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Appendix 1: Definitions in IAS 24 (Revised)

International Standard on Auditing (ISA) 550 (Revised), “Related Parties,” should be read in the
context of the “Preface to the International Standards on Quality Control, Auditing, Assurance
and Related Services,” which sets out the application and authority of ISAs.
Introduction

1. The purpose of this International Standard on Auditing (ISA) is to establish standards and provide guidance on the auditor’s responsibilities and audit procedures regarding related parties, and transactions with such parties, when performing an audit of financial statements. These standards and guidance may also be relevant for audits of historical financial information other than financial statements.

2. An entity may enter into related party transactions as part of its normal business. Because these transactions may not be conducted at arm’s length, financial reporting frameworks ordinarily require them to be disclosed, so that users of financial statements can understand the nature of the transactions and their financial effects. Financial reporting frameworks may also require disclosure of related party relationships to enable users to understand the nature of the relationships and their potential effects on the financial statements.

32. **In planning and performing the audit to reduce audit risk to an acceptably low level, the auditor should plan and perform audit procedures designed to reduce to an acceptably low level the risks of material misstatements in the financial statements resulting from related party relationships and transactions in the context of the entity’s applicable financial reporting framework.**

3. The auditor ordinarily addresses the risks of material misstatements resulting from related party relationships and transactions within the context of the applicable financial reporting framework. While an entity may enter into related party transactions as part of its normal business, such transactions may not be conducted at arm’s length. Accordingly, financial reporting frameworks ordinarily require them to be disclosed so that users of the financial statements can understand the nature of the transactions and their financial effects. Financial reporting frameworks may also require disclosure of related party relationships to enable users to understand the nature of the relationships and their potential effects on the financial statements. When the applicable financial reporting framework does not establish such requirements, it is nevertheless important for the auditor to obtain, through the performance of risk assessment procedures, an understanding of the nature and extent of the entity’s related party relationships and transactions to evaluate whether the financial statements are likely to be misleading.1

4. The risk that the entity may not identify and appropriately account for or disclose related party relationships and transactions may be high for a number of reasons, including the following:

(a) Related parties may operate through an extensive and complex range of relationships and structures, and may enter into complex transactions;

---

1 ISA 700, “The Independent Auditor’s Report on a Complete Set of General Purpose Financial Statements,” and Proposed ISA 701, “The Independent Auditor’s Report on Other Historical Financial Information,” provide further guidance on the circumstances when financial information could be considered misleading. Section 110 of the IFAC Code of Ethics (Revised) requires a professional accountant not to be associated with misleading financial information.
(b) Related party transactions may be informal; for example, in smaller entities, there may be relationships and transactions with family members that are not fully documented or formally approved;

(c) Information systems may not be designed to distinguish or summarize transactions and outstanding balances between an entity and its related parties;

(e) Not all related party transactions may be conducted with an exchange of consideration;

(d) Related party transactions may not be conducted in the normal course of business; for example, some related party transactions may be conducted with no exchange of consideration; and

(e) Transactions with related parties may be controlled, manipulated, or concealed by management for fraudulent or other purposes.

5. For these reasons, there is an inherent level of uncertainty associated with financial statement assertions regarding the complete related party identification and appropriate accounting for and disclosure of related party relationships and transactions. Nevertheless, the auditor plans and performs the audit to obtain a reasonable expectation of identifying all material misstatements resulting from these related party relationships and transactions.

Definitions

6. This ISA refers to the definitions in IAS 24 to assist the auditor in understanding the requirements and guidance of the ISA. If the applicable financial reporting framework provides different related party definitions, the auditor refers to those definitions for the purpose of the audit.

7. Other terms used in this ISA are:

(a) “Arm’s length transaction” means a transaction conducted on such terms and conditions as between a willing buyer and a willing seller acting as if they were unrelated and pursuing their own best interests;

(b) “Conflict of interest” means, in relation to management or those charged with governance, a situation that arises from (i) their being in a position to advance their own personal interests contrary to their fiduciary responsibilities towards the entity, or (ii) having conflicting responsibilities to two or more entities that are conflicting; and

(c) “Horizontal group” means a group of entities that are related through common control or significant influence but that falls outside the requirements for consolidation under the applicable financial reporting framework;

(d) “Material misstatement due to resulting from related parties” means a material misstatement of the financial statements due to fraud or error arising from:

(i) The non-disclosure of the failure to appropriately account for or disclose related party relationships, transactions or balances that is as required by the applicable
financial reporting framework; or is otherwise needed for fair presentation of the financial statements when the financial reporting framework is designed to achieve fair presentation;

(ii) The incomplete, unclear or misleading disclosure or presentation of such relationships or transactions; or

(iii) Other financial effects of these relationships or transactions, including fraud;

(e) “Related party risk factors” means events or conditions affecting the entity that indicate an increased risk that undisclosed related party relationships or transactions exist; and

(f) References to disclosure of related party transactions include disclosure of related party balances where required by the applicable financial reporting framework.

Responsibilities of Management and Those Charged with Governance

8. Management is responsible for identifying and disclosing related party relationships and transactions in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework. This responsibility requires management to design and implement adequate controls to achieve such an objective.

9. Those charged with governance are responsible for monitoring how management has discharged its responsibilities for identifying and disclosing related party relationships and transactions in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework. In carrying out that responsibility, those charged with governance need to understand the nature and business purposes of the related party relationships and transactions, and their effects on the financial statements.

Risk Assessment Procedures

8. The performance of the risk assessment procedures required by ISA 315, “Understanding the Entity and Its Environment and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement,” in relation to related parties involves:

(a) A discussion of related party matters among the engagement team during audit planning;

(b) Obtaining an understanding of the entity and its environment in the context of related parties; and

(c) Performing specific procedures directed towards the identification of related party relationships and transactions not identified or disclosed by management.

Initial Discussion Among the Engagement Team

109. When planning the audit, the auditor as part of the initial discussion among the engagement team required by ISA 315, “Understanding the Entity and Its Environment and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement,” members of the team should discuss with members of the engagement team the susceptibility of the entity’s financial statements to material misstatement resulting from related parties:

(a) The nature and extent of the entity’s related party relationships and transactions; and
(b) The circumstances or conditions of the entity that may indicate the existence of undisclosed related party relationships or transactions.

11. This discussion, ordinarily among key members of the engagement team, provides an opportunity for the more experienced team members to share their insights regarding how the financial statements are, or may be, affected by related party relationships and transactions. The discussion is based on knowledge gained from previous audits and from audit procedures performed during the client acceptance and continuance process. For an initial engagement, the discussion may also be based on information obtained from communicating with previous auditors.

