

**NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600**

As of November 2019

Note: This Mapping Table has been prepared by the Staff of the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants® (IESBA) for information only. It is intended to assist readers in their review of the proposed changes to the [IESBA’s Exposure Draft, *Proposed Revisions to the Non-Assurance Services Provisions and Related Conforming Amendments* (the ED)].

When applicable, the explanation in the “Comments” column highlight the reason for the proposed change.

Extant Code (2018 IESBA Handbook)		Proposed Changes to Part 4A (Section 600)	Comments
Section 600 Provision of Non-assurance Services to an Audit Client			
600.1	Firms are required to comply with the fundamental principles, be independent, and apply the conceptual framework set out in Section 120 to identify, evaluate and address threats to independence.	600.1 Firms are required to comply with the fundamental principles, be independent, and apply the conceptual framework set out in Section 120 to identify, evaluate and address threats to independence.	No change
600.2	Firms and network firms might provide a range of non-assurance services to their audit clients, consistent with their skills and expertise. Providing non-assurance services to audit clients might create threats to compliance with the fundamental principles and threats to independence.	600.2 Firms and network firms might provide a range of non-assurance services to their audit clients, consistent with their skills and expertise. Providing non-assurance services to audit clients might create threats to compliance with the fundamental principles and threats to independence.	No change
600.3	This section sets out requirements and application material relevant to applying the conceptual framework to identify, evaluate and address threats to independence when providing non-assurance services to audit clients. The subsections that follow set out specific requirements and application material relevant when a firm or network firm provides certain non-	600.3 This section sets out requirements and application material relevant to applying the conceptual framework to identify, evaluate and address threats to independence when providing non-assurance services to audit clients. The subsections that follow set out specific requirements and application material that are relevant when a firm or a network firm	Slight refinement to acknowledge new requirements, including the introduction of self-review prohibition in general provisions

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

Extant Code (2018 IESBA Handbook)		Proposed Changes to Part 4A (Section 600)	Comments
	assurance services to audit clients and indicate the types of threats that might be created as a result. Some of the subsections include requirements that expressly prohibit a firm or network firm from providing certain services to an audit client in certain circumstances because the threats created cannot be addressed by applying safeguards.	<p>provides certain types of non-assurance services to audit clients and indicate the types of threats that might be created as a result.</p> <p>600.4 In addition to the general provisions that apply in all circumstances, some subsections include requirements that expressly prohibit a firm or a network firm from providing certain services to an audit client because the threats created cannot be eliminated and safeguards are not capable of being applied to reduce the threats to an acceptable level.</p>	
R600.4	Before a firm or a network firm accepts an engagement to provide a non-assurance service to an audit client, the firm shall determine whether providing such a service might create a threat to independence.	R600.8 Before a firm or a network firm accepts an engagement to provide a non-assurance service to an audit client, the firm shall apply the conceptual framework to identify, evaluate and address any threat to independence that might be created by providing that service.	Refinements to clarify firm responsibility before undertaking NAS
600.4 A1	The requirements and application material in this section assist the firm in analyzing certain types of non-assurance services and the related threats that might be created if a firm or network firm provides non-assurance services to an audit client.	600.3 This section sets out requirements and application material relevant to applying the conceptual framework to identify, evaluate and address threats to independence when providing non-assurance services to audit clients. The subsections that follow set out specific requirements and application material that are relevant when a firm or a network firm provides certain types of non-assurance	Repositioned as introductory material

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

Extant Code (2018 IESBA Handbook)		Proposed Changes to Part 4A (Section 600)	Comments
		services to audit clients and indicate the types of threats that might be created as a result.	
600.4 A2	New business practices, the evolution of financial markets and changes in information technology, are among the developments that make it impossible to draw up an all-inclusive list of non-assurance services that might be provided to an audit client. As a result, the Code does not include an exhaustive list of all non-assurance services that might be provided to an audit client.	600.5 A1 New business practices, the evolution of financial markets and changes in technology are among the developments that make it impossible to draw up an all-inclusive list of non-assurance services that firms and network firms might provide to an audit client. As a result, the Code does not include an exhaustive list of all non-assurance services that might be provided to a client.	The word “information” is dropped in “information technology”
n/a	n/a	600.6 A1 Paragraphs R100.3 to 100.3 A2 set out a requirement and application material relating to compliance with the Code. If there are laws and regulations in a jurisdiction relating to the provision of non-assurance services to audit clients that differ from or go beyond those set out in this section, firms providing non-assurance services to which such provisions apply need to be aware of those differences and comply with the more stringent provisions.	New application material
n/a	n/a	600.9 A1 A description of the categories of threats that might arise when a firm or network firm provides a non-assurance service to an audit client is set out in 120.6 A3.	New application material

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
 EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
 Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

Extant Code (2018 IESBA Handbook)	Proposed Changes to Part 4A (Section 600)	Comments
<p>600.5 A1</p> <p>Factors that are relevant in evaluating the level of threats created by providing a non-assurance service to an audit client include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The nature, scope and purpose of the service. • The degree of reliance that will be placed on the outcome of the service as part of the audit. • The legal and regulatory environment in which the service is provided. • Whether the outcome of the service will affect matters reflected in the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion, and, if so: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The extent to which the outcome of the service will have a material effect on the financial statements. ○ The degree of subjectivity involved in determining the appropriate amounts or treatment for those matters reflected in the financial statements. • The level of expertise of the client's management and employees with respect to the type of service provided. 	<p>600.9 A2</p> <p>Factors that are relevant in identifying and evaluating threats created by providing a non-assurance service to an audit client include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The nature, scope, and intended use and purpose of the service. • The manner in which the service will be provided (for example, the extent to which the service relates to providing a technology-based solution developed by the firm or network firm). • The legal and regulatory environment in which the service is provided. • Whether the client is a public interest entity. • The degree of reliance that will be placed on the outcome of the service as part of the audit. • The level of expertise of the client's management and employees with respect to the type of service provided. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lead in now emphasizes the factors are also relevant in identifying threats • Factors in 2nd and 3rd and last bullets are new

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

Extant Code (2018 IESBA Handbook)	Proposed Changes to Part 4A (Section 600)	Comments
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The extent of the client’s involvement in determining significant matters of judgment. • The nature and extent of the impact of the service, if any, on the systems that generate information that forms a significant part of the client’s: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Accounting records or financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion. ○ Internal controls over financial reporting. • Whether the client is a public interest entity. For example, providing a non-assurance service to an audit client that is a public interest entity might be perceived to result in a higher level of a threat. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The extent to which the client determines significant matters of judgment. (Ref: Para. R400.13 to R400.14). • Whether the outcome of the service will affect matters reflected in the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion, and, if so: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The extent to which the outcome of the service will have a material effect on the financial statements. ○ The degree of subjectivity involved in determining the appropriate amounts or treatment for those matters reflected in the financial statements. • The nature and extent of the impact of the service, if any, on the systems that generate information that form a significant part of the client’s: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Accounting records or financial statements on which 	

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

Extant Code (2018 IESBA Handbook)		Proposed Changes to Part 4A (Section 600)	Comments
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the firm will express an opinion, or <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Internal controls over financial reporting. • The fees relating to the provision of the non-assurance services. 	
600.5 A2	Subsections 601 to 610 include examples of additional factors that are relevant in evaluating the level of threats created by providing the non-assurance services set out in those subsections.	600.9 A3 Subsections 601 to 610 include examples of additional factors that are relevant in identifying and evaluating the level of threats created by providing the non-assurance services set out in those subsections.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emphasizes that the factors are also relevant in identifying threats
n/a	n/a	600.10 A1 Providing advice and recommendations might create a self-review threat. Whether providing advice and recommendations creates a self-review threat involves making the determination set out in 600.12 A2 having regard to the nature of the advice and recommendations and how such advice and recommendations might be implemented by the audit client. If a self-review threat is identified, application of the conceptual framework requires the firm to address the threat where the audit client is not a public interest entity. If the audit client	New application material

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

Extant Code (2018 IESBA Handbook)		Proposed Changes to Part 4A (Section 600)	Comments
		is a public interest entity, paragraph R600.14 applies.	
600.5 A3	Subsections 601 to 610 refer to materiality in relation to an audit client's financial statements. The concept of materiality in relation to an audit is addressed in ISA 320, <i>Materiality in Planning and Performing an Audit</i> , and in relation to a review in ISRE 2400 (Revised), <i>Engagements to Review Historical Financial Statements</i> . The determination of materiality involves the exercise of professional judgment and is impacted by both quantitative and qualitative factors. It is also affected by perceptions of the financial information needs of users.	600.15 A1 Subsections 601 to 610 refer to materiality in relation to an audit client's financial statements. The concept of materiality in relation to an audit is addressed in ISA 320, <i>Materiality in Planning and Performing an Audit</i> , and in relation to a review in ISRE 2400 (Revised), <i>Engagements to Review Historical Financial Statements</i> . The determination of materiality involves the exercise of professional judgment and is impacted by both quantitative and qualitative factors. It is also affected by perceptions of the financial information needs of users.	No change
600.5 A4	A firm or network firm might provide multiple non-assurance services to an audit client. In these circumstances the consideration of the combined effect of threats created by providing those services is relevant to the firm's evaluation of threats.	R600.11 When a firm or a network firm provides multiple non-assurance services to an audit client, the firm shall consider, in addition to the threats created by each service individually, whether the combined effect of such services creates or impacts threats to independence.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Application material elevated to a requirement supported by new application material • Lead in now emphasizes the factors are also relevant in identifying threats
n/a	n/a	600.11 A1 In addition to paragraph 600.9 A2, factors that are relevant in evaluating the level of threats created where multiple non-	

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
 EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
 Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

Extant Code (2018 IESBA Handbook)	Proposed Changes to Part 4A (Section 600)	Comments
	<p>assurance services are provided to an audit client might include whether:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The combined effect of providing multiple services impacts the level of threats created by each individual service. • A proposed service impacts the effectiveness of safeguards put in place in relation to other non-assurance services. 	
	<p>600.12 A1 A self-review threat is the threat that a firm or a network firm will not appropriately evaluate the results of a previous judgment made or an activity performed by an individual within the firm or network firm as part of a non-assurance service on which the audit team will rely when forming a judgment as part of an audit.</p> <p>600.12 A2 Identifying whether the provision of a non-assurance service to an audit client will create a self-review threat involves determining whether there is a risk that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) The results of the service will affect the accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion, 	<p>New provisions relating to identifying self-review threat and to prohibit NAS that create self-review threats for audit clients that are PIEs</p>

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
 EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
 Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

Extant Code (2018 IESBA Handbook)	Proposed Changes to Part 4A (Section 600)	Comments	
		<p>(b) In the course of the audit of those financial statements, the results of the service will be subject to audit procedures, and</p> <p>(c) When making an audit judgment, the audit team will evaluate or rely on any judgments made or activities performed by the firm or network firm in the course of providing the service.</p> <p>600.13 A1 When a firm or network firm undertakes a non-assurance service for an audit client, there is a risk of the firm auditing its own work, thereby giving rise to a self-review threat. When the audit client is a public interest entity, stakeholders have heightened expectations regarding the firm's independence. Consideration of these expectations forms part of the reasonable and informed third party test applied when deciding whether to provide a non-assurance service to a client.</p> <p>600.13 A2 Where the provision of a non-assurance service to an audit client that is a public interest entity creates a self-review threat, that threat cannot be eliminated, and safeguards are not capable of being applied to reduce that threat to an acceptable level.</p> <p>R600.14 A firm or a network firm shall not provide a non-assurance service to an audit client</p>	

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

Extant Code (2018 IESBA Handbook)		Proposed Changes to Part 4A (Section 600)	Comments
		that is a public interest entity if a self-review threat will be created in relation to the audit of the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.	
600.6 A1	Subsections 601 to 610 include examples of actions, including safeguards, that might address threats to independence created by providing those non-assurance services when threats are not at an acceptable level. Those examples are not exhaustive.	600.16 A1 Paragraphs R120.10 to 120.10 A2 include a requirement and application material that are relevant when addressing threats to independence, including a description of safeguards.	No substantive change. The description of safeguards in now incorporated by reference to minimize repeating material in the CF
600.6 A2	Some of the subsections include requirements that expressly prohibit a firm or network firm from providing certain services to an audit client in certain circumstances because the threats created cannot be addressed by applying safeguards.	600.4 In addition to the general provisions that apply in all circumstances, some subsections include requirements that expressly prohibit a firm or a network firm from providing certain services to an audit client because the threats created cannot be eliminated and safeguards are not capable of being applied to reduce the threats to an acceptable level.	No substantive change
600.6 A3	Paragraph 120.10 A2 includes a description of safeguards. In relation to providing non-assurance services to audit clients, safeguards are actions, individually or in combination, that the firm takes that effectively reduce threats to independence to an acceptable level. In some situations, when a threat is created by providing a	600.14 A1 Paragraphs R120.10 to 120.10 A2 include a requirement and application material that are relevant when addressing threats to independence, including a description of safeguards. 600.16 A2 Threats to independence created by providing a non-assurance service or	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The description of safeguards in now incorporated by reference to minimize repeating material in the CF

