on the financial statements. For example, if the entity has control over a transfer pricing arrangement with a related party, an understanding of the business rationale of the relationship and of the transfer pricing arrangement is necessary for the auditor to evaluate the related financial effects (including risks and contingencies) and their disclosure in the financial statements, especially when the related party is not consolidated.

15. In obtaining an understanding of the entity’s related party relationships, the auditor considers, where practicable, the nature, extent and business rationale of the relationships that the entity’s principal owners have with parties that are related to them (as defined by the applicable financial reporting framework), particularly when the principal owners exercise significant or dominant influence over the entity. When such influence exists, there is a higher risk of the owners overriding management to cause the entity to enter into non-arm’s length transactions with other parties related to them. For this reason, it is important to understand the relationships among the various parties within a group that is controlled by dominant principal owners but that falls outside the requirements for consolidation under the applicable financial reporting framework.

_Understanding the Entity’s Related Party Controls_

16. The auditor obtains an understanding of the controls that management has designed and implemented to mitigate the risk of material misstatements resulting from related parties. Such controls include management’s monitoring and other procedures to determine the completeness of identification and the appropriateness of the accounting for and disclosure of related party relationships and transactions in the financial statements.

17. It is particularly important to understand the controls that management has designed and implemented to approve significant related party transactions. Appropriate approval controls, which help to mitigate the risk of fraud, may require that specific types of related party transactions or those that fall within specified criteria (such as those over a specified monetary amount, or those involving actual or perceived conflicts of interest) be reviewed and authorized by appropriate levels of management, by those charged with governance, or, if necessary, by the entity’s shareholders.

18. In obtaining an understanding of the entity’s related party controls, the auditor also considers whether the existence of related parties in which management is known to have control, significant influence, or financial or other interests, may affect the potential for management to override controls. As discussed in ISA 240, “The Auditor’s Responsibility to Consider Fraud in an Audit of Financial Statements,” fraudulent financial reporting and misappropriation of assets often arise through management override of controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. The risk of management override of controls is greater if there are related party relationships involving management, because these relationships may present management with greater incentives and opportunities to perpetrate fraud. For example, management’s financial interests in certain related parties may provide incentives for management to override controls to commit fraud by (a) directing the entity, against its interests, to conclude transactions benefiting the related parties, or (b) colluding with those parties or controlling their actions. Examples of such fraud include:
• Creating fictitious terms of transactions with related parties designed to misrepresent the business rationale of these transactions.

• Fraudulently organizing the transfer of assets to management or others at amounts below market value.

• Engaging in complex transactions with related parties, such as special-purpose entities, that are structured to misrepresent the financial position or financial performance of the entity.

19. The auditor also obtains an understanding as to how those charged with governance exercise oversight of management’s processes for identifying, accounting for, and disclosing related party relationships and transactions. Obtaining this understanding will provide an insight into the general level of awareness of those charged with governance regarding the nature, extent and business rationale of the entity’s related party relationships and transactions, the adequacy of their oversight, and the susceptibility of the entity to management override of controls. Such understanding may be gained through inquiries of those charged with governance, observing meetings at which related party transactions are discussed and approved, or reading minutes of such meetings.

20. Related party controls within some entities may be weak, inadequate or non-existent for a number of reasons, such as:

• The low importance attached by management to related party identification and disclosure;

• The lack of appropriate oversight by those charged with governance;

• An intentional disregard for such controls because related party disclosures may reveal information that management considers sensitive;

• An insufficient understanding by management of the disclosure requirements of the applicable financial reporting framework; or

• The absence of disclosure requirements under the applicable financial reporting framework.

21. The absence of adequate controls over related party relationships and transactions increases the risks that they will not be identified, or appropriately accounted for or disclosed. This may be particularly the case in a small entity environment, where management and those charged with governance are often the same individuals. In such circumstances, the absence of independent oversight and approval of significant related party transactions increases the risk of material misstatement resulting from related parties.

Specific Procedures Directed Towards the Identification of Related Party Relationships and Transactions not Identified or Disclosed by Management

22. Material misstatements resulting from related parties often arise from a failure by management to completely identify or disclose the entity’s related party relationships and transactions. Accordingly, the auditor performs risk assessment procedures directed at identifying related party relationships and transactions not identified or disclosed by management, notwithstanding the inherent uncertainty referred to in paragraph 5 regarding complete identification.
23. The auditor should perform the following procedures designed to identify related party relationships and transactions not identified or disclosed by management:

(a) Make inquiries of individuals, other than management and those charged with governance, likely to have knowledge of related party relationships and transactions;

(b) Review the following documentation for evidence of unidentified or undisclosed related party relationships and transactions:

(i) Minutes of meetings of shareholders, management and those charged with governance;

(ii) Shareholder records relating to the principal owners, and other relevant statutory records;

(iii) Income tax returns and other information supplied to regulatory authorities; and

(iv) Records of the entity’s investments and, where practicable, those of its pension plans;

(c) Identify significant and unusual transactions to evaluate whether related parties are involved; and

(d) Identify special-purpose entities that have some connection with the entity or its principal officers to evaluate whether they involve unidentified or undisclosed related party relationships or transactions.

