Exposure Draft: ISA 720 revised

Comments from the Swedish National Audit Office.

Comments due by: March 14, 2013

Comments given by: Carin Rytoft Drangel

Comments to Exposure Draft: International Standard on Auditing (ISA) 720 (Revised), The Auditor's Responsibilities Relating to Other Information in Documents Containing or Accompanying Audited Financial Statements and the Auditor's Report Thereon

## **Exposure Draft**

This exposure draft seeks views from stakeholders in relation to proposed International Standard on Auditing (ISA) 720 (Revised), The Auditor's Responsibilities Relating to Other Information in Documents Containing or Accompanying Audited Financial Statements and the Auditor's Report Thereon. The proposals extend the scope of the extant standard and the auditor's responsibilities and include suggested auditor reporting responsibilities.

## **Request for Specific Comments**

1. Do respondents agree that there is a need to strengthen the auditor's responsibilities with respect to other information? In particular do respondents believe that extending the auditor's responsibilities with respect to the other information reflects costs and benefits appropriately and is in the public interest?

Yes we do believe that there is a need to strengthen the auditor's responsibilities with respect to other information and that this is in the public interest.

2. Do respondents agree that broadening the scope of the proposed ISA to include documents that accompany the audited financial statements and the auditor's report thereon is appropriate?

Yes we do believe that this is appropriate.

- 3. Do respondents find the concept of initial release clear and understandable? In particular, is it clear that initial release may be different from the date the financial statements are issued as defined in ISA 560? Yes we find the concept clear and understandable.
- 4. Do respondents agree that the limited circumstances in which a securities offering document would be in scope (e.g., initial release of the audited financial statements in an initial public offering) are appropriate or should securities offering documents simply be scoped out? If other information in a securities offering document is scoped into the requirements of the proposed ISA in these circumstances, would this be duplicating or conflicting with procedures the auditor may otherwise be required to perform pursuant to national requirements?

Yes we believe that this is appropriate.

- 5. Do respondents consider that the objectives of the proposed ISA are appropriate and clear? In particular:
- (a) Do respondents believe that the phrase "in light of the auditor's understanding of the entity and its environment acquired during the audit" is understandable for the auditor? In particular, do the requirements and guidance in the proposed ISA help the auditor to understand what it means to read and consider in light of the auditor's understanding of the entity and its environment acquired during the course of the audit?

Exposure Draft: ISA 720 revised

Comments from the Swedish National Audit Office.

Comments due by: March 14, 2013

Comments given by: Carin Rytoft Drangel

(b) Do respondents believe it is clear that the auditor's responsibilities include reading and considering the other information for consistency with the audited financial statements?

Yes we believe that the objectives of a) and a) are appropriate and clear.

6. Do respondents agree that the definitions of terms of "inconsistency" including the concept of omissions and "a material inconsistency in the other information are appropriate?

Yes we believe that the definitions are appropriate.

7. Do respondents believe that users of auditors' reports will understand that an inconsistency relates to an inaccuracy in the other information as described in (a) and (b) of the definition, based on reading and considering the other information in light of the auditor's understanding of the entity and its environment acquired during the course of the audit?

Yes we do believe that users of auditor's reports will understand it.

- 8. Do respondents agree with the approach taken in the proposed ISA regarding the nature and extent of the auditor's work with respect to the other information? In particular:
- (a) Do respondents believe the principles-based approach for determining the extent of work the auditor is expected to undertake when reading and considering the other information is appropriate?
- (b) Do respondents believe the categories of other information in paragraph A37 and the guidance for the nature and extent of the work effort for each category are appropriate?
- (c) Do respondents agree that the work effort is at the expected level and does not extend the scope of the audit beyond that necessary for the auditor to express an opinion on the financial statements?

Yes we agree with the approach taken regarding the nature and extent of the auditor's work with respect to the other information.

- 9. Do respondents believe that the examples of qualitative and quantitative information included in the Appendix in the proposed ISA are helpful? Yes we believe that the examples are helpful.
- 10. Do respondents believe it is clear in the proposed requirements what the auditor's response should be if the auditor discovers that the auditor's prior understanding of the entity and its environment acquired during the audit was incorrect or incomplete?

Yes we believe it is clear what the auditor's response should be.

- 11. With respect to reporting:
- (a) Do respondents believe that the terminology (in particular, "read and consider," "in light of our understanding of the entity and its environment acquired during our audit," and "material inconsistencies") used in the statement to be included in the auditor's report under the proposed ISA is clear and understandable for users of the auditor's report?
- (b) Do respondents believe it is clear that the conclusion that states "no audit opinion or review conclusion" properly conveys that there is no assurance being expressed with respect to the other information?

Yes we believe that the terminology used is clear and understandable for users of the auditor's report and that there is no assurance being expressed with respect to the other information.

Exposure Draft: ISA 720 revised

Comments from the Swedish National Audit Office.

Comments due by: March 14, 2013

Comments given by: Carin Rytoft Drangel

12. Do respondents believe that the level of assurance being provided with respect to other information is appropriate? If not, what type of engagement would provide such assurance?

Yes we believe that the level of assurance is appropriate.