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
 EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
 Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

Extant Code (2018 IESBA Handbook)	Proposed Changes to Part 4A (Section 600)	Comments
<p>non-assurance service to an audit client, safeguards might not be available. In such situations, the application of the conceptual framework set out in Section 120 requires the firm to decline or end the non-assurance service or the audit engagement.</p>	<p>multiple services to an audit client vary depending on the facts and circumstances of the audit engagement and the nature of the service. Such threats might be addressed by adjusting the scope of the proposed service or by applying safeguards. Actions that might be safeguards include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service. • Having an appropriate reviewer who was not involved in providing the service review the audit work or service performed. • Obtaining pre-clearance or confirmation of the outcome of the service from an appropriate authority (e.g., a tax authority). <p>600.16 A3 Safeguards might not be available to reduce any threats created by providing a non-assurance service to an audit client to an acceptable level. In such a situation, the application of the conceptual framework requires the firm or network firm to:</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improved application material for addressing threats that more closely aligns to R120.10 to 120.10 A2

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

Extant Code (2018 IESBA Handbook)		Proposed Changes to Part 4A (Section 600)	Comments
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Adjust the scope of the proposed service to eliminate the circumstances that are creating the threats; (b) Decline or end the service that creates the threats that cannot be eliminated or reduced to an acceptable level; or (c) End the audit engagement. 	
R600.7	A firm or a network firm shall not assume a management responsibility for an audit client.	R400.13 A firm or a network firm shall not assume a management responsibility for an audit client.	Repositioned, no change
600.7 A1	Management responsibilities involve controlling, leading and directing an entity, including making decisions regarding the acquisition, deployment and control of human, financial, technological, physical and intangible resources.	400.13 A1 Management responsibilities involve controlling, leading and directing an entity, including making decisions regarding the acquisition, deployment and control of human, financial, technological, physical and intangible resources.	Repositioned, no change
600.7 A2	Providing a non-assurance service to an audit client creates self-review and self-interest threats if the firm or network firm assumes a management responsibility when performing the service. Assuming a management responsibility also creates a familiarity threat and might create an advocacy threat because the firm or network firm becomes too closely aligned with the views and interests of management.	400.13 A2 When a firm or a network firm assumes a management responsibility for an audit client, self-review, self-interest and familiarity threats are created. Assuming a management responsibility might also create an advocacy threat because the firm or network firm becomes too closely aligned with the views and interest of management.	Reflects drafting refinements

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

Extant Code (2018 IESBA Handbook)	Proposed Changes to Part 4A (Section 600)	Comments
<p>600.7 A3</p> <p>Determining whether an activity is a management responsibility depends on the circumstances and requires the exercise of professional judgment. Examples of activities that would be considered a management responsibility include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Setting policies and strategic direction. • Hiring or dismissing employees. • Directing and taking responsibility for the actions of employees in relation to the employees' work for the entity. • Authorizing transactions. • Controlling or managing bank accounts or investments. • Deciding which recommendations of the firm or network firm or other third parties to implement. • Reporting to those charged with governance on behalf of management. • Taking responsibility for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework. ○ Designing, implementing, monitoring 	<p>400.13 A3</p> <p>Determining whether an activity is a management responsibility depends on the circumstances and requires the exercise of professional judgment. Examples of activities that would be considered a management responsibility, and therefore would not be assumed for audit clients include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Setting policies and strategic direction. • Hiring or dismissing employees. • Directing and taking responsibility for the actions of employees in relation to the employees' work for the entity. • Authorizing transactions. • Controlling or managing bank accounts or investments. • Deciding which recommendations of the firm or network firm or other third parties to implement. • Reporting to those charged with governance on behalf of management. • Taking responsibility for: 	<p>Repositioned, no change</p>

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

Extant Code (2018 IESBA Handbook)		Proposed Changes to Part 4A (Section 600)	Comments
	or maintaining internal control.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework. ○ Designing, implementing, monitoring or maintaining internal control. 	
600.7 A4	Providing advice and recommendations to assist the management of an audit client in discharging its responsibilities is not assuming a management responsibility. (Ref: Para. R600.7 to 600.7 A3).	<p>400.13 A4 Subject to compliance with paragraph R400.14, providing advice and recommendations to assist the management of an audit client in discharging its responsibilities is not assuming a management responsibility.</p> <p>600.7 A1 When a firm or network firm provides a non-assurance service to an audit client, including providing advice and recommendations as part of such a service, there is a risk of that firm or network firm assuming a management responsibility unless the firm or network firm is satisfied that the requirements in paragraph R400.14 have been complied with.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extant 600.7 A4 is repositioned to S400 • Revised application material in S600 for providing advice and recommendation
R600.8	To avoid assuming a management responsibility when providing any non-assurance service to an audit client, the firm shall be satisfied that client management makes all judgments and decisions	R400. 14 The firm shall be satisfied that client management makes all judgments and decisions that are the proper responsibility	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Repositioned to S400 and the word “services” is replaced with “activities”

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

Extant Code (2018 IESBA Handbook)	Proposed Changes to Part 4A (Section 600)	Comments
<p>that are the proper responsibility of management. This includes ensuring that the client’s management:</p> <p>(a) Designates an individual who possesses suitable skill, knowledge and experience to be responsible at all times for the client’s decisions and to oversee the services. Such an individual, preferably within senior management, would understand:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(i) The objectives, nature and results of the services; and</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(ii) The respective client and firm or network firm responsibilities.</p> <p>However, the individual is not required to possess the expertise to perform or re-perform the services.</p> <p>(b) Provides oversight of the services and evaluates the adequacy of the results of the service performed for the client’s purpose.</p> <p>(c) Accepts responsibility for the actions, if any, to be taken arising from the results of the services.</p>	<p>of management. This includes ensuring that the client’s management:</p> <p>(a) Designates an individual who possesses suitable skill, knowledge and experience to be responsible always for the client’s decisions and to oversee the activities. Such an individual, preferably within senior management, would understand:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(i) The objectives, nature and results of the activities; and</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(ii) The respective client and firm or network firm responsibilities.</p> <p>However, the individual is not required to possess the expertise to perform or re-perform the activities.</p> <p>(b) Provides oversight of the activities and evaluates the adequacy of the results of the activity performed for the client’s purpose.</p> <p>(c) Accepts responsibility for the actions, if any, to be taken arising from the results of the activities.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Revision to the lead in sentence to avoid the use of “To avoid assuming...”

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

Extant Code (2018 IESBA Handbook)		Proposed Changes to Part 4A (Section 600)	Comments
n/a	n/a	<p>600.17 A1 In the case of audit clients that are not public interest entities, paragraphs 400.40 A1 and 400.40 A2 are relevant to a firm's communication with those charged with governance in relation to the provision non-assurance services.</p> <p>R600.18 Before a firm or a network firm accepts an engagement to provide a non-assurance service to an audit client that is a public interest entity (which, for this purpose, shall include only related entities over which the audit client has direct or indirect control), the firm shall provide those charged with governance with sufficient information to enable them to make an informed decision about the impact of the provision of such non-assurance service on the firm's independence.</p> <p>600.18 A1 Examples of information that might be provided to those charged with governance include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The nature and scope of the service to be provided. • Any threats to independence identified by the firm from the provision of such service. 	New provisions re firm communications with TCWG

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

Extant Code (2018 IESBA Handbook)	Proposed Changes to Part 4A (Section 600)	Comments	
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Whether such threats are at an acceptable level. • Actions that the firm or network firm intends to take to address any threats that are not at an acceptable level. • How such actions will eliminate or reduce the threats to an acceptable level. <p>R600.19 A firm or a network firm shall not provide a non-assurance service to an audit client that is a public interest entity (which, for this purpose, shall include only related entities over which the audit client has direct or indirect control), unless those charged with governance of the public interest entity concur with:</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">(a) The provision of that service.</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">(b) The firm’s conclusion that any threat to independence has been eliminated or that safeguards that the firm proposes to apply will reduce such threat to an acceptable level.</p> <p>600.19 A1 The firm and those charged with governance of the public interest entity may develop a process by which the firm</p>	

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

Extant Code (2018 IESBA Handbook)		Proposed Changes to Part 4A (Section 600)	Comments
		<p>obtains the concurrence of those charged with governance for the provision of a non-assurance service to the audit client. For example, concurrence may be obtained either on an individual engagement basis, under a general policy, or via other means provided that the process to be used is approved by those charged with governance.</p> <p>600.19 A2 Where an audit client includes one or more public interest entities, it may be appropriate for the process by which the firm or the network firm obtains concurrence to address how and from whom such concurrence is to be obtained.</p>	
R600.9	<p>A non-assurance service provided, either currently or previously, by a firm or a network firm to an audit client compromises the firm’s independence when the client becomes a public interest entity unless:</p> <p>(a) The previous non-assurance service complies with the provisions of this section that relate to audit clients that are not public interest entities;</p> <p>(b) Non-assurance services currently in progress that are not permitted under this section for audit clients that are public</p>	<p>R600.20 A non-assurance service provided, either currently or previously, by a firm or a network firm to an audit client may compromise the firm’s independence when the client becomes a public interest entity unless:</p> <p>(a) The previous non-assurance service complies with the provisions of this section that relate to audit clients that are not public interest entities;</p> <p>(b) Non-assurance services currently in progress that are not permitted under</p>	No change

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

Extant Code (2018 IESBA Handbook)		Proposed Changes to Part 4A (Section 600)	Comments
	<p>interest entities are ended before, or as soon as practicable after, the client becomes a public interest entity; and</p> <p>(c) The firm addresses threats that are created that are not at an acceptable level.</p>	<p>this section for audit clients that are public interest entities are ended before, or as soon as practicable after, the client becomes a public interest entity; and</p> <p>(c) The firm discusses actions that might be taken with those charged with governance, including any safeguards or actions, and obtains their concurrence to the approach it proposes to take to address any threat to independence.</p>	
R600.10	<p>This section includes requirements that prohibit firms and network firms from assuming management responsibilities or providing certain non-assurance services to audit clients. As an exception to those requirements, a firm or network firm may assume management responsibilities or provide certain non-assurance services that would otherwise be prohibited to the following related entities of the client on whose financial statements the firm will express an opinion:</p> <p>(a) An entity that has direct or indirect control over the client;</p> <p>(b) An entity with a direct financial interest in the client if that entity has significant influence</p>	<p>R600.21 This section includes requirements that prohibit firms and network firms from providing certain non-assurance services to audit clients. As an exception to those requirements and the requirement in paragraph R400.13, a firm or a network firm may assume management responsibilities or provide certain non-assurance services that would otherwise be prohibited to the following related entities of the client on whose financial statements the firm will express an opinion:</p> <p>(a) An entity that has direct or indirect control over the client;</p>	<p>No substantive change, update to reflect new positioning of management responsibility</p>

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

Extant Code (2018 IESBA Handbook)	Proposed Changes to Part 4A (Section 600)	Comments
<p>over the client and the interest in the client is material to such entity; or</p> <p>(c) An entity which is under common control with the client,</p> <p>provided that all of the following conditions are met:</p> <p>(i) The firm or a network firm does not express an opinion on the financial statements of the related entity;</p> <p>(ii) The firm or a network firm does not assume a management responsibility, directly or indirectly, for the entity on whose financial statements the firm will express an opinion;</p> <p>(iii) The services do not create a self-review threat because the results of the services will not be subject to audit procedures; and</p> <p>(iv) The firm addresses other threats created by providing such services that are not at an acceptable level.</p>	<p>(b) An entity with a direct financial interest in the client if that entity has significant influence over the client and the interest in the client is material to such entity; or</p> <p>(c) An entity which is under common control with the client,</p> <p>provided that all of the following conditions are met:</p> <p>(i) The firm or a network firm does not express an opinion on the financial statements of the related entity;</p> <p>(ii) The firm or a network firm does not assume a management responsibility, directly or indirectly, for the entity on whose financial statements the firm will express an opinion;</p> <p>(iii) The services do not create a self-review threat; and</p> <p>(iv) The firm addresses other threats created by providing such services that are not at an acceptable level.</p>	

