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Appendix: Specific Audit Documentation Requirements and Guidance in Other ISAs
Introduction

Scope of this ISA
1. This International Standard on Auditing (ISA) deals with the auditor’s responsibility to prepare audit documentation. The Appendix lists other ISAs containing subject matter-specific documentation requirements and guidance. The specific documentation requirements of other ISAs do not limit the application of this ISA. Laws or regulations may establish additional documentation requirements.

Nature and Purposes of Audit Documentation
2. Audit documentation that meets the requirements of this ISA together with the specific documentation requirements of other relevant ISAs provides evidence of the auditor’s basis for a conclusion about the achievement of the overall objective of the auditor. Audit documentation serves a number of purposes, including the following:
   • Assisting the audit team to plan and perform the audit.
   • Assisting members of the audit team responsible for supervision to direct and supervise the audit work, and to discharge their review responsibilities in accordance with ISA 220, “Quality Control for Audits of Historical Financial Information.”
   • Enabling the audit team to be accountable for its work.
   • Retaining a record of matters of continuing significance to future audits.
   • Enabling an experienced reviewer to conduct quality control reviews and inspections\(^1\) in accordance with ISQC 1, “Quality Control for Firms that Perform Audits and Reviews of Historical Financial Information, and Other Assurance and Related Services Engagements.”
   • Enabling an experienced reviewer to conduct external inspections in accordance with applicable legal, regulatory or other requirements.

Effective Date
3. This ISA is effective for audits of financial information for periods beginning on or after [Date].\(^2\)

Objective
4. The objective of the auditor is to have a sufficient and appropriate record of the basis for the auditor’s report, and evidence that the audit was performed in accordance with ISAs and applicable legal and regulatory requirements.

Definitions
5. For purposes of the ISAs, the following terms have the meanings attributed below:
   (a) “Audit documentation” – The record of audit procedures performed, relevant audit evidence obtained, and conclusions the auditor reached (terms such as “working papers” or “workpapers” are also sometimes used).

\(^1\) As defined in ISA 220.
\(^2\) This effective date will not be earlier than December 15, 2008.
(b) “Experienced reviewer” – An individual (whether internal or external to the firm) who has relevant audit experience and a reasonable understanding of (i) audit processes, (ii) ISAs and applicable legal and regulatory requirements, (iii) the business environment in which the entity operates, and (iv) auditing and financial reporting issues relevant to the entity’s industry.

Requirements

Timely Preparation of Audit Documentation

6. The auditor shall prepare audit documentation on a timely basis. (Ref: Para. A1)

Documentation of the Audit Procedures Performed and Audit Evidence Obtained

Form, Content and Extent of Audit Documentation

7. The auditor shall prepare the audit documentation so as to enable an experienced reviewer, having no previous connection with the audit, to understand: (Ref: Para. A2-A5, A14-A15)

(a) The nature, timing, and extent of the audit procedures performed to comply with the requirements of ISAs and applicable laws and regulations; (Ref: Para. A6-A7)

(b) The results of the audit procedures and the audit evidence obtained; and

(c) Significant matters arising during the audit, and significant professional judgments made in reaching conclusions thereon. (Ref: Para. A8-A11)

8. The auditor shall document discussions of significant matters with management and others, including when and with whom the discussions took place. (Ref: Para. A12)

9. If the auditor has identified information that contradicts or is inconsistent with the auditor’s final conclusion regarding a significant matter, the auditor shall document how the auditor addressed the contradiction or inconsistency in forming the final conclusion. (Ref: Para. A13)

Departure from a Relevant Requirement

10. If, in exceptional circumstances, the auditor judges it necessary to depart from a relevant requirement, the auditor shall document how the alternative audit procedures performed achieve the aim of that requirement, and, unless otherwise clear, the reasons for the departure. (Ref: Para. A16-A17)

Identification of Specific Items or Matters Being Tested, and of the Preparer and Reviewer

11. In documenting the nature, timing and extent of audit procedures performed, the auditor shall record:

(a) The identifying characteristics of the specific items or matters being tested; (Ref: Para. A18)

(b) Who performed the audit work and the date such work was completed; and
Assembly of the Final Audit File

12. The auditor shall complete the assembly of the final audit file on a timely basis after the date of the auditor’s report. (Ref: Para. A20-A21)

13. After the assembly of the final audit file has been completed, the auditor shall not delete or discard audit documentation before the end of its retention period. (Ref: Para. A22)

14. If the auditor finds it necessary to modify existing audit documentation or add new audit documentation after the assembly of the final audit file has been completed, the auditor shall, regardless of the nature of the modifications or additions, document:
   (a) When and by whom they were made, and (where applicable) reviewed;
   (b) The specific reasons for making them; and
   (c) Their effect, if any, on the auditor’s conclusions.