1210. This discussion, which occurs as part of the discussion among the engagement team required by ISA 315, ordinarily addresses matters that are ordinarily discussed include:

- The nature and extent of the entity’s related party relationships and transactions.
- The importance that management and those charged with governance attach to the identification and disclosure of related party relationships and transactions.
- The importance of remaining alert during the audit to the potential for material misstatement due to related parties, and the need to exercise appropriate professional skepticism; for example, when reviewing bank and legal confirmations, and other third party confirmations.
- The ways in which members of the engagement team believe the financial statements may be at risk of material misstatement due to related parties, and how management could perpetrate and conceal fraud through related party mechanisms.
- The related party implications of the entity’s organizational structure and business, such as complex capital structures or ownership issues, and extensive transactions with offshore entities.
- The circumstances or conditions of the entity that may indicate the existence of unidentified or undisclosed related party relationships or transactions, for example, a complex organizational structure.
- The audit procedures that might assist to assess and respond to the risks of material misstatement due to related parties, and whether certain types of audit procedures would be more effective than others.
- The importance that management and those charged with governance attach to the identification and appropriate accounting for and disclosure of related party relationships and transactions, and the related risk of management override of relevant controls.

Risk-Assessment Procedures Understanding the Entity and Its Environment in the Context of Related Parties

113. As part of When performing the risk assessment procedures required by ISA 315, to understand the entity and its environment, the auditor should obtain an understanding of:

(a) Inquire of management and others within the entity regarding the identities of related parties;
(b) Obtain an understanding of the entity’s relationships with its related and other parties; and

(e) Obtain an understanding of how management identifies and discloses the entity’s controls, including controls for approving related party relationships and transactions, designed to mitigate the risks of material misstatement resulting from related parties.

(d) Consider whether one or more related party risk factors are present; and

(e) Consider whether other information obtained indicates risks of material misstatement due to related parties.

Inquiries of Management and Others Within the Entity

14. The auditor obtains, through inquiries of management and others within the entity, a complete and up to date list of related parties to assist in the performance of risk assessment and further audit procedures. For a recurring audit, the auditor’s inquiries may be limited to updating the auditor’s knowledge of the related parties gained from previous audits.

15. Regardless of whether they are considered part of management, the auditor inquires of the following persons within the entity regarding related parties:

(a) Those charged with governance;

(b) Personnel who initiate, process or record significant and unusual transactions, and those who supervise or monitor such personnel;

(c) Internal audit;

(d) In-house legal counsel; and

(e) The chief ethics officer or equivalent person.

In addition, the auditor may inquire of other personnel considered appropriate.

16. The auditor may also identify related parties through the performance of other audit procedures, including:

• Reviewing significant investment transactions during the period.

• Reviewing shareholder records containing the names of the principal shareholders.

• Reviewing minutes of the meetings of shareholders and those charged with governance, and other relevant statutory records.

• Where appropriate, inquiring of previous auditors regarding the identities of related parties.

Understanding the Entity’s Relationships with its Related and Other Parties

127. In obtaining an understanding of the entity’s relationships with its related and other parties as identified by management, the auditor considers the nature, extent and substance-business rationale of these relationships.
(a) The entity’s relationships with related parties that have been identified;
(b) The relationships between the entity’s principal owners and parties that may be related to them; and
(c) The entity’s relationships with special-purpose entities.

14813. In considering the nature and extent of the entity’s identified related party relationships, involves the auditor obtaining an understanding as to how the entity is controlled or significantly influenced, and how it controls or significantly influences other parties. Control or significant influence can ordinarily be recognized by considering a party’s ownership interests in, or extent of voting power over, an entity. Obtaining a full understanding of control relationships can, however, be difficult either because of the complexity of the issue (for example, the exercise of control or significant influence may occur indirectly through intermediate parties, or control may also be exercised jointly with other parties), or because relationships with related parties may be concealed by management for fraudulent or other purposes. Control or significant influence can ordinarily be recognized by considering a party’s ownership interests in, or extent of voting power over, an entity. In other some cases, the operation of effective control or significant influence may not be readily apparent for reasons such as:

- A complex shareholding structure;
- The location of shareholder or other relevant records in a foreign or offshore jurisdiction; or
- Control or significant influence over management or those charged with governance by external parties.

149. Understanding the substance business rationale of the entity’s identified related party relationships is relevant in evaluating the effects of the relationships on the financial statements. For example, if the entity may have has control over a transfer pricing arrangement with a related party, an understanding of the business rationale substance of the relationship and of the transfer pricing arrangement is necessary for the auditor to evaluate the related financial effects (including risks and contingencies) and their disclosure in the financial statements, especially when the related party is not consolidated.

1520. In obtaining an understanding of the entity’s related party relationships, it is also appropriate to the auditor considers, where practicable, the nature, extent and substance business rationale of the relationships that the entity’s principal owners might have with parties that may be are related to them, (as defined by the applicable financial reporting frameworks), often define parties to be related if they are under common control. Further, it is appropriate to consider whether particularly when the principal owners exercise significant or dominant influence over the entity. When dominant such influence exists, there is a higher risk of the owners overriding controls management to cause the entity to enter into non-arm’s length transactions with other

---

3 Appendix 1 provides examples of definitions of control and significant influence.
parties related to them. A horizontal group represents one type of structure where For this reason, it is important to an understanding of the relationships among the various parties within the a group is important. The relationships within such a group may be difficult to identify completely as the group that is controlled by dominant principal owners but that falls outside the requirements for consolidation under the applicable financial reporting framework.

21. The auditor needs to understand the nature and extent of the entity’s relationships with special-purpose entities, as these may act as vehicles for related party transactions. Such entities are ordinarily established for limited purposes, such as providing financing, liquidity, hedging or credit support. They may be in the form of corporations, partnerships, trusts, or other types of arrangements. In many cases, they do not have physical operating characteristics, which makes it relatively easier for them to be set up and dissolved. In addition, these entities tend not to be consolidated and often do not issue financial statements. For these reasons, it is important for the auditor to understand their substance and the purposes for which they have been set up as they may involve previously undisclosed related parties.

22. In identifying related party relationships not previously disclosed, the auditor may also consider the need to understand the nature and substance of the entity’s relationships with:
- Its major suppliers and customers; and
- Other parties with which it has entered into significant contracts or agreements.

Understanding the Entity’s Management’s Identification and Disclosure of Related Party Controls Relationships and Transactions
23. As required by ISA 315, the auditor obtains an understanding of the controls that management has designed and implemented. This understanding helps the auditor to (a) identify types of potential misstatements, (b) consider factors that may affect the risks of material misstatement, and (c) design the nature, timing and extent of further audit procedures.