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

Extant Code (2018 IESBA Handbook)		Proposed Changes to Part 4A (Section 600)		Comments
SUBSECTION 601 – ACCOUNTING AND BOOKKEEPING SERVICES				
601.1	Providing accounting and bookkeeping services to an audit client might create a self-review threat.	601.3 A1	Providing accounting and bookkeeping services to an audit client creates a self-review threat when the results of the services will affect the accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.	More specificity about likelihood of threat being created
601.2	In addition to the specific requirements and application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in paragraphs 600.1 to R600.10 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework when providing an audit client with accounting and bookkeeping services. This subsection includes requirements that prohibit firms and network firms from providing certain accounting and bookkeeping services to audit clients in some circumstances because the threats created cannot be addressed by applying safeguards.	601.1	In addition to the specific requirements and application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in paragraphs 600.1 to R600.21 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework when providing accounting and bookkeeping services to an audit client.	No change in substance, 2 nd sentence that repeats material in 600.3 is dropped
601.3 A1	Accounting and bookkeeping services comprise a broad range of services including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Preparing accounting records and financial statements. • Recording transactions. • Payroll services. 	601.2 A3	Accounting and bookkeeping services comprise a broad range of services including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Preparing accounting records or financial statements. • Recording transactions. 	Expanded description of service; 4 th and 5 th bullets are new

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

Extant Code (2018 IESBA Handbook)		Proposed Changes to Part 4A (Section 600)	Comments
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Payroll services. • Providing technical assistance on matters on matters such as resolving account reconciliation problems • Providing technical advice on accounting issues such as the conversation of exiting financial statements from one financial reporting framework to another. 	
601.3 A2	<p>Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework. These responsibilities include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Determining accounting policies and the accounting treatment in accordance with those policies. • Preparing or changing source documents or originating data, in electronic or other form, evidencing the occurrence of a transaction. Examples include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Purchase orders. ○ Payroll time records. ○ Customer orders. • Originating or changing journal entries. 	<p>601.2 A1 Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework. These responsibilities include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Determining accounting policies and the accounting treatment in accordance with those policies. • Preparing or changing source documents or originating data, in electronic or other form, evidencing the occurrence of a transaction. Examples include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Purchase orders. ○ Payroll time records. 	No change

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

Extant Code (2018 IESBA Handbook)		Proposed Changes to Part 4A (Section 600)	Comments
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Determining or approving the account classifications of transactions. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Customer orders. Originating or changing journal entries. Determining or approving the account classifications of transactions. 	
601.3 A3	<p>The audit process necessitates dialogue between the firm and the management of the audit client, which might involve:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applying accounting standards or policies and financial statement disclosure requirements. Assessing the appropriateness of financial and accounting control and the methods used in determining the stated amounts of assets and liabilities. Proposing adjusting journal entries. <p>These activities are considered to be a normal part of the audit process and do not usually create threats as long as the client is responsible for making decisions in the preparation of accounting records and financial statements.</p>	<p>601.2 A2</p> <p>The audit process necessitates dialogue between the firm and the management of the audit client, which might involve:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applying accounting standards or policies and financial statement disclosure requirements. Assessing the appropriateness of financial and accounting control and the methods used in determining the stated amounts of assets and liabilities. Proposing adjusting journal entries arising from audit findings. Responding to questions relating to financial reporting. Discussing how to resolve account reconciliation problems. Analyzing of information for financial or regulatory reporting 	<p>Drafting refinement; no change in substance; material in extant paragraphs 601.3 A3 and 601.3 A4 are merged</p>
601.3 A4	<p>Similarly, the client might request technical assistance on matters such as resolving account reconciliation problems or analyzing and</p>		

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

Extant Code (2018 IESBA Handbook)	Proposed Changes to Part 4A (Section 600)	Comments
<p>accumulating information for regulatory reporting. In addition, the client might request technical advice on accounting issues such as the conversion of existing financial statements from one financial reporting framework to another. Examples include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Complying with group accounting policies. • Transitioning to a different financial reporting framework such as International Financial Reporting Standards. <p>Such services do not usually create threats provided neither the firm nor network firm assumes a management responsibility for the client.</p>	<p>purposes.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussing how to comply with group accounting policies • Discussing how to convert an existing financial statements from one reporting framework to another. <p>These activities do not usually create threats as long as the client accepts responsibility for making the decisions involved in the preparation of accounting records or financial statements and the firm does not assume a management responsibility.</p>	
<p>601.4 A1 Accounting and bookkeeping services that are routine or mechanical in nature require little or no professional judgment. Some examples of these services are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Preparing payroll calculations or reports based on client-originated data for approval and payment by the client. • Recording recurring transactions for which amounts are easily determinable from source documents or originating data, such as a utility bill where the client has determined or approved the appropriate 	<p>601.4 A1 Routine and mechanical accounting and bookkeeping services [are clerical in nature and] require little or no professional judgment and might include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Preparing payroll calculations or reports based on client-originated data for approval and payment by the client. • Recording recurring transactions for which amounts are easily determinable from source documents or originating data, such as a utility 	<p>Drafting refinement to the lead in including to pick up a suggestion to include “clerical in nature”</p>

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

Extant Code (2018 IESBA Handbook)		Proposed Changes to Part 4A (Section 600)	Comments
	<p>account classification.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Calculating depreciation on fixed assets when the client determines the accounting policy and estimates of useful life and residual values. • Posting transactions coded by the client to the general ledger. • Posting client-approved entries to the trial balance. • Preparing financial statements based on information in the client-approved trial balance and preparing related notes based on client-approved records. 	<p>bill where the client has determined or approved the appropriate account classification.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Calculating depreciation on fixed assets when the client determines the accounting policy and estimates of useful life and residual values. • Posting transactions coded by the client to the general ledger. • Posting client-approved entries to the trial balance. • Preparing financial statements based on information in the client-approved trial balance and preparing related notes based on client-approved records. <p>The firm may provide such services to audit clients that are not public interest entities provided that the firm complies with the requirements of R400.14 to ensure that it does not assume management responsibility for the results of the service and with the requirement in R601.4 (b).</p>	
R601.5	A firm or a network firm shall not provide to an audit client that is not a public interest entity accounting and bookkeeping services including	R601.4 A firm or a network firm shall not provide to an audit client that is not a public interest entity accounting and	Slight refinement in subparagraph (b)

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

Extant Code (2018 IESBA Handbook)		Proposed Changes to Part 4A (Section 600)		Comments
	<p>preparing financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion or financial information which forms the basis of such financial statements, unless:</p> <p>(a) The services are of a routine or mechanical nature; and</p> <p>(b) The firm addresses any threats that are created by providing such services that are not at an acceptable level.</p>		<p>bookkeeping services, including preparing financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion or financial information which forms the basis of such financial statements, unless:</p> <p>(a) The services are of a routine or mechanical nature; and</p> <p>(b) The firm addresses any threats that are not at an acceptable level created by providing such services.</p>	
601.5 A1	<p>Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address a self-review threat created when providing accounting and bookkeeping services of a routine and mechanical nature to an audit client include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service. • Having an appropriate reviewer who was not involved in providing the service review the audit work or service performed. 	601.4 A2	<p>Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address a self-review threat created when providing accounting and bookkeeping services of a routine and mechanical nature to an audit client that is not a public interest entity include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service. • Having an appropriate reviewer who was not involved in providing the service review the audit work or service performed. 	No change
R601.6	<p>Subject to paragraph R601.7, a firm or a network firm shall not provide to an audit client that is a public interest entity accounting and bookkeeping</p>	R601.5	<p>A firm or a network firm shall not provide accounting and bookkeeping services to an audit client that is a public interest entity</p>	Self-review threat prohibition is established for PIEs

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

Extant Code (2018 IESBA Handbook)		Proposed Changes to Part 4A (Section 600)	Comments
	services including preparing financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion or financial information which forms the basis of such financial statements.	when the results of the services create a self-review threat that affects the accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.	
R601.7	<p>As an exception to paragraph R601.6, a firm or network firm may provide accounting and bookkeeping services of a routine or mechanical nature for divisions or related entities of an audit client that is a public interest entity if the personnel providing the services are not audit team members and:</p> <p>(a) The divisions or related entities for which the service is provided are collectively immaterial to the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion; or</p> <p>(b) The service relates to matters that are collectively immaterial to the financial statements of the division or related entity.</p>	n/a	Exception for divisions and related entities are withdrawn

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

SUBSECTION 602 – ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES			
602.1	Providing administrative services to an audit client does not usually create a threat.	602.3 A1 Providing administrative services to an audit client does not usually create a threat when such services are clerical in nature and require little to no professional judgment.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More specificity about likelihood of threat being created; • Now includes 2nd sentence of extant 602.3 A1
602.2	In addition to the specific application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in paragraphs 600.1 to R600.10 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework when providing administrative services.	602.1 In addition to the specific application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in paragraphs 600.1 to R600.21 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework when providing administrative services.	No change
602.3 A1	Administrative services involve assisting clients with their routine or mechanical tasks within the normal course of operations. Such services require little to no professional judgment and are clerical in nature.	602.2 A1 Administrative services involve assisting clients with their routine or mechanical tasks within the normal course of operations.	2 nd sentence is repositioned to 602.3 A1
602.3 A2	Examples of administrative services include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Word processing services. • Preparing administrative or statutory forms for client approval. • Submitting such forms as instructed by the client. • Monitoring statutory filing dates, and advising an audit client of those dates. 	602.2 A2 Examples of administrative services include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Word processing or document formatting. • Preparing administrative or statutory forms for client approval. • Submitting such forms as instructed by the client. • Monitoring filing dates and advising the audit client of those dates. 	No change

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

SUBSECTION 603 – VALUATION SERVICES				
603.1	Providing valuation services to an audit client might create a self-review or advocacy threat.	603.3 A1	Providing a valuation service to an audit client might create a self-review threat when the results of the service impact the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion. Such services might also create an advocacy threat.	More specificity about likelihood of threat being created
603.2	In addition to the specific requirements and application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in paragraphs 600.1 to R600.10 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework when providing valuation services to an audit client. This subsection includes requirements that prohibit firms and network firms from providing certain valuation services to audit clients in some circumstances because the threats created cannot be addressed by applying safeguards.	603.1	In addition to the specific requirements and application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in paragraphs 600.1 to R600.21 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework when providing valuation services to an audit client.	No change in substance, 2 nd sentence that repeats material in 600.3 is dropped
603.3 A1	A valuation comprises the making of assumptions with regard to future developments, the application of appropriate methodologies and techniques, and the combination of both to compute a certain value, or range of values, for an asset, a liability or for a business as a whole.	603.2 A1	A valuation comprises the making of assumptions with regard to future developments, the application of appropriate methodologies and techniques and the combination of both to compute a certain value, or range of values, for an asset, a liability or for the whole or part of an entity.	Refinement to change “a liability or for a business as a whole” to “a liability or for the whole or part of an entity.”
603.3 A2	If a firm or network firm is requested to perform a valuation to assist an audit client with its tax reporting obligations or for tax planning purposes and the results of the valuation will not have a	603.2 A2	If a firm or a network firm is requested to perform a valuation to assist an audit client with its tax reporting obligations or for tax planning purposes and the results of the valuation affect only the	“direct effect on the financial statements” is changed to “affect only the accounting

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

	direct effect on the financial statements, the application material set out in paragraphs 604.9 A1 to 604.9 A5, relating to such services, applies.		accounting records or the financial statements through accounting entries related to tax, the requirement and application material set out in paragraphs 604.16 A1 to R604.19 A1, relating to such services, apply.	records or the financial statements”
603.3 A3	<p>Factors that are relevant in evaluating the level of self-review or advocacy threats created by providing valuation services to an audit client include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The use and purpose of the valuation report. • Whether the valuation report will be made public. • The extent of the client’s involvement in determining and approving the valuation methodology and other significant matters of judgment. • The degree of subjectivity inherent in the item for valuations involving standard or established methodologies. • Whether the valuation will have a material effect on the financial statements. • The extent and clarity of the disclosures related to the valuation in the financial statements. • The degree of dependence on future events of a nature that might create significant volatility inherent in the amounts involved. 	603.3 A2	<p>Factors that are relevant in identifying self-review or advocacy threats, and evaluating the level of any such threats, created by providing valuation services to an audit client include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The use and purpose of the valuation report. • Whether the valuation report will be made public. • The extent of the client’s involvement in determining and approving the valuation methodology and other significant matters of judgment. • The degree of subjectivity inherent in the item for valuations involving standard or established methodologies. • Whether the valuation will have a material effect on the financial statements. • The extent of the disclosures related to the valuation in the financial statements. • The volatility of the amounts involved as a result of dependence on future events. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lead in now emphasizes the factors are also relevant in identifying threats