Changes to Audit Documentation in Exceptional Circumstances after the Date of the Auditor’s Report

15. If, in exceptional circumstances after the date of the auditor’s report, the auditor has to perform new or additional audit procedures or draw new conclusions, the auditor shall document:
   (a) The circumstances encountered;
   (b) The new or additional audit procedures performed, audit evidence obtained, and conclusions reached; and
   (c) When and by whom the resulting changes to audit documentation were made, and (where applicable) reviewed. (Ref: Para. A23)

***

Application and Other Explanatory Material

Timely Preparation of Audit Documentation (Ref: Para. 6)

A1. Preparing sufficient and appropriate audit documentation on a timely basis helps to enhance the quality of the audit and facilitates the effective review and evaluation of the audit evidence obtained and conclusions reached before the auditor’s report is finalized. Documentation prepared at the time the work is performed is likely to be more accurate than documentation prepared subsequently.

---

3 Paragraph [26] of ISA 220 establishes the requirement for the auditor to review the audit work performed through review of the audit documentation, which involves the auditor documenting the extent and timing of the reviews. Paragraph [25] of ISA 220 describes the nature of a review of work performed.
Documentation of the Audit Procedures Performed and Audit Evidence Obtained

Form, Content and Extent of Audit Documentation (Ref: Para. 7)

A2. The form, content and extent of audit documentation depend on factors such as:

- The nature of the audit procedures to be performed.
- The identified risks of material misstatement.
- The significance of the audit evidence obtained.
- The nature and extent of exceptions identified.
- The need to document a conclusion or the basis for a conclusion not readily determinable from the documentation of the work performed or audit evidence obtained.
- The audit methodology and tools used.

A3. Audit documentation may be recorded on paper or on electronic or other media. Examples of audit documentation include audit programs, analyses, issues memoranda, summaries of significant matters, letters of confirmation and representation, checklists, and correspondence (including e-mail) concerning significant matters. Abstracts or copies of the entity’s records (for example, significant and specific contracts and agreements) may be included as part of audit documentation if considered appropriate. Audit documentation, however, is not a substitute for the entity’s accounting records. The audit documentation for a specific audit engagement is assembled in an audit file.

A4. The auditor need not include in audit documentation superseded drafts of working papers and financial statements, notes that reflect incomplete or preliminary thinking, previous copies of documents corrected for typographical or other errors, and duplicates of documents.

A5. Oral explanations by the auditor, on their own, do not represent adequate support for the work the auditor performed or conclusions the auditor reached, but may be used to explain or clarify information contained in the audit documentation.

Documentation of Compliance with the Requirements of ISAs (Ref: Para. 7(a))

A6. In principle, compliance with the requirements of this ISA will result in the audit documentation being sufficient in the circumstances. However, other ISAs contain documentation requirements that are consistent with the requirements of this ISA. These specific documentation requirements are intended to clarify the application of this ISA in the circumstances of other particular ISAs, but they do not limit the application of this ISA. They are included to indicate specific points in the audit process at which documentation is important. The absence of a documentation requirement in any particular ISA is not intended to suggest that there is no documentation that will be prepared as a result of complying with that ISA.

A7. Audit documentation is required to provide evidence that the audit complies with the requirements of the ISAs. However, it is neither necessary nor practicable for the auditor to document every matter considered in an audit. Further, it is unnecessary for the auditor to document separately compliance with matters for which compliance is self-evident within
the audit file, or to maintain a checklist to record compliance with every requirement. For example, in most cases, the existence of an adequately documented audit plan demonstrates that the auditor has planned the audit.