24. Where the entity has controls relating to related parties, the auditor may decide to rely on them. In that case, the auditor evaluates whether the controls have been properly designed and implemented, and have operated effectively throughout the period under audit. Examples of such controls include policies and procedures dealing with:
- The declaration and updating of the interests management and those charged with governance hold in related parties at appropriate times during the reporting period.
- The assignment of responsibilities within the entity for identifying, recording, and summarizing related party transactions.
- The communication of newly identified related parties to appropriate entity personnel so that transactions between the entity and such parties are properly recorded and disclosed.
- The review and approval by those charged with governance of related party transactions.

---
4 See illustrations in Appendix 2.
5 Special-purpose entities are sometimes referred to as structured finance entities.
involving management.

- The specific assignment to an appropriate level of management of overall responsibility for reviewing the completeness, adequacy, and accuracy of related party disclosures prepared by other entity personnel.

2516. The auditor obtains an understanding of the controls that management has designed and implemented to mitigate the risk of material misstatements resulting from related parties. Such controls procedures the auditor may perform to obtain an understanding of the entity’s controls addressing related parties include:

- management’s monitoring and other procedures Inquiring of relevant entity personnel how they to determine the completeness of identification and the appropriateness of the accounting for and disclosure of related party relationships and transactions in the financial statements.

- Inquiring how management and those charged with governance declare and update their interests in related parties. The process they use may be systematic, involving confirmations at specific times during the reporting period, or it may be made a specific responsibility for them to report their interests to the entity.

- Inquiring how management and those charged with governance approve related party transactions involving actual or perceived conflicts of interest.

- Inquiring into the controls for monitoring disclosure.

17. It is particularly important to understand the controls that management has designed and implemented to approve significant related party transactions. Appropriate approval controls, which help to mitigate the risk of fraud, may require that specific types of related party transactions or those that fall within specified criteria (such as those over a specified monetary amount, or those involving actual or perceived conflicts of interest) be reviewed and authorized by appropriate levels of management, by those charged with governance, or, if necessary, by the entity’s shareholders.

2618. In obtaining an understanding of the entity’s related party controls, the auditor also considers how whether the existence of related parties in which management is known to have control, significant influence, or financial or other interests, may affect the potential for management to override controls to perpetrate fraud. As discussed in ISA 240, “The Auditor’s Responsibility to Consider Fraud in an Audit of Financial Statements,” fraudulent financial reporting and misappropriation of assets often arise through management override of controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. The risk of management override of controls is greater if there are related party relationships involving management, because these relationships may present management with greater incentives and opportunities to perpetrate fraud. For example, management’s financial interests in certain related parties may provide incentives for management to override controls to commit fraud by (a) directing the entity, against its interests, to conclude transactions benefiting the related parties, or (b) colluding with those parties or directing controlling their actions. Examples of such fraud include:

- Creating fictitious terms of transactions with related parties designed to misrepresent the substance business rationale of these transactions.
• Authorizing Fraudulently organizing the transfer of assets to related parties-management or others at amounts significantly below market value.

• Engaging in complex transactions with related parties, such as special-purpose entities, that are structured to misrepresent the financial position or financial performance of the entity.

19. The auditor also obtains an understanding as to how those charged with governance exercise oversight of management’s processes for identifying, accounting for, and disclosing related party relationships and transactions. Obtaining this understanding will provide an insight into the general level of awareness of those charged with governance regarding the nature, extent and business rationale of the entity’s related party relationships and transactions, the adequacy of their oversight, and the susceptibility of the entity to management override of controls. Such understanding may be gained through inquiries of those charged with governance, observing meetings at which related party transactions are discussed and approved, or reading minutes of such meetings.

20. Related party controls within some entities that address the identification and disclosure of related party relationships and transactions may be weak, inadequate or non-existent. This may be due to a number of reasons, such as:

• The low importance attached by management to related party identification and disclosure;

• The lack of appropriate oversight by those charged with governance;

• An intentional disregard for such controls because related party disclosures may reveal information that management considers sensitive;

• An insufficient understanding by management of the disclosure requirements of the applicable financial reporting framework;

• The absence of disclosure requirements under the applicable financial reporting framework.

21. The absence of adequate controls over related party relationships and transactions increases the risks that they will not be identified, and or appropriately accounted for or disclosed. This may be particularly the case in a small entity environment, where management and those charged with governance are often the same individuals. In such circumstances, the absence of independent oversight and approval of significant related party transactions increases the risk of material misstatement resulting from related parties. Paragraphs 42 and 48 set out substantive requirements that respond to the assessed risks.

**Specific Procedures Directed Towards the Identification of Related Party Relationships and Transactions not Identified or Disclosed by Management**

22. Material misstatements resulting from related parties often arise from a failure by management to completely identify or disclose the entity’s related party relationships and transactions. Accordingly, the auditor performs risk assessment procedures directed at identifying related party relationships and transactions not identified or disclosed by management, notwithstanding the inherent uncertainty referred to in paragraph 5 regarding complete identification.
23. The auditor should perform the following procedures designed to identify related party relationships and transactions not identified or disclosed by management:

(a) Make inquiries of individuals, other than management and those charged with governance, likely to have knowledge of related party relationships and transactions;

(b) Review the following documentation for evidence of unidentified or undisclosed related party relationships and transactions:

(i) Minutes of meetings of shareholders, management and those charged with governance;

(ii) Shareholder records relating to the principal owners, and other relevant statutory records;

(iii) Income tax returns and other information supplied to regulatory authorities; and

(iv) Records of the entity’s investments and, where practicable, those of its pension plans;

(c) Identify significant and unusual transactions to evaluate whether related parties are involved; and

(d) Identify special-purpose entities that have some connection with the entity or its principal officers to evaluate whether they involve unidentified or undisclosed related party relationships or transactions.

Inquiries of Individuals Other than Management and Those Charged with Governance

24. The auditor inquires of individuals other than management and those charged with governance regarding the possible existence of related party relationships or transactions not identified or disclosed by management. These individuals include, for example:

(a) Personnel in a position to initiate, process or record significant and unusual transactions, and those who supervise or monitor such personnel;

(b) Internal audit;

(c) In-house legal counsel; and

(d) The chief ethics officer or equivalent person.

Identification of Significant and Unusual Transactions

25. To assist in identifying related party relationships and transactions not identified or disclosed by management, the auditor performs procedures to identify significant and unusual transactions, and evaluates whether related parties are involved. Such significant and unusual transactions include, for example:

- Large equity transactions, such as corporate restructurings or acquisitions.
- Transactions involving management from which they may be expected to benefit financially.
- The leasing of premises or the rendering of management services by the entity to another
party at no charge.
• Significant sales transactions with unusually large discounts or returns.