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

603.3 A4	<p>Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address threats include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service might address self-review or advocacy threats. • Having an appropriate reviewer who was not involved in providing the service review the audit work or service performed might address a self-review threat. 	<p>603.3 A3 Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address threats created by providing valuation services to an audit client that is not a public interest entity include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service might address self-review or advocacy threats. • Having an appropriate reviewer who was not involved in providing the service review the audit work or service performed might address a self-review threat. 	Proposal applies only to non-PIEs
R603.4	<p>A firm or a network firm shall not provide a valuation service to an audit client that is not a public interest entity if:</p> <p>(a) The valuation involves a significant degree of subjectivity; and</p> <p>(b) The valuation will have a material effect on the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.</p>	<p>R603.4 A firm or a network firm shall not provide a valuation service to an audit client that is not a public interest entity if:</p> <p>(a) The valuation involves a significant degree of subjectivity; and</p> <p>(b) The valuation will have a material effect on the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.</p>	No change
603.4 A1	<p>Certain valuations do not involve a significant degree of subjectivity. This is likely to be the case when the underlying assumptions are either established by law or regulation, or are widely accepted and when the techniques and methodologies to be used are based on generally accepted standards or prescribed by law or</p>	<p>603.4 A1 Certain valuations do not involve a significant degree of subjectivity. This is likely to be the case when the underlying assumptions are either established by law or regulation, or are widely accepted and when the techniques and methodologies to be used are based on generally accepted standards or prescribed by law or</p>	No change

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

	regulation. In such circumstances, the results of a valuation performed by two or more parties are not likely to be materially different.	regulation. In such circumstances, the results of a valuation performed by two or more parties are not likely to be materially different.	
R603.5	A firm or a network firm shall not provide a valuation service to an audit client that is a public interest entity if the valuation service would have a material effect, individually or in the aggregate, on the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.	<p>Self-review Threats</p> <p>R603.5 A firm or a network firm shall not provide a valuation service to an audit client that is a public interest entity if the provision of that valuation service will create a self-review threat in relation to the audit of the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.</p> <p>Advocacy Threats</p> <p>603.5 A1 An example of an action that might be a safeguard to address an advocacy threat created by providing valuation services to an audit client that is a public interest entity is using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service.</p>	<p>For PIEs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The materiality qualifier is dropped • Self-review threat prohibition is established • For services that create only advocacy threats, new application material provides an example of safeguard
SUBSECTION 604 – TAX SERVICES			
604.3 A1	Providing tax services to an audit client might create a self-review or advocacy threat.	604.3 A1 Providing tax services to an audit client might create a self-review threat when the results of the services will affect the accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express	More specificity about likelihood of threat being created

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

		an opinion. Such services might also create an advocacy threat.	
604.3 A2	In addition to the specific requirements and application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in paragraphs 600.1 to R600.10 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework when providing a tax service to an audit client. This subsection includes requirements that prohibit firms and network firms from providing certain tax services to audit clients in some circumstances because the threats created cannot be addressed by applying safeguards.	604.1 In addition to the specific requirements and application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in paragraphs 600.1 to R600.21 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework when providing a tax service to an audit client.	No change in substance, 2 nd sentence that repeats material in 600.3 is dropped
604.3 A1	<p>Tax services comprise a broad range of services, including activities such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tax return preparation. • Tax calculations for the purpose of preparing the accounting entries. • Tax planning and other tax advisory services. • Tax services involving valuations. • Assistance in the resolution of tax disputes. <p>While this subsection deals with each type of tax service listed above under separate headings, in practice, the activities involved in providing tax services are often inter-related.</p>	<p>604.2 A1 Tax services comprise a broad range of services. This subsection deals specifically with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tax return preparation. • Tax calculations for the purpose of preparing accounting entries. • Tax advisory services • Tax planning services. • Tax services involving valuations. • Assistance in the resolution of tax disputes. <p>604.2 A2 It is possible to consider tax services under broad headings, such as tax planning or compliance. However, such services are often interrelated in practice and might be combined with other types of</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No substantive change • 3rd bullet of extant is split • Hanging paragraph is now its own paragraph with some drafting refinements

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

		non-assurance services provided by the firm such as corporate finance services. It is, therefore, impracticable to categorize services in order to identify generically the threats to which specific tax services give rise.	
604.3 A2	<p>Factors that are relevant in evaluating the level of threats created by providing any tax service to an audit client include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The particular characteristics of the engagement. • The level of tax expertise of the client's employees. • The system by which the tax authorities assess and administer the tax in question and the role of the firm or network firm in that process. • The complexity of the relevant tax regime and the degree of judgment necessary in applying it. 	<p>604.3 A2 Factors that are relevant in identifying self-review or advocacy threats, and evaluating the level of any such threats, created by providing any tax service to an audit client include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The particular characteristics of the engagement. • The level of tax expertise of the client's employees. • The system by which the tax authorities assess and administer the tax in question and the role of the firm or network firm in that process. • The complexity of the relevant tax regime and the degree of judgment necessary in applying it. • The extent to which the results of the services will be used for financial reporting purposes. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lead in now emphasizes the factors are also relevant in identifying threats • The last bullet of proposal includes a new factor
n/a	n/a	R604.4 A firm or a network firm shall not provide a tax service to an audit client if the service relates to marketing, planning, or opining in favor of a tax treatment that was initially recommended, directly	New provisions applicable to all audit clients;

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

		<p>or indirectly, by the firm or network firm, and a significant purpose of the service is tax avoidance, unless that treatment has a basis in applicable tax law and regulation that is likely to prevail.</p> <p>604.4 A1 Providing the non-assurance service that fails to meet the requirements in paragraph R604.4 creates self-interest, self-review and advocacy threats that cannot be eliminated and safeguards are not capable of being applied to reduce those threats to an acceptable level.</p>	adapted from US PCAOB Rule 3522
Tax Return Preparation			
604.4 A1	Providing tax return preparation services does not usually create a threat.	<p>604.6 A1 Providing tax return preparation services does not usually create a threat because:</p> <p>(a) Tax return preparation services are based on historical information and principally involve analysis and presentation of such historical information under existing tax law, including precedents and established practice; and</p> <p>(b) Tax returns are subject to whatever review or approval process the tax authority considers appropriate.</p>	More specificity about likelihood of threat being created
604.4 A2	<p>Tax return preparation services involve:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assisting clients with their tax reporting obligations by drafting and compiling information, including the amount of tax due (usually on standardized forms) required to 	<p>604.5 A1 Tax return preparation services include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assisting clients with their tax reporting obligations by drafting and compiling information, including the amount of tax due (usually on standardized forms) 	No substantive change; 2 nd bullet of extant is split

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

	<p>be submitted to the applicable tax authorities.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Advising on the tax return treatment of past transactions and responding on behalf of the audit client to the tax authorities' requests for additional information and analysis (for example, providing explanations of and technical support for the approach being taken). 	<p>required to be submitted to the applicable tax authorities.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Advising on the tax return treatment of past transactions. Responding on behalf of the audit client to the tax authorities' requests for additional information and analysis (for example, providing explanations of and technical support for the approach being taken). 	
604.4 A3	<p>Tax return preparation services are usually based on historical information and principally involve analysis and presentation of such historical information under existing tax law, including precedents and established practice. Further, the tax returns are subject to whatever review or approval process the tax authority considers appropriate.</p>	<p>604.6 A1 Providing tax return preparation services does not usually create a threat because:</p> <p>(a) Tax return preparation services are based on historical information and principally involve analysis and presentation of such historical information under existing tax law, including precedents and established practice; and</p> <p>(b) Tax returns are subject to whatever review or approval process the tax authority considers appropriate.</p>	<p>Reframed into a statement about the likelihood of a threat</p>
Tax Calculations for the Purpose of Preparing Accounting Entries			
n/a	n/a	<p>604.7 A1 This service involves the preparation of tax calculations (current and deferred tax liabilities or assets) for the purpose of preparing accounting entries supporting the tax asset or liability in the financial statements of the audit client.</p>	<p>New application material to establish a description of service</p>
604.5 A1	<p>Preparing calculations of current and deferred tax liabilities (or assets) for an audit client for the</p>	<p>604.8 A1 Preparing tax calculations of current and deferred tax liabilities (or assets) for an audit client for the</p>	<p>The words "that will be subsequently</p>

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

	purpose of preparing accounting entries that will be subsequently audited by the firm creates a self-review threat.	purpose of preparing accounting entries creates a self-review threat.	audited by the firm” used in extant is dropped
604.5 A2	In addition to the factors in paragraph 604.3 A2, a factor that is relevant in evaluating the level of the threat created when preparing such calculations for an audit client is whether the calculation might have a material effect on the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.	604.9 A1 A self-review threat is created when preparing tax calculations of current and deferred tax liabilities (or assets) for an audit client that is not a public interest entity for the purpose of preparing accounting entries. A factor that is relevant in evaluating the level of self-review threat created in addition to those in paragraph 604.3 A2, is whether the calculation might have a material effect on the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.	Proposal is for non-PIEs only
604.5 A3	Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address such a self-review threat when the audit client is not a public interest entity include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service. • Having an appropriate reviewer who was not involved in providing the service review the audit work or service performed. 	604.9 A1 Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address such a self-review threat when the audit client is not a public interest entity include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service. • Having an appropriate reviewer who was not involved in providing the service review the audit work or service performed. 	No change
R604.6	A firm or a network firm shall not prepare tax calculations of current and deferred tax liabilities (or assets) for an audit client that is a public interest entity for the purpose of preparing accounting entries that are material to the	R604.10 A firm or a network firm shall not prepare tax calculations of current and deferred tax liabilities (or assets) for an audit client that is a public interest entity when the results of the services	For PIEs: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The materiality qualifier is dropped

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

	financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.		create a self-review threat that affects the accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Self-review threat prohibition is established
604.6 A1	The examples of actions that might be safeguards in paragraph 604.5 A3 to address self-review threats are also applicable when preparing tax calculations of current and deferred tax liabilities (or assets) to an audit client that is a public interest entity that are immaterial to the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.			
Tax Planning and Other Tax Advisory Services				
604.7 A1	Providing tax planning and other tax advisory services might create a self-review or advocacy threat.	604.12 A1	Providing tax advisory and tax planning services might create a self-review threat when the results of the services will affect the accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion. Such services might also create an advocacy threat.	More specificity about likelihood of threat being created
n/a	n/a	604.12 A2	<p>Providing tax advisory and tax planning services that include advice and recommendations, will not create a self-review threat if such services:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Are supported by a tax authority or other precedent; (b) Are based on an established practice (being a practice that has been commonly used over a long period and has not been 	New application material to indicate the conditions in which providing of tax advice will not create a self-review threat

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

		<p style="text-align: right;">challenged by the relevant tax authority); or</p> <p>(c) Have a basis in tax law that is likely to prevail.</p>	
604.7 A2	Tax planning or other tax advisory services comprise a broad range of services, such as advising the client how to structure its affairs in a tax efficient manner or advising on the application of a new tax law or regulation.	604.11 A1 Tax advisory and tax planning services comprise a broad range of services, such as advising the client how to structure its affairs in a tax efficient manner or advising on the application of a new tax law or regulation.	No change
604.7 A3	<p>In addition to paragraph 604.3 A2, factors that are relevant in evaluating the level of self-review or advocacy threats created by providing tax planning and other tax advisory services to audit clients include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The degree of subjectivity involved in determining the appropriate treatment for the tax advice in the financial statements. • Whether the tax treatment is supported by a private ruling or has otherwise been cleared by the tax authority before the preparation of the financial statements. <p>For example, whether the advice provided as a result of the tax planning and other tax advisory services:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Is clearly supported by a tax authority or other precedent. 	<p>604.12 A3 In addition to those identified in paragraph 604.3 A2, factors that are relevant in identifying self-review or advocacy threats, and evaluating the level of any such threats created by providing tax advisory and tax planning services to audit clients include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The degree of subjectivity involved in determining the appropriate treatment for the tax advice in the financial statements. • Whether the tax treatment is supported by a ruling or has otherwise been cleared by the tax authority before the preparation of the financial statements. • The extent to which the outcome of the tax advice might have a material effect on the financial statements. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lead in now emphasizes the factors are also relevant in identifying threats • 2nd part of 2nd bullet moved to new paragraph to establish new application material to indicate the conditions in which providing of tax advice will not create a self-review threat

