

Agreed-Upon Procedures Working Group: an Update

Consultative Advisory Group March 9, 2016 Paris, France

What We Hope to Achieve at this Session

Report on key messages from outreach undertaken

Identify the key issues the project needs to address

Preliminary views on way forward



Key Messages from Outreach

Value of an AUP engagement	Discussion paper to highlight the benefits and limitations of AUP engagements
Market demand	Market demand is for multi-scope engagements
Recommendations	Some instances of regulators' request for recommendations in conjunction with AUP engagements



Key Issues

Value of an AUP engagement	AUP engagements fulfill an important market need
	Increased awareness of value proposition of AUP engagement needed
Multi-scope engagements	Explore the implications of including different engagements in a single report
	Consider whether the issue can be accommodated in a revision of ISRS 4400
	Coordinate with Integrated Reporting WG
	Consider the AuASB's post-implementation review on its guidance on multi-scope engagements



Key Issues

Use of judgment

One view: Procedures should be so precisely specified that there is no need for professional judgment in performing the procedures

Opposing view: The practitioner should not exercise any less professional judgment simply because the procedure was performed in an AUP engagement as opposed to in an audit engagement

Related issue: Exercise of professional judgment in the context of relevant ethical requirements



Key Issues

Update and
introduce greater
flexibility

Ambiguous terminology

Distribution of AUP report

Non-financial information



Proposed Way Forward

Date	Activity
March – May 2016	 Consultations with Small-Medium Practice Committee, National Standard Setters, and other stakeholders before finalizing a WG Discussion Paper for presentation to the IAASB in June 2016.
June 2016	 WG to present Discussion Paper to the IAASB for comments before public issuance.
July 2016	Issuance of Discussion Paper for public comments.
July – November 2016	 Further discussions with stakeholders to obtain views on matters identified in the Discussion Paper.
December 2016	 Discuss comments received on Discussion Paper. Project proposal and formation of a Task Force.



IAASB

www.iaasb.org