Identification of Special-Purpose Entities that Have Some Connection with the Entity or its Principal Officers

26. Special-purpose entities, sometimes referred to as structured finance entities, are usually established for limited purposes, such as providing financing, liquidity, hedging or credit support. They may be structured in various ways, such as in the form of corporations, partnerships, trusts, or other types of arrangements. In many cases, they lack physical operating characteristics, and this makes it relatively easier for them to be set up and dissolved. In addition, these entities tend not to be consolidated and often do not issue financial statements. For these reasons, it is important that the auditor (a) identifies special-purpose entities that have had some connection with the entity or its principal officers during the reporting period, and (b) evaluates the nature, extent and business rationale of these relationships to determine whether they involve unidentified or undisclosed related party relationships or transactions.

Identification of Related Party Risk Factors

28. In obtaining an understanding of the entity and its environment, the auditor may identify related party risk factors. Examples of these factors include:

• A complex organizational or ownership structure that may mask the existence of undisclosed related parties and transactions with such parties.
• Significant family relationships with, or business interests in, the entity or its components.
• Significant transactions outside the normal course of business that may indicate the potential involvement of related parties.
• Incentive programs for management that may encourage earnings management or fraud through the use of related parties.

The auditor considers these risk factors in the risk assessment process.

29. Although such risk factors may not necessarily indicate the existence of previously unidentified related party relationships or transactions, they have often been present in circumstances where such relationships or transactions have been identified in the past. The significance of related party risk factors varies. Some may present risks of material misstatement whereas others may not. Accordingly, the auditor exercises professional judgment in determining whether a related party risk factor is present, and whether it is to be considered in assessing the risks of material misstatement due to related parties. Appendix 3 presents other examples of related party risk factors that the auditor may consider in identifying risk.

Consideration of Other Information Obtained

30. The auditor also considers whether other information obtained about the entity and its environment indicates risks of material misstatement due to related parties. Other information that may be relevant includes information obtained from the auditor’s client acceptance and retention processes, press reports about the entity, and experience gained on other engagements performed for the entity.
Significant Risks Due to Related Parties

Assessment of the Risks of Material Misstatement Resulting from Related Parties

31. ISA 315 requires the auditor to identify and assess the risks of material misstatement at the assertion level and to determine which of the identified risks, in the auditor’s judgment, require special audit consideration. Such risks are described as “significant risks.”

32. Using information gathered from the risk assessment procedures, the auditor should determine which conditions or events may indicate the existence of transactions giving rise to significant risks due to related parties.

27. As part of the identification and assessment of risks of material misstatement required by ISA 315, the auditor identifies and assesses the risks of material misstatement resulting from related parties, and determines which of the identified risks are significant risks.

28. Risks of material misstatement resulting from related parties may arise not only in relation to the non-identification of related party relationships and transactions, but also when identified related party relationships and transactions are not appropriately accounted for or disclosed. Misstatements resulting from the inappropriate accounting for related party relationships and transactions may arise in various ways, such as:

- Fraudulent financial reporting, for example, by accounting for the form and not the substance of the transactions.
- Fraud through misappropriation of assets, for example, the execution of a significant unauthorized contract at below market value with a related party.
- Errors in the financial statements, by not accounting for all the financial effects of the transactions as required by the applicable financial reporting framework (for example, not recognizing a related party transaction involving non-monetary consideration at fair value when required).

As ISA 240 indicates, those assessed risks that could result in a material misstatement due to fraud are significant risks.

Transactions Giving Rise to Significant Risks Due to Related Parties

33. Significant transactions outside the normal course of business, or that otherwise appear unusual, ordinarily give rise to significant risks as they are non-routine, and therefore have greater inherent risks. For example, the entity may enter into significant transactions with certain parties on terms that are non-arm’s length. As the nature and substance of the transactions may not be clear, they require special audit consideration and appropriate audit responses (see paragraphs 47-52).

34. There may, however, be some significant transactions outside the normal course of business, or that otherwise appear unusual, that do not give rise to significant risks. This will be the case if (a) there is a legitimate business rationale for the entity to enter into these transactions; (b) the terms and conditions of the transactions are well documented and understood; and (c) the substance of the transactions is clear. Examples of such transactions are:
• Supporting the start-up operations of a component through an interest-free loan.
• Transferring receivables to a special purpose entity to raise funds for an approved business purpose.

Communication Within the Engagement Team

35. The auditor should communicate the outcome of the risk assessment procedures, including the names of all identified related parties, to the rest of the engagement team on a timely basis. When the auditor identifies related parties during the audit that were not previously communicated, the auditor should promptly inform the rest of the engagement team.

36. Timely communication and discussion within the engagement team of the outcome of the risk assessment procedures, including the identities of related parties and significant identified risks, are important as such information affects the planning and performance of further audit procedures. The auditor promptly communicates the names of related parties newly identified during the audit to the rest of the engagement team to enable them to (a) determine whether this new information affects the results and conclusions drawn from other audit procedures, and (b) perform audit procedures designed to identify transactions with such parties.

Responses to the Risks of Material Misstatement Resulting from Due to Related Parties

2937. ISA 330, “The Auditor’s Procedures in Response to Assessed Risks,” requires the auditor to design and perform audit procedures whose nature, timing, and extent respond to the assessed risks of material misstatement at both the financial statement and assertion levels. This ISA focuses on specific responses at the assertion level only.

Consideration of Materiality in Responding to Assessed Risks

38. When examining a related party transaction, the auditor considers both the quantitative and qualitative aspects of the materiality of the transaction, as (a) the monetary value of the transaction may not be relevant in evaluating the significance of the transaction, or (b) there may be no objective basis for measuring the transaction. For example, the applicable financial reporting framework may deem transactions between the entity and those charged with governance to be material regardless of the amounts involved.

Testing the Operating Effectiveness of Internal Control

309. ISA 330 sets out the circumstances under which the auditor is required to perform tests of the operating effectiveness of controls, when, and explains the effect of reliance on controls on the extent of planned substantive procedures.

(a) The auditor’s assessment of risks of material misstatement at the assertion level is based on an expectation that controls over the process of identifying and disclosing related party relationships and transactions are operating effectively; or

(b) Substantive procedures alone do not provide sufficient appropriate audit evidence at the assertion level.

3140. Testing the operating effectiveness of the entity’s controls over this process designed to mitigate the risks of material misstatement resulting from related parties is likely to be an
appropriate response when controls exist, for example the identification, accounting for, and disclosure of related party relationships and transactions, and; those

- Controls exist for the review and approval of related party transactions are monitored by appropriate levels of management and, where applicable, those charged with governance.