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Is an established practice. ○ Has a basis in tax law that is likely to prevail. • The extent to which the outcome of the tax advice will have a material effect on the financial statements. • Whether the effectiveness of the tax advice depends on the accounting treatment or presentation in the financial statements and there is doubt as to the appropriateness of the accounting treatment or presentation under the relevant financial reporting framework. 	<p>604.12 A2 Providing tax advisory and tax planning services that include advice and recommendations, will not create a self-review threat if such services:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Are supported by a tax authority or other precedent; (b) Are based on an established practice (being a practice that has been commonly used over a long period and has not been challenged by the relevant tax authority); or (c) Have a basis in tax law that is likely to prevail. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4th bullet is dropped
604.7 A4	<p>Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address such threats include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service might address self-review or advocacy threats. • Having an appropriate reviewer, who was not involved in providing the service review the audit work or service performed might address a self-review threat. • Obtaining pre-clearance from the tax authorities might address self-review or advocacy threats. 	<p>604.14 A1 Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address threats arising from the provision of tax advisory and tax planning services to an audit client that is not a public interest entity include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service might address self-review or advocacy threats. • Having an appropriate reviewer, who was not involved in providing the service, review the audit work or service performed might address a self-review threat. 	<p>Proposal applies only to non-PIEs</p>

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Obtaining pre-clearance from the tax authorities might address self-review or advocacy threats. 	
R604.8	<p>A firm or a network firm shall not provide tax planning and other tax advisory services to an audit client when the effectiveness of the tax advice depends on a particular accounting treatment or presentation in the financial statements and:</p> <p>(a) The audit team has reasonable doubt as to the appropriateness of the related accounting treatment or presentation under the relevant financial reporting framework; and</p> <p>(b) The outcome or consequences of the tax advice will have a material effect on the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.</p>	<p>R604.13 A firm or a network firm shall not provide tax advisory and tax planning services to an audit client when:</p> <p>(a) The effectiveness of the tax advice depends on a particular accounting treatment or presentation in the financial statements; and</p> <p>(b) The audit team has doubt as to the appropriateness of the related accounting treatment or presentation under the relevant financial reporting framework.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For PIEs, the materiality qualifier is dropped Paragraph is also redrafted to enhance clarity
n/a	n/a	<p>Self-review Threats</p> <p>R604.15 A firm or a network firm shall not provide tax advisory and tax planning services to an audit client that is a public interest entity if the provision of such services might create a self-review threat in relation to the audit of the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.</p> <p>Advocacy threats</p> <p>604.15 A1 Examples of actions that might be safeguards to</p>	<p>For PIEs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strengthened provisions for tax advisory and tax planning service with self-review threat prohibition

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

		<p>address an advocacy threat created by tax advisory and tax planning services for an audit client that is a public interest entity include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service. • Obtaining pre-clearance from the tax authorities. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For services that create only advocacy threats, new application material provides examples of safeguard
Tax Services Involving Valuations			
n/a	n/a	<p>604.16 A1 The provision of tax services involving valuations can arise in a range of circumstances and include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Merger and acquisition transactions. • Group restructurings and corporate reorganizations. • Transfer pricing studies. • Stock-based compensation arrangements. 	<p>New application material with a description of service</p>
604.9 A1	<p>Providing tax valuation services to an audit client might create a self-review or advocacy threat.</p>	<p>604.17 A1 Providing valuation for tax purposes to an audit client might create a self-review threat when the results of the services will affect the accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion. Such services might also create an advocacy threat.</p>	<p>More specificity about likelihood of threat being created</p>
604.9 A2	<p>A firm or a network firm might perform a valuation for tax purposes only, where the result of the valuation will not have a direct effect on the</p>	<p>604.18 A1 A firm or a network firm might perform a valuation for tax purposes only for an audit client that is not</p>	<p>“direct effect on the financial statements” is changed to “affect</p>

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

	<p>financial statements (that is, the financial statements are only affected through accounting entries related to tax). This would not usually create threats if the effect on the financial statements is immaterial or the valuation is subject to external review by a tax authority or similar regulatory authority.</p>	<p>a public interest entity where the result of the valuation only affects the accounting records or the financial statements through accounting entries related to tax. This would not usually create threats if the effect on the financial statements is immaterial or the valuation is subject to external review by a tax authority or similar regulatory authority.</p>	<p>only the accounting records or the financial statements”</p>
604.9 A3	<p>If the valuation that is performed for tax purposes is not subject to an external review and the effect is material to the financial statements, in addition to paragraph 604.3 A2, the following factors are relevant in evaluating the level of self-review or advocacy threats created by providing those services to an audit client:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The extent to which the valuation methodology is supported by tax law or regulation, other precedent or established practice. • The degree of subjectivity inherent in the valuation. • The reliability and extent of the underlying data. 	<p>604.18 A2</p> <p>If the valuation that is performed for tax purposes is not subject to an external review and the effect is material to the financial statements, in addition to those identified in paragraph 604.3 A2, the following factors are relevant in identifying self-review or advocacy threats, and evaluating the level of such threats, created by providing those services to an audit client that is not a public interest entity:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The extent to which the valuation methodology is supported by tax law or regulation, other precedent or established practice. • The degree of subjectivity inherent in the valuation. • The reliability and extent of the underlying data. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emphasis that the factors are also relevant in identifying threats

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

604.9 A4	<p>Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address threats include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service might address self-review or advocacy threats. • Having an appropriate reviewer who was not involved in providing the service review the audit work or service performed might address a self-review threat. • Obtaining pre-clearance from the tax authorities might address self-review or advocacy threats. 	<p>604.18 A3 Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address threats for an audit client that is not a public interest entity include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service might address self-review or advocacy threats. • Having an appropriate reviewer who was not involved in providing the service review the audit work or service performed might address a self-review threat. • Obtaining pre-clearance from the tax authorities might address self-review or advocacy threats. 	Proposal applies only to non-PIEs
n/a	n/a	<p>Self-review Threats</p> <p>R604.19 A firm or a network firm shall not perform a valuation for tax purposes for a public interest entity where the results of the service create a self-review threat that affects the accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express opinion, unless:</p> <p>(a) The underlying assumptions are either established by law or regulation, or are widely accepted; or</p> <p>(b) The techniques and methodologies to be used are based on generally accepted standards or prescribed by law or regulation,</p>	<p>For PIEs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengthened provisions with self-review threat prohibition • For services that create only advocacy threats, new application material provides

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

		<p style="text-align: right;">and the valuation is subject to external review by a tax authority or similar regulatory authority.</p> <p>Advocacy Threats</p> <p>604.19 A1 Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address an advocacy threat for an audit client that is a public interest entity include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service. • Obtaining pre-clearance from the tax authorities. 	<p>examples of safeguards</p>
<p>604.9 A5</p>	<p>A firm or network firm might also perform a tax valuation to assist an audit client with its tax reporting obligations or for tax planning purposes where the result of the valuation will have a direct effect on the financial statements. In such situations, the requirements and application material set out in Subsection 603 relating to valuation services apply.</p>	<p>604.17 A2 When a firm or a network firm performs a valuation for tax purposes to assist an audit client with its tax reporting obligations or for tax planning purposes, the result of the valuation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • May have no effect on the accounting records or the financial statements other than through accounting entries related to tax. In such situations, the requirements and application material set out in this subsection apply. • May affect the accounting records or the financial statements in ways not limited to accounting entries related to tax – for example, because the valuation leads to a revaluation of assets. In such situations, the requirements and application material set out in subsection 603 relating to valuation 	<p>Paragraph is redrafted to enhance clarity</p>

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

		services apply.	
Assistance in the Resolution of Tax Disputes			
604.10 A1	Providing assistance in the resolution of tax disputes to an audit client might create a self-review or advocacy threat.	604.21 A1 Providing assistance in the resolution of a tax dispute to an audit client might create a self-review or advocacy threat.	No change
604.10 A2	A tax dispute might reach a point when the tax authorities have notified an audit client that arguments on a particular issue have been rejected and either the tax authority or the client refers the matter for determination in a formal proceeding, for example, before a public tribunal or court.	604.20 A1 A non-assurance service to provide assistance to an audit client in the resolution of tax disputes might arise from a tax authority's consideration of tax calculations and treatments. This includes, for example, providing assistance when the tax authorities have notified the client that arguments on a particular issue have been rejected and either the tax authority or the client refers the matter for determination in a formal proceeding, for example, before a tribunal or court.	Reframed into a description of service
604.10 A3	<p>In addition to paragraph 604.3 A2, factors that are relevant in evaluating the level of self-review or advocacy threats created by assisting an audit client in the resolution of tax disputes include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The role management plays in the resolution of the dispute. • The extent to which the outcome of the dispute will have a material effect on the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion. • Whether the advice that was provided is the 	<p>604.22 A1 In addition to those identified in paragraph 604.3 A2, factors that are relevant in identifying self-review or advocacy threats, and evaluating the level of any such threats, created by assisting an audit client in the resolution of tax disputes include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The role management plays in the resolution of the dispute. • The extent to which the outcome of the dispute will have a material effect on the 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lead in now emphasizes the factors are also relevant in identifying threats

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

	<p>subject of the tax dispute.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The extent to which the matter is supported by tax law or regulation, other precedent, or established practice. Whether the proceedings are conducted in public. 	<p>financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether the firm or network firm provided the advice that is the subject of the tax dispute. The extent to which the matter is supported by tax law or regulation, other precedent, or established practice. Whether the proceedings are conducted in public. 	
604.10 A4	<p>Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address threats include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service might address self-review or advocacy threats. Having an appropriate reviewer who was not involved in providing the service review the audit work or the service performed might address a self-review threat. 	<p>604.23 A1 Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address threats for an audit client that is not a public interest entity include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service might address self-review or advocacy threats. Having an appropriate reviewer who was not involved in providing the service review the audit work or the service performed might address a self-review threat. 	Proposal applies only to non-PIEs
n/a	n/a	<p>Self-review Threats</p> <p>R604.24 A firm or a network firm shall not provide assistance in the resolution of tax disputes to an audit client that is a public interest entity if the provision of that assistance will create a self-</p>	<p>For PIEs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strengthened provisions with self-review

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
 EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
 Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

		<p style="text-align: center;">review threat in relation to the audit of the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.</p> <p>Advocacy Threats</p> <p>604.24 A1 An example of an action that might be a safeguard to address an advocacy threat for an audit client that is a public interest entity is using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">threat prohibition</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For services that create only advocacy threats, new application material provides an example of safeguards
--	--	--	--

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

Resolution of Tax Matters Including Acting as an Advocate before a Tribunal or Court			
R604.11	<p>A firm or a network firm shall not provide tax services that involve assisting in the resolution of tax disputes to an audit client if:</p> <p>(a) The services involve acting as an advocate for the audit client before a public tribunal or court in the resolution of a tax matter; and</p> <p>(b) The amounts involved are material to the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.</p>	<p>R604.25 A firm or a network firm shall not provide tax services that involve assisting in the resolution of tax disputes to an audit client that is not a public interest entity if:</p> <p>(a) The services involve acting as an advocate for the audit client before a tribunal or court in the resolution of a tax matter; and</p> <p>(b) The amounts involved are material to the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.</p> <p>R604.26 A firm or a network firm shall not provide tax services that involve assisting in the resolution of tax disputes to an audit client that is a public interest entity if the services involve acting as an advocate for the audit client before a tribunal or court.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For PIEs, the materiality qualifier is dropped and service is prohibited • No change for non-PIEs
604.11 A1	<p>Paragraph R604.11 does not preclude a firm or network firm from having a continuing advisory role in relation to the matter that is being heard before a public tribunal or court, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Responding to specific requests for information. • Providing factual accounts or testimony about the work performed. • Assisting the client in analyzing the tax 	<p>604.27 A1 Paragraphs R604.25 and R604.26 do not preclude a firm or a network firm from having a continuing advisory role in relation to the matter that is being heard before a tribunal or court, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Responding to specific requests for information. • Providing factual accounts or testimony about the work performed. 	No change