Inquiries of Individuals Other than Management and Those Charged with Governance

24. The auditor inquires of individuals other than management and those charged with governance regarding the possible existence of related party relationships or transactions not identified or disclosed by management. These individuals include, for example:

(a) Personnel in a position to initiate, process or record significant and unusual transactions, and those who supervise or monitor such personnel;

(b) Internal audit;

(c) In-house legal counsel; and

(d) The chief ethics officer or equivalent person.

Identification of Significant and Unusual Transactions

25. To assist in identifying related party relationships and transactions not identified or disclosed by management, the auditor performs procedures to identify significant and unusual transactions, and evaluates whether related parties are involved. Such significant and unusual transactions include, for example:

- Large equity transactions, such as corporate restructurings or acquisitions.
- Transactions involving management from which they may be expected to benefit financially.
- The leasing of premises or the rendering of management services by the entity to another
party at no charge.

- Significant sales transactions with unusually large discounts or returns.

Identification of Special-Purpose Entities that Have Some Connection with the Entity or its Principal Officers

26. Special-purpose entities, sometimes referred to as structured finance entities, are usually established for limited purposes, such as providing financing, liquidity, hedging or credit support. They may be structured in various ways, such as in the form of corporations, partnerships, trusts, or other types of arrangements. In many cases, they lack physical operating characteristics, and this makes it relatively easier for them to be set up and dissolved. In addition, these entities tend not to be consolidated and often do not issue financial statements. For these reasons, it is important that the auditor (a) identifies special-purpose entities that have had some connection with the entity or its principal officers during the reporting period, and (b) evaluates the nature, extent and business rationale of these relationships to determine whether they involve unidentified or undisclosed related party relationships or transactions.

Assessment of the Risks of Material Misstatement Resulting from Related Parties

27. As part of the identification and assessment of risks of material misstatement required by ISA 315, the auditor identifies and assesses the risks of material misstatement resulting from related parties, and determines which of the identified risks are significant risks.

28. Risks of material misstatement resulting from related parties may arise not only in relation to the non-identification of related party relationships and transactions, but also when identified related party relationships and transactions are not appropriately accounted for or disclosed. Misstatements resulting from the inappropriate accounting for related party relationships and transactions may arise in various ways, such as:

- Fraudulent financial reporting, for example, by accounting for the form and not the substance of the transactions.
- Fraud through misappropriation of assets, for example, the execution of a significant unauthorized contract at below market value with a related party.
- Errors in the financial statements, by not accounting for all the financial effects of the transactions as required by the applicable financial reporting framework (for example, not recognizing a related party transaction involving non-monetary consideration at fair value when required).

As ISA 240 indicates, those assessed risks that could result in a material misstatement due to fraud are significant risks.

Responses to the Risks of Material Misstatement Resulting from Related Parties

29. ISA 330, “The Auditor’s Procedures in Response to Assessed Risks,” requires the auditor to design and perform audit procedures whose nature, timing, and extent respond to the assessed risks of material misstatement at both the financial statement and assertion levels. This ISA focuses on specific responses at the assertion level only.
Testing the Operating Effectiveness of Internal Control

30. ISA 330 sets out the circumstances under which the auditor is required to perform tests of the operating effectiveness of controls, and explains the effect of reliance on controls on the extent of planned substantive procedures.

31. Testing the operating effectiveness of controls designed to mitigate the risks of material misstatement resulting from related parties is likely to be an appropriate response when controls exist for the identification, accounting for, and disclosure of related party relationships and transactions, and those controls are monitored by appropriate levels of management and, where applicable, those charged with governance.

Substantive Procedures Responsive to Assessed Risks

32. ISA 330 provides guidance on the nature, timing and extent of the substantive procedures that the auditor may design to respond to assessed risks of material misstatement resulting from related parties. Appendix 2 of ISA 240 lists possible audit procedures to respond to assessed risks of material misstatement due to fraud, some of which may also be relevant in addressing the assessed risks of material misstatement resulting from related parties.