Documentation Relating to the Use of Professional Judgment (Ref: Para. 7(c))

A8. An important factor in determining the form, content and extent of audit documentation is the extent of professional judgment required in performing the work and evaluating the results. Documentation that provides an understanding of the professional judgments made, where significant, serves to explain the auditor’s conclusions and to reinforce the quality of the judgment. Such matters are of particular interest to those responsible for reviewing audit documentation, including those carrying out subsequent audits when reviewing matters of continuing significance (for example, when performing a retrospective review of accounting estimates).

A9. Examples of matters for which it would be appropriate to prepare audit documentation relating to the use of professional judgment include:

- Those matters considered by the auditor that were important in forming the relevant professional judgment when a requirement provides that the auditor ‘shall consider’ certain information or factors.
- The basis for the auditor’s conclusions where the auditor considered it necessary to obtain further audit evidence by performing significant further audit procedures in addition to those necessary to meet the requirements of an ISA.
- The basis for the auditor’s conclusion on the reasonableness of areas of subjective judgments (for example, the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates).
- The basis for the auditor’s conclusions about the authenticity of a document when further investigation is undertaken in response to conditions identified during the audit that caused the auditor to believe that the document may not be authentic.

Documentation of Significant Matters (Ref: Para. 7(c))

A10. Judging the significance of a matter requires an objective analysis of the facts and circumstances. Examples of significant matters include:

- Matters that give rise to significant risks (as defined in ISA 315, “Identifying and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement Through Understanding the Entity and its Environment”).
- Results of audit procedures indicating (a) that the financial information could be materially misstated, or (b) a need to revise the auditor’s previous assessment of the risks of material misstatement and the auditor’s responses to those risks.
- Circumstances that cause the auditor significant difficulty in applying necessary audit procedures.
- Findings that could result in a modification to the audit opinion or the inclusion of an emphasis of matter paragraph in the auditor’s report.

A11. The auditor may consider it helpful to prepare and retain as part of the audit documentation a summary (sometimes known as a completion memorandum) that describes the significant
matters identified during the audit and how they were addressed, or that includes cross-references to other relevant supporting audit documentation that provides such information. Such a summary may facilitate effective and efficient reviews and inspections of the audit documentation, particularly for large and complex audits. Further, the preparation of such a summary may assist the auditor’s consideration of the significant matters, including the achievement of the objectives specified in relevant ISAs.

Documentation of Discussions of Significant Matters with Management and Others (Ref: Para. 8)

A12. The documentation is not limited to records prepared by the auditor but may include other appropriate records such as agreed minutes of meetings prepared by the entity’s personnel. Others with whom the auditor may discuss significant matters may include those charged with governance, other personnel within the entity, and external parties, such as persons providing professional advice to the entity.

Documentation of how Contradictions or Inconsistencies have been Addressed (Ref: Para. 9)

A13. The documentation of how the auditor addressed contradictions or inconsistencies in information does not imply that the auditor needs to retain documentation that is incorrect or superseded.

Considerations Specific to Smaller Entities

A14. Although the auditor may use different techniques to prepare audit documentation depending on the complexity of the entity, when documenting an understanding of a smaller entity the use of flowcharts or narrative descriptions may be the simplest and most efficient approaches.

A15. When preparing audit documentation, the auditor of a smaller entity may also find it helpful and efficient to record various aspects of the audit together on a single working paper, with cross-references to supporting working papers where appropriate. Examples of matters that may be documented together in a small audit include the understanding of the entity and its internal control, the overall audit strategy and audit plan, materiality, assessed risks, significant matters noted during the audit, and conclusions reached.

Departure from a Relevant Requirement (Ref: Para. 10)

A16. [As explained in [Proposed] ISA 200, “Overall Objective of the Independent Auditor, and Fundamental Concepts Relevant to an Audit of Financial Statements,”] the objectives and requirements in ISAs are designed to assist in meeting the overall objective of the auditor. Accordingly, other than in exceptional circumstances, the ISAs call for compliance with each requirement that is relevant in the circumstances of the audit. If the auditor judges it necessary to depart from a relevant requirement, the auditor’s documentation explains how the alternative procedures performed were sufficient and appropriate to replace that requirement.