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

	issues related to the matter.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assisting the client in analyzing the tax issues related to the matter. 	
604.11 A2	What constitutes a “public tribunal or court” depends on how tax proceedings are heard in the particular jurisdiction.	604.27 A2 What constitutes a “tribunal or court” depends on how tax proceedings are heard in the particular jurisdiction.	The word “public” is deleted
SUBSECTION 605 – INTERNAL AUDIT SERVICES			
605.1	Providing internal audit services to an audit client might create a self-review threat.	605.4 A1 Providing internal audit services to an audit client might create a self-review threat when the results of the services impact the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.	More specificity about likelihood of threat being created
605.2	In addition to the specific requirements and application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in paragraphs 600.1 to R600.10 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework when providing an internal audit service to an audit client. This subsection includes requirements that prohibit firms and network firms from providing certain internal audit services to audit clients in some circumstances because the threats created cannot be addressed by applying safeguards.	605.1 In addition to the specific requirements and application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in paragraphs 600.1 to R600.21 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework when providing an internal audit service to an audit client.	No change in substance, 2 nd sentence that repeats material in 600.3 is dropped
605.3 A1	Internal audit services involve assisting the audit client in the performance of its internal audit activities. Internal audit activities might include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitoring of internal control – reviewing controls, monitoring their operation and recommending improvements to them. 	605.2 A1 Internal audit services comprise a broad range of activities and might involve assisting the audit client in the performance of one or more aspects of its internal auditing activities. Internal audit activities might include:	No change

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Examining financial and operating information by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Reviewing the means used to identify, measure, classify and report financial and operating information. ○ Inquiring specifically into individual items including detailed testing of transactions, balances and procedures. • Reviewing the economy, efficiency and effectiveness of operating activities including non-financial activities of an entity. • Reviewing compliance with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Laws, regulations and other external requirements. ○ Management policies, directives and other internal requirements. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monitoring of internal control – reviewing controls, monitoring their operation and recommending improvements to them. • Examining financial and operating information by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Reviewing the means used to identify, measure, classify and report financial and operating information. ○ Inquiring specifically into individual items including detailed testing of transactions, balances and procedures. • Reviewing the economy, efficiency and effectiveness of operating activities including non-financial activities of an entity. • Reviewing compliance with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Laws, regulations and other external requirements. ○ Management policies, directives and other internal requirements. 	
605.3 A2	The scope and objectives of internal audit activities vary widely and depend on the size and structure of the entity and the requirements of management and those charged with governance.	605.2 A2 The scope and objectives of internal audit activities vary widely and depend on the size and structure of the entity and the requirements of those charged with governance as well as the needs and expectations of management. As they might involve matters that are operational in	2 nd sentence is new

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

		nature, they do not necessarily relate to matters that will be subject to consideration in relation to the audit of the financial statements.		
R605.4	<p>When providing an internal audit service to an audit client, the firm shall be satisfied that:</p> <p>(a) The client designates an appropriate and competent resource, preferably within senior management, to:</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">(i) Be responsible at all times for internal audit activities; and</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">(ii) Acknowledge responsibility for designing, implementing, monitoring and maintaining internal control.</p> <p>(b) The client’s management or those charged with governance reviews, assesses and approves the scope, risk and frequency of the internal audit services;</p> <p>(c) The client’s management evaluates the adequacy of the internal audit services and the findings resulting from their performance;</p> <p>(d) The client’s management evaluates and determines which recommendations resulting from internal audit services to implement and manages the implementation process; and</p>	R605.3	<p>When providing an internal audit service to an audit client, the firm shall be satisfied that:</p> <p>(a) The client designates an appropriate and competent resource, who reports to a person who is charged with governance to:</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">(i) Be responsible at all times for internal audit activities; and</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">(ii) Acknowledge responsibility for designing, implementing, maintaining and monitoring internal control;</p> <p>(b) The client reviews, assesses and approves the scope, risk and frequency of the internal audit services;</p> <p>(c) The client evaluates the adequacy of the internal audit services and the findings resulting from their performance;</p> <p>(d) The client evaluates and determines which recommendations resulting from internal audit services to implement and manages the implementation process; and</p> <p>(e) The client reports to those charged with governance the significant findings and</p>	<p>“competent resource, preferably within senior management” is changed to “competent resource, who reports to a person who is charged with governance”</p>

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

	(e) The client’s management reports to those charged with governance the significant findings and recommendations resulting from the internal audit services.	recommendations resulting from the internal audit services.	
605.4 A1	Paragraph R600.7 precludes a firm or a network firm from assuming a management responsibility. Performing a significant part of the client’s internal audit activities increases the possibility that firm or network firm personnel providing internal audit services will assume a management responsibility.	605.3 A1 Performing part of the client’s internal audit activities increases the possibility that firm or network firm personnel providing internal audit services will assume a management responsibility.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • First sentence of extant is moved to proposed 605.3 A2 • The words “significant part” is dropped
605.4 A2	Examples of internal audit services that involve assuming management responsibilities include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Setting internal audit policies or the strategic direction of internal audit activities. • Directing and taking responsibility for the actions of the entity’s internal audit employees. • Deciding which recommendations resulting from internal audit activities to implement. • Reporting the results of the internal audit activities to those charged with governance on behalf of management. • Performing procedures that form part of the internal control, such as reviewing and 	605.3 A2 Paragraph R400.13 precludes a firm or a network firm from assuming a management responsibility. Examples of internal audit services that involve assuming management responsibilities include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Setting internal audit policies or the strategic direction of internal audit activities. • Directing and taking responsibility for the actions of the entity’s internal audit employees. • Deciding which recommendations resulting from internal audit activities to implement. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • First sentence is from extant 605.4 A1 • Examples are unchanged

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

	<p>approving changes to employee data access privileges.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Taking responsibility for designing, implementing, monitoring and maintaining internal control. • Performing outsourced internal audit services, comprising all or a substantial portion of the internal audit function, where the firm or network firm is responsible for determining the scope of the internal audit work; and might have responsibility for one or more of the matters noted above. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reporting the results of the internal audit activities to those charged with governance on behalf of management. • Performing procedures that form part of the internal control, such as reviewing and approving changes to employee data access privileges. • Taking responsibility for designing, implementing, monitoring and maintaining internal control. • Performing outsourced internal audit services, comprising all or a substantial portion of the internal audit function, where the firm or network firm is responsible for determining the scope of the internal audit work; and might have responsibility for one or more of the matters noted above. 	
605.4 A3	<p>When a firm uses the work of an internal audit function in an audit engagement, ISAs require the performance of procedures to evaluate the adequacy of that work. Similarly, when a firm or network firm accepts an engagement to provide internal audit services to an audit client, the results of those services might be used in conducting the external audit. This creates a self-review threat because it is possible that the audit team will use the results of the internal audit</p>	605.4 A2 <p>When a firm uses the work of an internal audit function in an audit engagement, ISAs require the performance of procedures to evaluate the adequacy of that work. Similarly, when a firm or a network firm accepts an engagement to provide internal audit services to an audit client, the results of those services might be used in conducting the external audit. This creates a self-review threat because it is possible that the audit team will use the results of the internal audit</p>	No change

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

	<p>service for purposes of the audit engagement without:</p> <p>(a) Appropriately evaluating those results; or</p> <p>(b) Exercising the same level of professional skepticism as would be exercised when the internal audit work is performed by individuals who are not members of the firm.</p>	<p>service for purposes of the audit engagement without:</p> <p>(a) Appropriately evaluating those results; or</p> <p>(b) Exercising the same level of professional skepticism as would be exercised when the internal audit work is performed by individuals who are not members of the firm.</p>	
605.4 A4	<p>Factors that are relevant in evaluating the level of such a self-review threat include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The materiality of the related financial statement amounts. • The risk of misstatement of the assertions related to those financial statement amounts. • The degree of reliance that the audit team will place on the work of the internal audit service, including in the course of an external audit. 	<p>605.5 A1</p> <p>Factors that are relevant in identifying and evaluating the level of self-review threat created by providing internal audit services to an audit client that is not a public interest entity include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The materiality of the related financial statements amounts. • The risk of misstatement of the assertions related to those financial statement amounts. • The degree of reliance that the audit team will place on the work of the internal audit service, including in the course of an external audit. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lead in now emphasizes the factors are also relevant in identifying threats
605.4 A5	<p>An example of an action that might be a safeguard to address such a self-review threat is using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service.</p>	<p>605.5 A2</p> <p>An example of an action that might be a safeguard to address such a self-review threat is using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service.</p>	<p>Proposal applies only to non-PIEs</p>

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

R605.5	<p>A firm or a network firm shall not provide internal audit services to an audit client that is a public interest entity, if the services relate to:</p> <p>(a) A significant part of the internal controls over financial reporting;</p> <p>(b) Financial accounting systems that generate information that is, individually or in the aggregate, material to the client’s accounting records or financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion; or</p> <p>(c) Amounts or disclosures that are, individually or in the aggregate, material to the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.</p>	R605.6	<p>A firm or a network firm shall not provide internal audit services to an audit client that is a public interest entity if the provision of such services will create a self-review threat in relation to the audit of the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.</p> <p>605.6 A1 Examples of the services that are prohibited under paragraph R605.6 include internal audit services that relate to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The internal controls over financial reporting. • Financial accounting systems that generate information for the client’s accounting records or financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion. • Amounts or disclosures that relate to the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion. 	<p>For PIEs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The materiality qualifier is dropped • The term “significant part” is dropped • Self-review threat prohibition is established
SUBSECTION 606 – INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS SERVICES				
606.1	<p>Providing information technology (IT) systems services to an audit client might create a self-review threat.</p>	606.4 A1	<p>Providing IT systems services to an audit client might create a self-review threat when the results of the services impact the audit of the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.</p>	<p>More specificity about likelihood of threat being created</p>
606.2	<p>In addition to the specific requirements and application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in</p>	606.1	<p>In addition to the specific requirements and application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in</p>	<p>No change in substance, 2nd sentence that</p>

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

	<p>paragraphs 600.1 to R600.10 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework when providing an IT systems service to an audit client. This subsection includes requirements that prohibit firms and network firms from providing certain IT systems services to audit clients in some circumstances because the threats created cannot be addressed by applying safeguards.</p>	<p>paragraphs 600.1 to R600.21 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework when providing an information technology (IT) systems service to an audit client.</p>	<p>repeats material in 600.3 is dropped</p>
606.3 A1	<p>Services related to IT systems include the design or implementation of hardware or software systems. The IT systems might:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Aggregate source data; (b) Form part of the internal control over financial reporting; or (c) Generate information that affects the accounting records or financial statements, including related disclosures. <p>However, the IT systems might also involve matters that are unrelated to the audit client's accounting records or the internal control over financial reporting or financial statements.</p>	<p>606.2 A1</p> <p>Services related to IT systems include the design or implementation of hardware or software systems. The IT systems might:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Aggregate source data; (b) Form part of the internal control over financial reporting; or (c) Generate information that affects the accounting records or financial statements, including related disclosures. <p>However, the IT systems might also involve matters that are unrelated to the audit client's accounting records or the internal control over financial reporting or financial statements.</p>	<p>No substantive change</p>
606.3 A2	<p>Paragraph R600.7 precludes a firm or a network firm from assuming a management responsibility. Providing the following IT systems services to an audit client does not usually create a threat as long as personnel of the firm or network firm do not assume a management responsibility:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Designing or implementing IT systems that 	<p>R606.3</p> <p>Paragraph R400.13 precludes a firm or a network firm from assuming a management responsibility. When providing IT systems services to an audit</p>	<p>No substantive change</p>

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

	<p>are unrelated to internal control over financial reporting;</p> <p>(b) Designing or implementing IT systems that do not generate information forming a significant part of the accounting records or financial statements;</p> <p>(c) Implementing “off-the-shelf” accounting or financial information reporting software that was not developed by the firm or network firm, if the customization required to meet the client’s needs is not significant; and</p> <p>(d) Evaluating and making recommendations with respect to an IT system designed, implemented or operated by another service provider or the client.</p>	<p>client, the firm or network firm shall be satisfied that:</p> <p>(a) The client acknowledges its responsibility for establishing and monitoring a system of internal controls;</p> <p>(b) The client assigns the responsibility to make all management decisions with respect to the design and implementation of the hardware or software system to a competent employee, preferably within senior management;</p> <p>(c) The client makes all management decisions with respect to the design and implementation process;</p> <p>(d) The client evaluates the adequacy and results of the design and implementation of the system; and</p> <p>(e) The client is responsible for operating the system (hardware or software) and for the data it uses or generates.</p> <p>606.3 A1 Providing the following IT systems services to an audit client does not usually create a threat as long as personnel of the firm or network firm do not assume a management responsibility:</p> <p>(a) Designing or implementing IT systems that are unrelated to internal control over financial reporting;</p>	
--	--	---	--