- Information necessary for preparing related party disclosures is derived from the routine processing of data by the entity’s information system.

41. When performing tests of the operating effectiveness of controls, the auditor obtains audit evidence sufficient to determine whether the controls operated effectively throughout the reporting period. This includes obtaining audit evidence about (a) how controls were applied at relevant times during the period under audit, (b) the consistency with which they were applied, and (c) by whom they were applied. Guidance on testing controls is set out in paragraphs 28-47 of ISA 330.

Substantive Procedures Responsive to Assessed Risks

32. ISA 330 provides guidance on the nature, timing and extent of the substantive procedures that the auditor may design to respond to assessed risks of material misstatement resulting from related parties. Appendix 2 of ISA 240 lists possible audit procedures to respond to assessed risks of material misstatement due to fraud, some of which may also be relevant in addressing the assessed risks of material misstatement resulting from related parties.

42. To respond to the risk that related party relationships and transactions may not have been identified and appropriately disclosed, the auditor should:

(a) Review third party confirmations and other relevant documentation for evidence of related party relationships or transactions; and

(b) Perform other audit procedures designed to identify significant and unusual transactions, and determine whether related parties are involved.

43. Third party confirmations and other relevant documentation that the auditor reviews include:

(a) Bank and legal confirmations;

(b) Minutes of meetings of shareholders, management and those charged with governance;

(c) Invoices and correspondence from law firms;

(d) Income tax returns and other information supplied to regulatory authorities;

(e) Significant contracts and agreements not in the ordinary course of business, including those involving management and those charged with governance; and

(f) Other documentation considered appropriate, such as internal audit working papers.

44. Examples of significant and unusual transactions that may involve related parties include:

- Transactions that appear designed or structured to achieve specific accounting outcomes.
Transactions from which management stands to receive a significant financial gain.

Transactions where no consideration is exchanged, for example, the leasing of premises or the rendering of management services by the entity to another party at no charge.

Circular transactions, for example, sales where the entity funds the full price payable by the other party to the transaction.

45. The procedures set out in paragraph 43 may assist in identifying significant and unusual transactions. Other procedures that the auditor may perform to identify these transactions include:

- Inquiring of personnel who initiate, process or record these transactions, and those who supervise or monitor such personnel.
- Inquiring of non-financial personnel of the entity regarding their knowledge of significant and unusual transactions.
- Conducting a detailed review of accounting records for transactions with specific characteristics, such as terms that deviate significantly from known market terms. Such a review may be facilitated using computer-assisted audit techniques.

46. The extent of the procedures in paragraph 42 will be more limited if (a) tests of controls have been performed, and (b) appropriate audit evidence of effective operation of controls during the reporting period has been obtained.

Responses to Significant Risks

4733. Where the auditor has identified significant risks of material misstatement due to related parties, the auditor:

(a) To the extent not already done, (i) evaluates the design of the entity’s related party controls over related party relationships and transactions, and (ii) determines whether they have been implemented (paragraph 113 of ISA 315);

(b) Obtains audit evidence about the operating effectiveness of these controls (on which the auditor plans to rely) from tests of control performed in the current period (paragraph 44 of ISA 330); and

(c) Performs substantive procedures that specifically respond to the significant risks (paragraph 51 of ISA 330 and paragraphs 48–52 of this ISA).

34. The nature, timing and extent of substantive procedures that the auditor may perform to respond to significant risks depend upon the nature of those risks and the circumstances of the entity. For example:

- Where the auditor identifies significant risks in relation to significant and unusual related party transactions, the auditor determines whether the transactions were properly approved. In addition, where the identified risks are significant risks of fraud, the auditor follows the requirements and guidance in ISA 240 in responding to those risks, including obtaining an understanding of the business rationale of the transactions.
• Where the identified risk is a significant risk regarding the accounting or disclosure of specific related party transactions, the auditor may perform further procedures to obtain corroborative audit evidence regarding the nature and business rationale of the transactions, such as:

  - Confirming the purposes, specific terms or amounts of the transactions with the related parties.
  - Confirming or discussing specific aspects of the transactions with intermediaries such as banks, law firms, guarantors, or agents.
  - Reading the financial statements or other relevant financial information of the related parties for evidence of the accounting of the transactions in the related parties’ books.

• Where the identified risk is a significant risk that unidentified related party relationships and transactions may exist, the auditor may perform further procedures such as:

  - Conducting a detailed review of accounting records for transactions with (a) specific characteristics, such as terms that deviate significantly from known market terms, or (b) unusual patterns or trends, such as regular advances to a third party. Such a review may be facilitated using computer-assisted audit techniques.
  - Making inquiries, where practicable, of parties outside the entity who are presumed to have significant knowledge of the entity and its business, such as principal agents, major representatives, consultants, guarantors, or other close business partners.
  - Investigating the entity’s relationships with major suppliers and customers, such as requesting confirmation as to whether they are related, reading their financial statements or other relevant financial information, or inquiring of relevant information sources regarding their ownership.

**Substantive Procedures to Respond to Significant Risks**

48. When the auditor identifies significant and unusual transactions that give rise to significant risks due to related parties, the auditor should consider obtaining corroborative audit evidence regarding the nature and substance of the transactions.

49. This requirement complements the requirement in paragraph 76 of ISA 240 for the auditor to understand the business rationale of the transactions.

50. Procedures that the auditor may perform to obtain corroborative audit evidence include:

  • Confirming the purposes, terms, and amounts of the transactions with the other parties.
  • Inspecting information in the possession of the other parties.
  • Confirming or discussing relevant information with intermediaries such as banks, law firms, guarantors, or agents.
  • Reading the financial statements or other relevant financial information of the other parties to the transactions.
• Inquiring of other information sources, such as industry news sources, credit agencies and persons providing professional advice to the entity.

51. In considering the other parties to the transactions as a source of audit evidence, the auditor takes into account the potential lack of reliability of the evidence if the parties are in fact related, as such parties may be biased or be otherwise influenced by management.

52. In considering the inspection of information in the possession of the other parties, the auditor considers whether appropriate access to the information will be granted by the other parties, and requests management’s permission to seek to obtain such access. Where such access is granted, the auditor may consider, for example:

• Inspecting relevant records and making appropriate inquiries of the other parties regarding the transactions that might confirm the identity of the other parties and the nature and substance of the transactions.

• Verifying the existence of the assets or inspecting their condition if the transactions involve asset sales, to obtain corroborative audit evidence regarding the reasonableness of the terms of the transactions.

35. If the auditor determines that a related party transaction has not been properly approved, and discussion with management and those charged with governance does not lead to a resolution of the matter, the auditor should consider the implications for the auditor’s report.