A17. The documentation requirement applies only to requirements that are relevant in the circumstances. As explained in ISA 200, a requirement is not relevant only in the cases where:

(a) The ISA is not relevant (for example, in a continuing engagement, nothing in ISA 510, “Initial Engagements—Opening Balances,” is relevant); or
(b) The circumstances envisioned do not apply because the requirement is conditional and the condition does not exist (for example, the requirement to modify the auditor’s opinion where there is an inability to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence, and there is no such inability).

**Identification of Specific Items or Matters Being Tested, and of the Preparer and Reviewer (Ref: Para. 11)**

A18. Recording the identifying characteristics serves a number of purposes. For example, it enables the audit team to be accountable for its work and facilitates the investigation of exceptions or inconsistencies. Identifying characteristics will vary with the nature of the audit procedure and the item or matter being tested. For example:

- For a detailed test of entity-generated purchase orders, the auditor may identify the documents selected for testing by their dates and unique purchase order numbers.
- For a procedure requiring selection or review of all items over a specific amount from a given population, the auditor may record the scope of the procedure and identify the population (for example, all journal entries over a specified amount from the journal register).
- For a procedure requiring systematic sampling from a population of documents, the auditor may identify the documents selected by recording their source, the starting point and the sampling interval (for example, a systematic sample of shipping reports selected from the shipping log for the period from April 1 to September 30, starting with report number 12345 and selecting every 125th report).
- For a procedure requiring inquiries of specific entity personnel, the auditor may record the dates of the inquiries and the names and job designations of the entity personnel.
- For an observation procedure, the auditor may record the process or subject matter being observed, the relevant individuals, their respective responsibilities, and where and when the observation was carried out.

A19. The requirement to document who reviewed the audit work performed does not imply a need for each specific working paper to include evidence of review. The audit documentation, however, enables others to see who reviewed specified elements of the audit work performed and when.

**Assembly of the Final Audit File (Ref: Para. 12-13)**

A20. ISQC 1 requires firms to establish policies and procedures for the timely completion of the assembly of audit files. Under ISQC 1, an appropriate time limit within which to complete the assembly of the final audit file is ordinarily 60 days after the date of the auditor’s report.

A21. The completion of the assembly of the final audit file after the date of the auditor’s report is an administrative process that does not involve the performance of new audit procedures or the drawing of new conclusions. Changes may, however, be made to the audit documentation during the final assembly process if they are administrative in nature. Examples of such changes include:

- Deleting or discarding superseded documentation.
• Sorting, collating and cross-referencing working papers.
• Signing off on completion checklists relating to the file assembly process.
• Documenting audit evidence that the auditor has obtained, discussed and agreed with the relevant members of the audit team before the date of the auditor’s report.

A22. ISQC 1 requires firms to establish policies and procedures for the retention of engagement documentation. Under ISQC 1, the retention period for audit engagements ordinarily is no shorter than five years from the date of the auditor’s report, or, if later, the date of the group auditor’s report.

**Changes to Audit Documentation in Exceptional Circumstances after the Date of the Auditor’s Report**  (Ref: Para. 15)

A23. An example of such exceptional circumstances is the discovery of facts regarding the audited financial information that existed at the date of the auditor’s report that might have affected the auditor’s report had the auditor then been aware of them.
Appendix

Specific Audit Documentation Requirements and Guidance in Other ISAs

The following lists the main paragraphs that contain specific documentation requirements and guidance in other ISAs:

- ISA 210, “Terms of Audit Engagements”–Paragraph [5];
- ISA 220 (Revised), “Quality Control for Audits of Historical Financial Information”–Paragraphs [11–14, 16, 25, 27, 30, 31 and 33];
- ISA 250, “Consideration of Laws and Regulations”–Paragraph [28];
- ISA 260, “Communication of Audit Matters with Those Charged with Governance”–Paragraph [16];
- ISA 300, “Planning an Audit of Financial Statements”–Paragraphs 11 and A17-A20;
- ISA 330, “The Auditor’s Responses to Assessed Risks”–Paragraphs 29-31 and A59;
- ISA 505, “External Confirmations”–Paragraph [33];
- ISA 580, “Management Representations”–Paragraph [10]; and
- ISA 600, “Using the Work of Another Auditor”–Paragraph [14].