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (b) Designing or implementing IT systems that do not generate information forming part of the accounting records or financial statements; and (c) Implementing “off-the-shelf” accounting or financial information reporting software that was not developed by the firm or network firm, if the customization required to meet the client’s needs is not significant. (d) Evaluating and making recommendations with respect to an IT system designed, implemented or operated by another service provider or the client. 	
<p>R606.4</p>	<p>When providing IT systems services to an audit client, the firm or network firm shall be satisfied that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) The client acknowledges its responsibility for establishing and monitoring a system of internal controls; (b) The client assigns the responsibility to make all management decisions with respect to the design and implementation of the hardware or software system to a competent employee, preferably within senior management; (c) The client makes all management decisions with respect to the design and implementation process; 	<p>R606.3</p> <p>Paragraph R400.13 precludes a firm or a network firm from assuming a management responsibility. When providing IT systems services to an audit client, the firm or network firm shall be satisfied that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) The client acknowledges its responsibility for establishing and monitoring a system of internal controls; (b) The client assigns the responsibility to make all management decisions with respect to the design and implementation of the hardware or software system to a competent employee, preferably within senior management; 	<p>No substantive change</p>

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

	<p>(d) The client evaluates the adequacy and results of the design and implementation of the system; and</p> <p>(e) The client is responsible for operating the system (hardware or software) and for the data it uses or generates.</p>	<p>(c) The client makes all management decisions with respect to the design and implementation process;</p> <p>(d) The client evaluates the adequacy and results of the design and implementation of the system; and</p> <p>(e) The client is responsible for operating the system (hardware or software) and for the data it uses or generates.</p>	
606.4 A1	<p>Factors that are relevant in evaluating the level of a self-review threat created by providing IT systems services to an audit client include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The nature of the service. • The nature of IT systems and the extent to which they impact or interact with the client's accounting records or financial statements. • The degree of reliance that will be placed on the particular IT systems as part of the audit. 	<p>606.5 A1 Factors that are relevant in identifying and evaluating the level of a self-review threat created by providing an IT systems service to an audit client that is not a public interest entity include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The nature of the service. • The nature of the client's IT systems and the extent to which the IT systems service impacts or interacts with the client's accounting records, internal controls over financial reporting or financial statements. • The degree of reliance that will be placed on the particular IT systems as part of the audit. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lead in now emphasizes the factors are also relevant in identifying threats
606.4 A2	<p>An example of an action that might be a safeguard to address such a self-review threat is using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service.</p>	<p>606.5 A2 An example of an action that might be a safeguard to address such a self-review threat is using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service.</p>	<p>Proposal applies only to non-PIEs</p>

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

R606.5	<p>A firm or a network firm shall not provide IT systems services to an audit client that is a public interest entity if the services involve designing or implementing IT systems that:</p> <p>(a) Form a significant part of the internal control over financial reporting; or</p> <p>(b) Generate information that is significant to the client’s accounting records or financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion</p>	<p>R606.6 A firm or a network firm shall not provide IT systems services to an audit client that is a public interest entity if the provision of such services will create a self-review threat in relation to the audit of the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.</p> <p>606.6 A1 Examples of services that are prohibited because they give rise to a self-review threat include those involving designing or implementing IT systems that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Form part of the internal control over financial reporting; or • Generate information for the client’s accounting records or financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion. 	<p>For PIEs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The materiality qualifier is dropped (i.e. the word “significant” is dropped) • Self-review threat prohibition is established
SUBSECTION 607 – LITIGATION SUPPORT SERVICES			
607.1	Providing certain litigation support services to an audit client might create a self-review or advocacy threat.	607.3 A1 Providing litigation support services to an audit client might create a self-review threat when the results of the services create a self-review threat in relation to the accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion. Such services might also create an advocacy threat.	More specificity about likelihood of threat being created
607.2	In addition to the specific application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in paragraphs 600.1 to R600.10 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework	607.1 In addition to the specific application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in paragraphs 600.1 to R600.21 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework	No substantive change

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

	when providing a litigation support service to an audit client.	when providing a litigation support service to an audit client.	
607.3 A1	<p>Litigation support services might include activities such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assisting with document management and retrieval. • Acting as a witness, including an expert witness. • Calculating estimated damages or other amounts that might become receivable or payable as the result of litigation or other legal dispute. 	<p>607.2 A1</p> <p>Litigation support services might include activities such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assisting with document management and retrieval. • Acting as a witness, including an expert witness. • Calculating estimated damages or other amounts that might become receivable or payable as the result of litigation or other legal dispute. • Forensic or investigative services. 	<p>The last bullet “Forensic or investigative services” is new</p>
607.3 A2	<p>Factors that are relevant in evaluating the level of self-review or advocacy threats created by providing litigation support services to an audit client include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The legal and regulatory environment in which the service is provided, for example, whether an expert witness is chosen and appointed by a court. • The nature and characteristics of the service. • The extent to which the outcome of the litigation support service will have a material effect on the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion. 	<p>607.4 A1</p> <p>Factors that are relevant in identifying self-review or advocacy threats, and evaluating the level of any such threats, created by providing litigation support services to an audit client include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The legal and regulatory environment in which the service is provided. • The nature and characteristics of the service. • The extent to which the outcome of the litigation support service will involve estimating—damages and other amounts that might have a material effect on the 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lead in now emphasizes the factors are also relevant in identifying threats

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

		financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.	
607.3 A3	An example of an action that might be a safeguard to address such a self-review or advocacy threat is using a professional who was not an audit team member to perform the service.	607.5 A1 An example of an action that might be a safeguard to address such a self-review or advocacy threat for an audit client that is not a public interest entity is using a professional who was not an audit team member to perform the service.	Proposal applies only to non-PIEs
607.3 A4	If a firm or a network firm provides a litigation support service to an audit client and the service involves estimating damages or other amounts that affect the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion, the requirements and application material set out in Subsection 603 related to valuation services apply.	607.4 A2 If a firm or a network firm provides a litigation support service to an audit client and the service involves estimating damages or other amounts that affect the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion, the requirements and application material set out in Subsection 603 related to valuation services apply.	No change
n/a	n/a	<p>Self-review Threats</p> <p>R607.6 A firm or a network firm shall not provide litigation support services to an audit client that is a public interest entity if the provision of such services will create a self-review threat in relation to the audit of the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.</p> <p>607.6 A1 An example of a service that is prohibited because it gives rise to a self-review threat is providing advice in connection with a legal proceeding which affects directly or indirectly the quantification of any</p>	<p>Self-review threat prohibition is established with supporting application material</p> <p>For services that create only advocacy threats, new application material provides examples of safeguard</p>

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

		<p style="text-align: center;">provision in the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.</p> <p><i>Advocacy Threats</i></p> <p>607.6 A2 An example of an action that might be a safeguard to address an advocacy threat created by providing litigation support services to an audit client that is a public interest entity is using a professional who was not an audit team member to perform the service.</p>	
		<p><i>Acting as a Witness</i></p> <p>607.7 A1 A professional within the firm or the network firm might give evidence to a court or tribunal as a witness of fact or as an expert witness.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A witness of fact is an individual who gives evidence to a court or tribunal based on his or her direct knowledge of facts or events in dispute. • An expert witness is an individual who gives evidence, including opinions, based on that individual's expertise, to a court or tribunal on matters relevant to the dispute. <p>607.7 A2 A threat to independence is not created when an individual, in relation to a dispute that involves an audit client, acts as a witness of fact and in the course of doing so provides an opinion within the individual's area of expertise in response to a question asked in the course of giving factual evidence.</p>	<p>New provisions relating to acting as a witness</p>

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

		<p>607.7 A3 An advocacy threat is created when a firm or a network firm or an individual within a firm or a network firm, is engaged to act as an expert witness to give evidence before a court or tribunal on behalf of an audit client. No such advocacy threat is created if a firm or a network firm, or an individual within a firm or a network firm, is appointed by a court or tribunal to act as an expert witness in a dispute involving a client.</p> <p>607.8 A1 An example of an action that might be a safeguard to address an advocacy threat for an audit client that is not a public interest entity is using a professional to perform the service who is not, and has not been a member of the audit team.</p> <p>R607.9 A firm or a network firm or a network firm or an individual within a firm or a network firm, shall not act as an expert witness in a dispute involving an audit client that is a public interest entity unless appointed by a court or tribunal.</p>	
SUBSECTION 608 – LEGAL SERVICES			
608.1	Providing legal services to an audit client might create a self-review or advocacy threat.	608.3 A1 Providing legal services to an audit client might create a self-review threat when the results of the services create a self-review threat that will affect the accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion. Such services might also create an advocacy threat.	More specificity about the likelihood of threat

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

608.2	In addition to the specific requirements and application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in paragraphs 600.1 to R600.10 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework when providing a legal service to an audit client. This subsection includes requirements that prohibit firms and network firms from providing certain legal services to audit clients in some circumstances because the threats cannot be addressed by applying safeguards.	608.1 In addition to the specific requirements and application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in paragraphs 600.1 to R600.21 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework when providing a legal service to an audit client.	No change in substance, 2 nd sentence that repeats material in 600.3 is dropped
608.3 A1	Legal services are defined as any services for which the individual providing the services must either: (a) Have the required legal training to practice law; or (b) Be admitted to practice law before the courts of the jurisdiction in which such services are to be provided.	608.2 A1 Legal services are defined as any services for which the individual providing the services must either: (a) Have the required legal training to practice law; or (b) Be admitted to practice law before the courts of the jurisdiction in which such services are to be provided.	No change
n/a	n/a	608.2 A2 This subsection deals specifically with: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Providing legal advice.• Acting as general counsel.• Acting in an advocacy role.	New paragraph to provide an overview of the different types of legal services covered in subsection

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

608.4 A1	<p>Depending on the jurisdiction, legal advisory services might include a wide and diversified range of service areas including both corporate and commercial services to audit clients, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contract support. • Supporting an audit client in executing a transaction. • Mergers and acquisitions. • Supporting and assisting an audit client's internal legal department. • Legal due diligence and restructuring. 	<p>608.4 A1 Depending on the jurisdiction, providing legal advice might include a wide and diversified range of service areas including both corporate and commercial services to audit clients, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contract support. • Supporting an audit client in executing a transaction. • Mergers and acquisitions. • Supporting and assisting an audit client's internal legal department. • Legal due diligence and restructuring. 	No change
608.4 A2	<p>Factors that are relevant in evaluating the level of self-review or advocacy threats created by providing legal advisory services to an audit client include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The materiality of the specific matter in relation to the client's financial statements. • The complexity of the legal matter and the degree of judgment necessary to provide the service. 	<p>608.5 A1 Factors that are relevant in identifying self-review or advocacy threats, and evaluating the level of any such threats, created by providing legal advice to an audit client that is not a public interest entity include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The materiality of the specific matter in relation to the client's financial statements. • The complexity of the legal matter and the degree of judgment necessary to provide the service. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lead in now emphasizes the factors are also relevant in identifying threats
608.4 A3	<p>Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address threats include:</p>	<p>608.5 A2 Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address such threats include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service 	Proposals applies to non-PIEs only

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service might address a self-review or advocacy threat. Having an appropriate reviewer who was not involved in providing the service review the audit work or the service performed might address a self-review threat. 	<p>might address a self-review or advocacy threat.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Having an appropriate reviewer who was not involved in providing the service review the audit work or the service performed might address a self-review threat. 	
n/a	n/a	<p>Self-review Threats</p> <p>R608.6 A firm or a network shall not provide legal advice to an audit client that is a public interest entity if the provision of such services will create a self-review threat in relation to the audit of the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.</p> <p>608.6 A1 Examples of legal advice that might create such a self-review threat are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Estimating a potential loss arising from a lawsuit for the purpose of recording a provision in the financial statements; Advice relating to the interpretation of banking covenants that affect whether the audit client is a going concern. <p>Advocacy Threats</p> <p>608.6 A2 The provisions in paragraphs 608.5 A1 and 608.5 A2 are also relevant to evaluating and addressing advocacy threats that might be created by</p>	<p>Self-review threat prohibition is established with supporting application material</p> <p>For services that create only advocacy threats, new application is provided</p>