Responses to Significant Risks

33. Where the auditor has identified significant risks, the auditor:

(a) To the extent not already done, (i) evaluates the design of the entity’s related party controls, and (ii) determines whether they have been implemented (paragraph 113 of ISA 315);

(b) Obtains audit evidence about the operating effectiveness of these controls (on which the auditor plans to rely) from tests of control performed in the current period (paragraph 44 of ISA 330); and

(c) Performs substantive procedures that specifically respond to the significant risks (paragraph 51 of ISA 330).

34. The nature, timing and extent of substantive procedures that the auditor may perform to respond to significant risks depend upon the nature of those risks and the circumstances of the entity. For example:

- Where the auditor identifies significant risks in relation to significant and unusual related party transactions, the auditor determines whether the transactions were properly approved. In addition, where the identified risks are significant risks of fraud, the auditor follows the requirements and guidance in ISA 240 in responding to those risks, including obtaining an understanding of the business rationale of the transactions.

- Where the identified risk is a significant risk regarding the accounting or disclosure of specific related party transactions, the auditor may perform further procedures to obtain corroborative audit evidence regarding the nature and business rationale of the transactions, such as:
  - Confirming the purposes, specific terms or amounts of the transactions with the related parties.
- Confirming or discussing specific aspects of the transactions with intermediaries such as banks, law firms, guarantors, or agents.

- Reading the financial statements or other relevant financial information of the related parties for evidence of the accounting of the transactions in the related parties’ books.

- Where the identified risk is a significant risk that unidentified related party relationships and transactions may exist, the auditor may perform further procedures such as:
  
  - Conducting a detailed review of accounting records for transactions with (a) specific characteristics, such as terms that deviate significantly from known market terms, or (b) unusual patterns or trends, such as regular advances to a third party. Such a review may be facilitated using computer-assisted audit techniques.
  
  - Making inquiries, where practicable, of parties outside the entity who are presumed to have significant knowledge of the entity and its business, such as principal agents, major representatives, consultants, guarantors, or other close business partners.
  
  - Investigating the entity’s relationships with major suppliers and customers, such as requesting confirmation as to whether they are related, reading their financial statements or other relevant financial information, or inquiring of relevant information sources regarding their ownership.

35. If the auditor determines that a related party transaction has not been properly approved, and discussion with management and those charged with governance does not lead to a resolution of the matter, the auditor should consider the implications for the auditor’s report.

Identification of Previously Unidentified or Undisclosed Related Party Relationships or Transactions

36. When the auditor identifies related party relationships or transactions not previously identified or disclosed by management, the auditor should:

   (a) Promptly communicate the identities of the newly identified related parties to the rest of the engagement team to enable them to determine whether this new information affects the results of, and conclusions drawn from, audit procedures already performed;

   (b) Request management to identify transactions with the newly identified related parties;

   (c) Investigate why the entity’s internal control did not lead to the identification or disclosure of the related party relationships or transactions; and

   (d) Evaluate whether it is necessary to reevaluate the risks of material misstatement resulting from related parties and, if so, evaluate the implications for other aspects of the audit, including the reliance placed on other representations made by management and those charged with governance during the audit.
Communication with Those Charged with Governance

37. **The auditor should discuss with those charged with governance the nature, extent and business rationale of significant related party relationships and transactions, including those involving actual or perceived conflicts of interest.**

38. The discussion enables the auditor to:

   (a) Confirm that those charged with governance are fully aware of the nature and extent of significant related party relationships and transactions and their effects on the financial statements;

   (b) Establish a common understanding with those charged with governance of the business rationale and propriety of the related party relationships and transactions, especially those involving actual or perceived conflicts of interest, and corroborate responses from management to inquiries the auditor has made into related party matters;

   (c) Alert those charged with governance to specific related party relationships and transactions of which they may not have been aware, to enable them to take appropriate action where necessary;

   (d) Review with those charged with governance the completeness, accuracy and transparency of management’s related party disclosures, and the appropriateness of the accounting for related party relationships and transactions; and

   (e) Resolve identified related party issues, such as disagreements regarding the nature and extent of disclosure, on a timely basis.

Written Representations

39. **The auditor should obtain written representations from management and, where appropriate, those charged with governance concerning:**

   (a) The completeness of information provided to the auditor regarding related party relationships and transactions;

   (b) The completeness, accuracy and transparency of related party disclosures in the financial statements; and

   (c) The appropriateness of the accounting for related party relationships and transactions.