Identification of Previously Unidentified or Undisclosed Related Party Relationships or Transactions

536. When the auditor identifies related party relationships or transactions not previously recorded and identified or disclosed by the entity management, the auditor should:

(a) Promptly communicate the identities of the newly identified related parties to the rest of the engagement team to enable them to determine whether this new information affects the results of, and conclusions drawn from, audit procedures already performed;

(b) Request management to identify transactions with the newly identified related parties;

(c) Investigate why the entity’s internal control had not identified them did not lead to the identification or disclosure of the related party relationships or transactions; and

(d) Determine whether it is necessary to reassess the risks of material misstatement resulting from due to related parties and, if so, evaluate the implications for other aspects of the audit, including the reliance placed on other representations made by management and those charged with governance during the audit.

If these risks are reassessed, the auditor should consider the implications for other aspects of the audit, including the reliance placed on other representations made by management and those charged with governance during the audit.
Measurement Considerations

54. Related party transactions occurring as part of the normal course of business ordinarily can be readily measured and recorded at the amounts indicated by their terms. For some related party transactions, however, the value of the consideration exchanged may not be readily determinable for a number of reasons, including the following:

• There is no exchange of consideration.
• The consideration exchanged is non-monetary in nature.
• There is no objective basis of measurement of the fair value of the consideration exchanged.

55. In these circumstances, the auditor considers whether the applicable financial reporting framework provides requirements and guidance for the appropriate measurement of such transactions. Where the framework addresses the measurement of the transactions, the auditor evaluates whether they have been appropriately accounted for and recorded in accordance with that framework. Where the framework does not deal with the measurement of the transactions, the auditor considers whether they have been appropriately disclosed, in accordance with paragraphs 68–76.

Disclosures Asserting Related Party Transactions to be at Arm’s Length

56. When disclosures of related party transactions state or otherwise suggest that the transactions were conducted at arm’s length, the auditor should be satisfied that such assertion is substantiated.

57. Management is responsible for substantiating any assertion that a related party transaction was conducted at arm’s length. Such assertion may explicitly state that the transaction was at arm’s length or otherwise suggest that the transaction was consummated on terms equivalent or similar to those prevailing in transactions with unrelated parties. The auditor tests management’s process for making the assertion to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence that the assertion is substantiated.

58. Testing the process used to make the arm’s length assertion involves the following:

(a) Considering the appropriateness of management’s methodology for substantiating the arm’s length basis;

(b) Verifying the source of the internal or external data supporting the assertion, and testing the data to determine their accuracy, completeness and relevance; and

(c) When the substantiation rests on significant assumptions, considering whether they reasonably support the assertion.

59. Management may substantiate the arm’s length assertion in various ways, such as:

• Comparing the terms of the related party transaction to those of an identical transaction with one or more unrelated parties;
• Engaging an external expert to determine a market value for the transaction; or
• Comparing the terms of the transaction to known market terms for broadly similar transactions on an open market.

60. The form and nature of a related-party transaction often make it impracticable for management to objectively substantiate an arm’s length assertion.

61. When the auditor is unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence to substantiate management’s assertion that a related-party transaction has been conducted at arm’s length, the auditor should request that management withdraw the assertion. If management disagrees, the auditor should consider the implications for the auditor’s report (see Proposed ISA 705, “Modifications to the Opinion in the Independent Auditor’s Report”).

Communication with Those Charged with Governance

6237. The auditor should discuss with those charged with governance the nature, extent and substance-business rationale of significant related party relationships and transactions, including those involving actual or perceived conflicts of interest.

638. The discussion enables the auditor to:

(a) Confirm that those charged with governance are fully aware of the nature and extent to which of significant related party relationships and transactions have affected and their effects on the financial statements, or alternatively bring such information to their attention;

(b) Establish a common understanding with those charged with governance of the business rationale and propriety of the related party relationships and transactions, especially those involving actual or perceived conflicts of interest, and their risks and effects on the financial statements, corroborate responses from management to inquiries the auditor has made into related party matters;

(c) Alert those charged with governance to specific related party relationships and transactions of which they may not have been aware, to enable them to take appropriate action where necessary;

(d) Review with those charged with governance the completeness, accuracy and adequacy-transparency of management’s related party disclosures, and the appropriateness of the accounting for related party relationships and transactions; and

(e) Resolve identified related party issues, such as disagreements regarding the nature and extent of disclosure, on a timely basis;

(f) Where applicable, corroborate responses from management to inquiries the auditor has made into related party matters.

Written Representations

6439. The auditor should obtain written representations from management and, where appropriate, those charged with governance concerning:
(a) The completeness of information provided to the auditor regarding identified related party relationships and transactions with such parties; and

(b) The completeness, accuracy and adequacy transparency of related party disclosures in the financial statements; and

(c) The appropriateness of the accounting for related party relationships and transactions.

65. The auditor may also consider obtaining written representations concerning relevant related party matters from one or more of the entity’s shareholders, when they do not form part of management or those charged with governance.

6640. Written representations include confirmation from management and, where appropriate, those charged with governance that they have disclosed to the auditor all relevant information relating to identified related parties, and that they are not aware of any other related party matters required to be disclosed in the financial statements in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework. Such representations emphasize to management and, where appropriate, those charged with governance their responsibility to disclose the identities of related parties to the auditor even if there have been no transactions with such parties. The representations may also address, where appropriate, specific related party issues, such as the existence of undisclosed side agreements on significant related party transactions.

6741. Circumstances in which it may be appropriate to obtain written representations from those charged with governance and shareholders include:

- When they have approved specific related party transactions that (a) materially affect the financial statements, or (b) involve management.
- When they have made specific oral representations to the auditor regarding details of certain related party transactions.
- When they have financial or other interests in the related party transactions.
- When they are responsible for the financial statements.
- When the auditor has determined that a significant risk of unidentified or undisclosed related party relationships or transactions exists.

Evaluation of Related Party Disclosures and Reporting

Expressing an Opinion on Financial Statements Prepared in Accordance with a Financial Reporting Framework Designed to Achieve Fair Presentation

68. When the objective of the engagement is to report on financial statements prepared in accordance with a financial reporting framework designed to achieve fair presentation, the auditor should evaluate whether the related party disclosures in the financial statements are presented in a manner that achieves fair presentation of the financial statements.

Auditor’s Report on Other Historical Financial Information,” provide guidance on the auditor’s considerations when forming an opinion on the financial statements or other historical financial information, including evaluating related party disclosures.

43. When evaluating a potential misstatement relating to a related party transaction, the auditor considers both the quantitative and qualitative aspects of the materiality of the transaction, as:

(a) The monetary value of the transaction may not be relevant in evaluating the significance of the transaction; or

(b) There may be no objective basis for measuring the transaction.