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

		providing legal advisory services to an audit client that is a public interest entity.	
R608.5	A partner or employee of the firm or the network firm shall not serve as General Counsel for legal affairs of an audit client.	R608.7 A partner or employee of the firm or the network firm shall not serve as General Counsel for an audit client.	No change
608.5 A1	The position of General Counsel is usually a senior management position with broad responsibility for the legal affairs of a company.	608.7 A1 The position of General Counsel is usually a senior management position with broad responsibility for the legal affairs of a company.	No change
R608.6	A firm or a network firm shall not act in an advocacy role for an audit client in resolving a dispute or litigation when the amounts involved are material to the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.	<p>R608.8 A firm or a network firm shall not act in an advocacy role for an audit client that is a not public interest entity in resolving a dispute or litigation before a tribunal or court when the amounts involved are material to the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.</p> <p>R608.9 A firm or a network firm shall not act in an advocacy role for an audit client that is a public interest entity in resolving a dispute or litigation before a tribunal or court.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For non-PIEs, the materiality qualifier is retained • For PIE, the materiality qualifier is dropped, service is always prohibited
608.6 A1	<p>Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address a self-review threat created when acting in an advocacy role for an audit client when the amounts involved are not material to the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service. 	<p>608.8 A1 Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address a self-review or advocacy threat created when acting in an advocacy role for an audit client that is not a public interest entity include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service. 	Proposal applies to non-PIEs only

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Having an appropriate reviewer who was not involved in providing the service review the audit work or the service performed. 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Having an appropriate reviewer who was not involved in providing the service review the audit work or the service performed. 	
SUBSECTION 609 – RECRUITING SERVICES				
609.1	Providing recruiting services to an audit client might create a self-interest, familiarity or intimidation threat.	609.3 A1	Providing recruiting services to an audit client might create a self-interest, familiarity or intimidation threat.	No change
609.2	In addition to the specific requirements and application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in paragraphs 600.1 to R600.10 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework when providing a recruiting service to an audit client. This subsection includes requirements that prohibit firms and network firms from providing certain types of recruiting services to audit clients in some circumstances because the threats created cannot be addressed by applying safeguards.	609.1	In addition to the specific requirements and application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in paragraphs 600.1 to R600.21 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework when providing a recruiting service to an audit client.	No change in substance, 2 nd sentence that repeats material in 600.3 is dropped
609.3 A1	Recruiting services might include activities such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Developing a job description. Developing a process for identifying and selecting potential candidates. Searching for or seeking out candidates. 	609.2 A1	Recruiting services might include activities such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Developing a job description. Developing a process for identifying and selecting potential candidates. Searching for or seeking out candidates. 	No change

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Screening potential candidates for the role by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Reviewing the professional qualifications or competence of applicants and determining their suitability for the position. ○ Undertaking reference checks of prospective candidates. ○ Interviewing and selecting suitable candidates and advising on candidates' competence. • Determining employment terms and negotiating details, such as salary, hours and other compensation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Screening potential candidates for the role by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Reviewing the professional qualifications or competence of applicants and determining their suitability for the position. ○ Undertaking reference checks of prospective candidates. ○ Interviewing and selecting suitable candidates and advising on candidates' competence. • Determining employment terms and negotiating details, such as salary, hours and other compensation. 	
609.3 A2	<p>Paragraph R600.7 precludes a firm or a network firm from assuming a management responsibility. Providing the following services does not usually create a threat as long as personnel of the firm or network firm does not assume a management responsibility:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reviewing the professional qualifications of a number of applicants and providing advice on their suitability for the position. • Interviewing candidates and advising on a candidate's competence for financial accounting, administrative or control positions. 	<p>609.4 A1 Paragraph R400.13 precludes a firm or a network firm from assuming a management responsibility.</p> <p>609.5 A1 Providing the following services does not usually create a threat as long as personnel of the firm or network firm does not assume a management responsibility:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reviewing the professional qualifications of a number of applicants and providing advice on their suitability for the position. • Interviewing candidates and advising on a candidate's competence for financial 	No substantive change

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

		accounting, administrative or control positions.	
R609.4	<p>When a firm or network firm provides recruiting services to an audit client, the firm shall be satisfied that:</p> <p>(a) The client assigns the responsibility to make all management decisions with respect to hiring the candidate for the position to a competent employee, preferably within senior management; and</p> <p>(b) The client makes all management decisions with respect to the hiring process, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Determining the suitability of prospective candidates and selecting suitable candidates for the position. • Determining employment terms and negotiating details, such as salary, hours and other compensation. 	<p>R609.5</p> <p>When a firm or a network firm provides recruiting services to an audit client, the firm shall be satisfied that:</p> <p>(a) The client assigns the responsibility to make all management decisions with respect to hiring the candidate for the position to a competent employee, preferably within senior management; and</p> <p>(b) The client makes all management decisions with respect to the hiring process, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Determining the suitability of prospective candidates and selecting suitable candidates for the position. • Determining employment terms and negotiating details, such as salary, hours and other compensation. 	No change
609.5 A1	<p>Factors that are relevant in evaluating the level of self-interest, familiarity or intimidation threats created by providing recruiting services to an audit client include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The nature of the requested assistance. • The role of the individual to be recruited. 	<p>609.5 A2</p> <p>Factors that are relevant in identifying self-interest, familiarity or intimidation threats, and evaluating the level of any such threats created by providing recruiting services to an audit client include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The nature of the requested assistance. • The role of the individual to be recruited. 	Lead in now emphasizes the factors are also relevant in identifying threats

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any conflicts of interest or relationships that might exist between the candidates and the firm providing the advice or service. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any conflicts of interest or relationships that might exist between the candidates and the firm providing the advice or service. 	
609.5 A2	An example of an action that might be a safeguard to address such a self-interest, familiarity or intimidation threat is using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service.	609.5 A2 An example of an action that might be a safeguard to address such a self-interest, familiarity or intimidation threat is using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service.	No change
R609.6	When providing recruiting services to an audit client, the firm or the network firm shall not act as a negotiator on the client's behalf.	R609.6 When providing recruiting services to an audit client, the firm or the network firm shall not act as a negotiator on the client's behalf.	No change
R609.7	<p>A firm or a network firm shall not provide a recruiting service to an audit client if the service relates to:</p> <p>(a) Searching for or seeking out candidates; or</p> <p>(b) Undertaking reference checks of prospective candidates,</p> <p>with respect to the following positions:</p> <p>(i) A director or officer of the entity; or</p> <p>(ii) A member of senior management in a position to exert significant influence over the preparation of the client's accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.</p>	<p>R609.7 A firm or a network firm shall not provide a recruiting service to an audit client if the service relates to:</p> <p>(a) Searching for or seeking out candidates; or</p> <p>(b) Undertaking reference checks of prospective candidates,</p> <p>with respect to the following positions:</p> <p>(i) A director or officer of the entity; or</p> <p>(ii) A member of senior management in a position to exert significant influence over the preparation of the client's accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.</p>	No change
SUBSECTION 610 – CORPORATE FINANCE SERVICES			

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

610.1	Providing corporate finance services to an audit client might create a self-review or advocacy threat.	610.3 A1 Providing corporate finance services to an audit client might create a self-review threat when the results of the services will affect the accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion. Such services might also create an advocacy threat.	More specificity about likelihood of threat being created
610.2	In addition to the specific requirements and application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in paragraphs 600.1 to R600.10 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework when providing a corporate finance service to an audit client. This subsection includes requirements that prohibit firms and network firms from providing certain corporate finance services in some circumstances to audit clients because the threats created cannot be addressed by applying safeguards.	610.1 In addition to the specific requirements and application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in paragraphs 600.1 to R600.21 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework when providing a corporate finance service to an audit client.	No change in substance, 2 nd sentence that repeats material in 600.3 is dropped
610.3 A1	<p>Examples of corporate finance services that might create a self-review or advocacy threat include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assisting an audit client in developing corporate strategies. • Identifying possible targets for the audit client to acquire. • Advising on disposal transactions. • Assisting in finance raising transactions. • Providing structuring advice. 	<p>610.2 A1 Examples of corporate finance services include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assisting an audit client in developing corporate strategies. • Identifying possible targets for the audit client to acquire. • Advising on disposal transactions. • Performing due diligence in relation to potential acquisitions and disposals. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Description is reframed to be factual in nature, and does not refer to a likelihood of a threat. 2. Reflects new examples of corporate finance service,

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Providing advice on the structuring of a corporate finance transaction or on financing arrangements that will directly affect amounts that will be reported in the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assisting in finance raising transactions. • Providing structuring advice. • Valuations of prospective acquisitions. • Providing advice on the structuring of a corporate finance transaction or on financing arrangements. 	<p>“Performing due diligence in relation to potential acquisitions and disposals” and “Valuations of prospective acquisitions”.</p>
610.3 A2	<p>Factors that are relevant in evaluating the level of such threats created by providing corporate finance services to an audit client include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The degree of subjectivity involved in determining the appropriate treatment for the outcome or consequences of the corporate finance advice in the financial statements. • The extent to which: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The outcome of the corporate finance advice will directly affect amounts recorded in the financial statements. ○ The amounts are material to the financial statements. • Whether the effectiveness of the corporate finance advice depends on a particular accounting treatment or presentation in the financial statements and there is doubt as to the appropriateness of the related 	<p>610.4 A1</p> <p>Factors that are relevant in identifying self-review or advocacy threats, and evaluating the level of any such threats, created by providing corporate finance to an audit client include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The degree of subjectivity involved in determining the appropriate treatment for the outcome or consequences of the corporate finance advice in the financial statements. • The extent to which: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The outcome of the corporate finance advice will directly affect amounts recorded in the financial statements. ○ The outcome of the corporate finance service might have a material effect on the financial statements. • Whether the effectiveness of the corporate finance advice depends on a particular accounting treatment or presentation in the 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lead in now emphasizes the factors are also relevant in identifying threats

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

	accounting treatment or presentation under the relevant financial reporting framework.		financial statements and there is doubt as to the appropriateness of the related accounting treatment or presentation under the relevant financial reporting framework.	
610.3 A3	<p>Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address threats include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service might address self-review or advocacy threats. • Having an appropriate reviewer who was not involved in providing the service review the audit work or service performed might address a self-review threat. 	610.7 A1	<p>Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address threats arising from providing corporate finance services to an audit client that is not a public interest entity include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service might address self-review or advocacy threats. • Having an appropriate reviewer who was not involved in providing the service review the audit work or service performed might address a self-review threat. 	Proposal applies only to non-PIEs
R610.4	A firm or a network firm shall not provide corporate finance services to an audit client that involve promoting, dealing in, or underwriting the audit client's shares.	R610.5	A firm or a network firm shall not provide corporate finance and related transaction services to an audit client that involve promoting, dealing in, or underwriting the audit client's shares.	No change
R610.5	A firm or a network firm shall not provide corporate finance advice to an audit client where the effectiveness of such advice depends on a particular accounting treatment or presentation in	R610.6	<p>A firm or a network firm shall not provide advice in relation to corporate finance service to an audit client where:</p> <p>(a) The effectiveness of such advice depends</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For PIEs, the materiality qualifier is dropped

NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES – MAPPING TABLE COMPARISON
EXTANT CODE VERSUS PROPOSED REVISIONS TO PART 4A, SECTION 600

As of November 2019
Prepared by the Staff of IESBA

	<p>the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion and:</p> <p>(a) The audit team has reasonable doubt as to the appropriateness of the related accounting treatment or presentation under the relevant financial reporting framework; and</p> <p>(b) The outcome or consequences of the corporate finance advice will have a material effect on the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.</p>	<p>on a particular accounting treatment or presentation in the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion; and</p> <p>(b) The audit team has doubt as to the appropriateness of the related accounting treatment or presentation under the relevant financial reporting framework.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Paragraph is also redrafted to enhance clarity
n/a	n/a	<p>Self-review Threats</p> <p>R610.8 A firm or a network firm shall not provide corporate finance and related transaction services to an audit client that is a public interest entity if the provision of such services will create a self-review threat in relation to the accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.</p> <p>Advocacy Threats</p> <p>610.8 A1 An example of an action that might be a safeguard to address advocacy threats created by providing corporate finance and related transaction services to an audit client that is a public interest entity is using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service.</p>	<p>For PIEs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Self-review threat prohibition is established • For services that create only advocacy threats, new application material provides an example of safeguard