40. Written representations include confirmation from management and, where appropriate, those charged with governance that they have disclosed to the auditor all relevant information relating to identified related parties, and that they are not aware of any other related party matters required to be disclosed in the financial statements in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework. Such representations emphasize to management and, where appropriate, those charged with governance their responsibility to disclose the identities of related parties to the auditor even if there have been no transactions with such parties. The representations may also address, where appropriate, specific related party issues, such as the existence of undisclosed side agreements on significant related party transactions.
41. Circumstances in which it may be appropriate to obtain written representations from those charged with governance include:

- When they have approved specific related party transactions that (a) materially affect the financial statements, or (b) involve management.
- When they have made specific oral representations to the auditor regarding details of certain related party transactions.
- When they have financial or other interests in the related party transactions.
- When they are responsible for the financial statements.
- When the auditor has determined that a significant risk of unidentified or undisclosed related party relationships or transactions exists.

**Evaluation of Related Party Disclosures and Reporting**

42. Paragraph 13 of ISA 700, “The Independent Auditor’s Report on a Complete Set of General Purpose Financial Statements,” and paragraph 22 of proposed ISA 701, “The Independent Auditor’s Report on Other Historical Financial Information,” provide guidance on the auditor’s considerations when forming an opinion on the financial statements or other historical financial information, including evaluating related party disclosures.

43. When evaluating a potential misstatement relating to a related party transaction, the auditor considers both the quantitative and qualitative aspects of the materiality of the transaction, as:

(a) The monetary value of the transaction may not be relevant in evaluating the significance of the transaction; or
(b) There may be no objective basis for measuring the transaction.

For example, the applicable financial reporting framework may deem transactions between the entity and those charged with governance to be material regardless of the amounts involved.

44. In evaluating the related party disclosures, the auditor considers whether they adequately and appropriately summarize the facts and circumstances of the related party relationships and transactions known to the auditor so that they are understandable and not misleading. Disclosures of related party transactions may be potentially misleading if:

(a) The business rationale and the effects of the transactions on the financial statements are unclear or misstated; or
(b) Key terms, conditions, or other important elements of the transactions necessary for understanding them are not appropriately disclosed.

**Disclosures Asserting Related Party Transactions to be at Arm’s Length**

45. When disclosures of related party transactions state or otherwise suggest that the transactions were conducted at arm’s length, the auditor should obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding such assertion.
46. Management is responsible for substantiating any assertion that a related party transaction was conducted at arm’s length. Such an assertion may explicitly state that the transaction was at arm’s length or otherwise suggest that the transaction was consummated on terms equivalent or similar to those prevailing in transactions with unrelated parties. The auditor evaluates management’s support for the assertion, which may include:

- Comparing the terms of the related party transaction to those of an identical transaction with one or more unrelated parties;
- Engaging an external expert to determine a market value for the transaction; or
- Comparing the terms of the transaction to known market terms for broadly similar transactions on an open market.

47. Evaluating management’s support for the arm’s length assertion involves the following:

(a) Considering the appropriateness of management’s methodology for supporting the assertion;
(b) Verifying the source of the internal or external data supporting the assertion, and testing the data to determine their accuracy, completeness and relevance; and
(c) When the substantiation rests on significant assumptions, considering whether they reasonably support the assertion.

48. The form and nature of a related party transaction often make it impracticable for management to objectively substantiate an arm’s length assertion.

49. **When the auditor is unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding management’s assertion that a related party transaction has been conducted at arm’s length, the auditor should request that management withdraw the assertion. If management disagrees, the auditor should consider the implications for the auditor’s report.**

**Effective Date**

50. This ISA is effective for audits of financial statements for periods commencing on or after [   ]. Early application of this ISA is permitted.
Definitions in IAS 24 (Revised)

**Related party** – A party is related to an entity if:

a) directly, or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, the party:
   i) controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with, the entity (this includes parents, subsidiaries and fellow subsidiaries);
   ii) has an interest in the entity that gives it significant influence over the entity; or
   iii) has joint control over the entity;

b) the party is an associate (as defined in IAS 28, “Investments in Associates”) of the entity;

c) the party is a joint venture in which the entity is a venturer (see IAS 31, “Interests in Joint Ventures”);

d) the party is a member of the key management personnel of the entity or its parent;

e) the party is a close member of the family of any individual referred to in (a) or (d);

f) the party is an entity that is controlled, jointly controlled or significantly influenced by, or for which significant voting power in such entity resides with, directly or indirectly, any individual referred to in (d) or (e); or

g) the party is a post-employment benefit plan for the benefit of employees of the entity, or of any entity that is a related party of the entity.

A related party transaction is a transfer of resources, services or obligations between related parties, regardless of whether a price is charged.

**Close members of the family** of an individual are those family members who may be expected to influence, or be influenced by, that individual in their dealings with the entity. They may include:

a) the individual's domestic partner and children;

b) children of the individual's domestic partner; and

c) dependants of the individual or the individual's domestic partner.