For example, the applicable financial reporting framework may deem transactions between the entity and those charged with governance to be material regardless of the amounts involved.

6944. As the underlying financial reporting framework is designed to achieve fair presentation, it is necessary for the auditor to evaluate whether the related party disclosures appropriately support this objective. In making this evaluation on the related party disclosures, the auditor considers whether they:

(a) Reflect the substance of the related party transactions, particularly if substance differs from form;

(b) Are complete and accurate in accordance with the requirements of the applicable financial reporting framework; and

(e) Reasonably adequately and appropriately summarize the facts and circumstances of the related party relationships and transactions known to the auditor so that they are understandable and not misleading.

70. Disclosures of related party transactions may be potentially misleading if:

(a) ___4_ The substance business rationale and the effects of the transactions on the financial statements are unclear or misstated; or

(b) ___4_ Key terms, conditions, or other important elements of the transactions necessary for understanding them are not appropriately disclosed. Accordingly, in drawing a conclusion regarding whether the related party disclosures appropriately support the fair presentation of the financial statements, the auditor evaluates whether the disclosures faithfully represent the related party relationships and transactions in a manner that gives a true and fair view of, or presents fairly, in all material respects, the information conveyed in the financial statements.

71. If the auditor concludes that achieving the objective of fair presentation of the financial statements requires (a) changes to the related party disclosures, or (b) additional disclosures not required by the applicable financial reporting framework, the auditor discusses these changes and additional disclosures with management.
72. If management refuses to make the changes or additional disclosures necessary to achieve fair presentation of the financial statements, the auditor should consider the implications for the auditor’s report (see Proposed ISA 705).

Expressing an Opinion on Financial Statements Prepared in Accordance with a Financial Reporting Framework Not Designed to Achieve Fair Presentation

73. When the only objective of the engagement is to express an audit opinion whether the financial statements are prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with a financial reporting framework not designed to achieve fair presentation, the auditor should evaluate whether the related party disclosures in the financial statements are complete and accurate, and comply with the requirements of that framework.

74. Where the auditor is only required to report whether the financial statements are prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with a financial reporting framework not designed to achieve fair presentation, the auditor has no responsibility to evaluate whether the entity’s related party disclosures are presented in a manner that achieves fair presentation of the financial statements. The auditor considers, however, whether the related party disclosures are complete and accurate in respect of the specific elements of the related party relationships and transactions required to be disclosed by the financial reporting framework.

75. If the auditor concludes that the entity’s related party disclosures do not comply with the requirements of the applicable financial reporting framework, the auditor discusses with management the changes necessary to achieve compliance.

76. If management refuses to make the changes in the related party disclosures necessary for compliance with the requirements of the applicable financial reporting framework, the auditor should consider the implications for the auditor’s report (see Proposed ISA 705).

Inability to Obtain Sufficient Appropriate Audit Evidence Regarding Related Party Disclosures

77. Because of the nature and characteristics of related party relationships and transactions, the auditor considers whether circumstances arose during the audit that resulted in an inability to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence to address the risks of material misstatement due to related parties. Such a limitation in the scope of the audit may arise, for example, when the auditor has assessed a significant risk of material misstatement due to related parties and determines that testing relevant controls is a necessary audit procedure, but is unable to do so, as (a) the internal control system has not been designed to identify, record, summarize and disclose related party relationships and transactions, or (b) the design or implementation of the internal control system is inadequate for that purpose. A scope limitation may also be imposed by management in respect of related party transactions that management considers sensitive, for example, when the transactions involve management in a conflict of interest or fraud. Proposed ISA 705 provides guidance on modifications to the opinion in the independent auditor’s report when there is a scope limitation.
Disclosures Asserting Related Party Transactions to be at Arm’s Length

45. When disclosures of related party transactions state or otherwise suggest that the transactions were conducted at arm’s length, the auditor should obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding such assertion.

46. Management is responsible for substantiating any assertion that a related party transaction was conducted at arm’s length. Such an assertion may explicitly state that the transaction was at arm’s length or otherwise suggest that the transaction was consummated on terms equivalent or similar to those prevailing in transactions with unrelated parties. The auditor evaluates management’s support for the assertion, which may include:
   • Comparing the terms of the related party transaction to those of an identical transaction with one or more unrelated parties;
   • Engaging an external expert to determine a market value for the transaction; or
   • Comparing the terms of the transaction to known market terms for broadly similar transactions on an open market.

47. Evaluating management’s support for the arm’s length assertion involves the following:
   (a) Considering the appropriateness of management’s methodology for supporting the assertion;
   (b) Verifying the source of the internal or external data supporting the assertion, and testing the data to determine their accuracy, completeness and relevance; and
   (c) When the substantiation rests on significant assumptions, considering whether they reasonably support the assertion.

48. The form and nature of a related party transaction often make it impracticable for management to objectively substantiate an arm’s length assertion.

49. When the auditor is unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding management’s assertion that a related party transaction has been conducted at arm’s length, the auditor should request that management withdraw the assertion. If management disagrees, the auditor should consider the implications for the auditor’s report.

Effective Date

50. This ISA is effective for audits of financial statements for periods commencing on or after [ ]. Early application of this ISA is permitted.
Appendix 1

Definitions Adopted from in IAS 24 (Revised)

Related party – A party is related to an entity if:

a) directly, or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, the party:
   i) controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with, the entity (this includes parents, subsidiaries and fellow subsidiaries);
   ii) has an interest in the entity that gives it significant influence over the entity; or
   iii) has joint control over the entity;

b) the party is an associate (as defined in IAS 28, “Investments in Associates”) of the entity;

c) the party is a joint venture in which the entity is a venturer (see IAS 31, “Interests in Joint Ventures”);

d) the party is a member of the key management personnel of the entity or its parent;

e) the party is a close member of the family of any individual referred to in (a) or (d);

f) the party is an entity that is controlled, jointly controlled or significantly influenced by, or for which significant voting power in such entity resides with, directly or indirectly, any individual referred to in (d) or (e); or

g) the party is a post-employment benefit plan for the benefit of employees of the entity, or of any entity that is a related party of the entity.

A related party transaction is a transfer of resources, services or obligations between related parties, regardless of whether a price is charged.

Close members of the family of an individual are those family members who may be expected to influence, or be influenced by, that individual in their dealings with the entity. They may include:

a) the individual's domestic partner and children;

b) children of the individual's domestic partner; and

c) dependants of the individual or the individual's domestic partner.

Control is the power to govern the financial and operating policies of an entity so as to obtain benefits from its activities.

Joint control is the contractually agreed sharing of control over an economic activity.