**Control** is the power to govern the financial and operating policies of an entity so as to obtain benefits from its activities.

**Joint control** is the contractually agreed sharing of control over an economic activity.

**Key management personnel** are those persons having authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the entity, directly or indirectly, including any director (whether executive or otherwise) of that entity.
Significant influence is the power to participate in the financial and operating policy decisions of an entity, but is not control over those policies. Significant influence may be gained by share ownership, statute or agreement.
Amendments to ISAs 200 (Revised) and 700 (Revised), and Proposed ISA 705 as a Result of the Revision to ISA 550

ISA 200 (Revised), “Objective and General Principles Governing an Audit of Financial Statements”

The following paragraph in ISA 200 (Revised) is amended as marked:

20. Further, other limitations may affect the persuasiveness of audit evidence available to draw conclusions on particular assertions8 (for example, transactions between related parties). In these cases certain ISAs identify specified audit procedures which will, because of the nature of the particular assertions, provide sufficient appropriate audit evidence in the absence of:

(a) Unusual circumstances which increase the risk of material misstatement beyond that which would ordinarily be expected; or

(b) Any indication that a material misstatement has occurred.


The following paragraph in ISA 700 (Revised) is amended as marked:

13. Forming an opinion as to whether the financial statements give a true and fair view or are presented fairly, in all material respects, in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework involves evaluating whether the financial statements have been prepared and presented in accordance with the specific requirements of the applicable financial reporting framework for particular classes of transactions, account balances and disclosures. This evaluation includes considering whether, in the context of the applicable financial reporting framework:

(a) The accounting policies selected and applied are consistent with the financial reporting framework and are appropriate in the circumstances;

(b) The accounting estimates made by management are reasonable in the circumstances;

(c) The information presented in the financial statements, including accounting policies, is relevant, reliable, comparable and understandable; and

(d) Related party disclosures reflect the business rationale of material related party relationships and transactions, and are complete and accurate; and

(e) The financial statements provide sufficient disclosures to enable users to understand the effect of other material transactions and events on the information conveyed in the financial statements, for example, in the case of financial statements prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRSs), the entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows.

Paragraphs 15-18 of ISA 500, “Audit Evidence,” discuss the use of assertions in obtaining audit evidence.
Proposed ISA 705, “Modifications to the Opinion in the Independent Auditor’s Report”

The following paragraphs in proposed ISA 705 are amended as marked:

9. This ISA establishes three types of modified opinions, namely, a qualified opinion, an adverse opinion, and a disclaimer of opinion, the choice of which will depend upon the auditor’s judgment about the materiality and pervasiveness of the matter giving rise to the modification.

Disagreements with Management

(a) The auditor expresses a qualified opinion (see paragraph 25) when the auditor concludes that the effect of any disagreement with management, while material, is not pervasive; accordingly, except for the matter giving rise to the modification, the financial statements are prepared in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework and are not misleading; thus they do not require an adverse opinion.

(b) The auditor expresses an adverse opinion (see paragraph 28) when the auditor concludes that the effect of a disagreement with management is material and pervasive to the financial statements such that a qualified opinion is not adequate to disclose the misleading nature of the financial statements.

Inability to Obtain Sufficient Appropriate Audit Evidence

(c) The auditor expresses a qualified opinion (see paragraph 25) when the auditor concludes that the possible effect of an inability to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence, while material, in the auditor’s judgment could not be pervasive; therefore, the financial statements are not misleading and do not require a disclaimer of opinion. This may be the case when it is possible to determine and clearly describe in the auditor’s report which financial statement line items or disclosures are or may be affected by the inability to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence.

(d) The auditor expresses a disclaimer of opinion (see paragraph 31) when the possible effect of an inability to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence is material and pervasive to the financial statements such that the auditor is unable to express an opinion on the financial statements.

See paragraph 23 for a discussion of materiality and paragraph 24 for a discussion of pervasiveness.

18. The auditor’s inability to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence may be imposed by circumstances. For example,

- When the timing of the auditor’s appointment is such that the auditor is unable to observe the counting of the physical inventories;
- When the entity’s accounting records have been destroyed due to a fire.
- When the auditor determines that testing relevant related party controls is a necessary audit procedure but is unable to do so as (i) the internal control system has not been designed to identify, record, account for, and disclose related party relationships and
transactions; or (ii) the design or implementation of the internal control system is inadequate for these purposes.

The auditor considers whether it is possible to perform alternative procedures to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence on which to base an unmodified opinion. If performing alternative procedures is not possible, the auditor will not be able to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence and, accordingly, qualifies the opinion or disclaims an opinion.