Key management personnel are those persons having authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the entity, directly or indirectly, including any director (whether executive or otherwise) of that entity.
Significant influence is the power to participate in the financial and operating policy decisions of an entity, but is not control over those policies. Significant influence may be gained by share ownership, statute or agreement.
Appendix 2

Examples of Horizontal Groups

Illustration 1: B and C are not consolidated

Illustration 2: B is not consolidated with C and D
Examples of Related Party Risk Factors

In addition to the risk factors listed in paragraph 28, the following is a non-exhaustive list of other factors the auditor may consider in identifying the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements due to related parties. Not all of these examples are relevant in all circumstances, and some may be of greater or lesser significance in entities of different sizes, with different ownership characteristics, in different industries, or because of other differing characteristics or circumstances. Risk factors that are specific to the entity and not listed below should also be considered. In addition, certain risk factors listed in Appendix 1 of ISA 240 that are not listed below may also be relevant.

- Generally, circular arrangements such as:
  - Sales with a commitment to repurchase that, if known, would preclude recognition of all or part of the revenue.
  - Advancing funds that are subsequently transferred to a debtor and used to repay what would otherwise be an uncollectible loan or receivable.
  - Obtaining loans immediately before the period end that are fully repaid shortly after.

- Generally, conditions that indicate transactions are not at arm’s length, such as:
  - Borrowing or lending money interest-free or at a rate of interest significantly above or below prevailing market rates.
  - Selling real estate at a price that differs significantly from its appraised value.
  - Purchases, sales or other transactions at prices in excess of or below fair market value.

- Generally, the absence of substance, such as:
  - Loans made with no scheduled repayment terms.
  - Loans advanced to parties having no ability to repay, or transactions that have remained unsettled for a considerable period of time.
  - Loans apparently advanced for a valid business purpose and later written off as uncollectible.
  - Payments for services never rendered.

- Indications of other unusual terms, conditions or circumstances, such as:
  - Absence of competitive bidding on significant contracts.
  - Exchange of property for similar property in a non-monetary transaction.
  - Sales to an unnecessary “middle man” related party, who in turn sells to the ultimate customer at a higher price, where the related party retains the difference.
  - Agreements under which one party pays another party’s expenses.
  - Unusual arrangements with certain parties to mitigate market risks.
  - Unusual transactions at or close to the period end.
Indications of changes in the terms of the transactions, particularly if they no longer reflect normal commercial terms.

The lack of relevant documentation.

An unwarranted emphasis on secrecy or confidentiality.
Amendments to ISAs 200 (Revised) and 700 (Revised), and Proposed ISA 705 as a Result of the Revision to ISA 550

ISA 200 (Revised), “Objective and General Principles Governing an Audit of Financial Statements”

The following paragraph in ISA 200 (Revised) is amended as marked:

20. Further, other limitations may affect the persuasiveness of audit evidence available to draw conclusions on particular assertions (for example, transactions between related parties). In these cases certain ISAs identify specified audit procedures which will, because of the nature of the particular assertions, provide sufficient appropriate audit evidence in the absence of:

(a) Unusual circumstances which increase the risk of material misstatement beyond that which would ordinarily be expected; or

(b) Any indication that a material misstatement has occurred.


The following paragraph in ISA 700 (Revised) is amended as marked:

13. Forming an opinion as to whether the financial statements give a true and fair view or are presented fairly, in all material respects, in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework involves evaluating whether the financial statements have been prepared and presented in accordance with the specific requirements of the applicable financial reporting framework for particular classes of transactions, account balances and disclosures. This evaluation includes considering whether, in the context of the applicable financial reporting framework:

(a) The accounting policies selected and applied are consistent with the financial reporting framework and are appropriate in the circumstances;

(b) The accounting estimates made by management are reasonable in the circumstances;

(c) The information presented in the financial statements, including accounting policies, is relevant, reliable, comparable and understandable; and

(d) Related party disclosures reflect the business rationale of material related party relationships and transactions, and are complete and accurate; and

(ed) The financial statements provide sufficient disclosures to enable users to understand the effect of other material transactions and events on the information conveyed in the financial statements, for example, in the case of financial statements prepared in

---

8 Paragraphs 15-18 of ISA 500, “Audit Evidence,” discuss the use of assertions in obtaining audit evidence.
accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRSs), the entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows.
Proposed ISA 705, “Modifications to the Opinion in the Independent Auditor’s Report”

The following paragraphs in proposed ISA 705 are amended as marked:

9. This ISA establishes three types of modified opinions, namely, a qualified opinion, an adverse opinion, and a disclaimer of opinion, the choice of which will depend upon the auditor’s judgment about the materiality and pervasiveness of the matter giving rise to the modification.

   **Disagreements with Management**

   (a) The auditor expresses a qualified opinion (see paragraph 25) when the auditor concludes that the effect of any disagreement with management, while material, is not pervasive; accordingly, except for the matter giving rise to the modification, the financial statements are prepared in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework and are not misleading; thus they do not require an adverse opinion.

   (b) The auditor expresses an adverse opinion (see paragraph 28) when the auditor concludes that the effect of a disagreement with management is material and pervasive to the financial statements such that a qualified opinion is not adequate to disclose the misleading nature of the financial statements.

   **Inability to Obtain Sufficient Appropriate Audit Evidence**

   (c) The auditor expresses a qualified opinion (see paragraph 25) when the auditor concludes that the possible effect of an inability to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence, while material, in the auditor’s judgment could not be pervasive; therefore, the financial statements are not misleading and do not require a disclaimer of opinion. This may be the case when it is possible to determine and clearly describe in the auditor’s report which financial statement line items or disclosures are or may be affected by the inability to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence.

   (d) The auditor expresses a disclaimer of opinion (see paragraph 31) when the possible effect of an inability to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence is material and pervasive to the financial statements such that the auditor is unable to express an opinion on the financial statements.

See paragraph 23 for a discussion of materiality and paragraph 24 for a discussion of pervasiveness.

18. The auditor’s inability to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence may be imposed by circumstances. For example,

- When the timing of the auditor’s appointment is such that the auditor is unable to observe the counting of the physical inventories;

- When the entity’s accounting records have been destroyed due to a fire;

- When the auditor determines that testing relevant related party controls is a necessary audit procedure but is unable to do so as (i) the internal control system has not been designed to identify, record, account for, and disclose related party relationships and transactions; or (ii)
the design or implementation of the internal control system is inadequate for these purposes.

The auditor considers whether it is possible to perform alternative procedures to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence on which to base an unmodified opinion. If performing alternative procedures is not possible, the auditor will not be able to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence and, accordingly, qualifies the opinion or disclaims an opinion.