NAS–Compilation of Proposed Texts (Clean Version)

Non-Assurance Services Proposals

This document includes a compilation of the clean version of the NAS proposed texts in Agenda Items 4A to 4D that will form part of the NAS exposure draft. It includes:

- Chapter 1 – Proposed revisions to Section 600 [see pages 1 to 37]
- Chapter 2 – Proposed conforming amendments to Section 400 [see pages 38 to 41]
- Chapter 3 – Proposed consequential amendments to Section 950 as revised as a result of the Alignment of Part 4B to ISAE 3000 (Revised) (the Part 4B project) [Link to final Part 4B pronouncement to be added in the ED]. [see pages 42 to 45]
- Chapter 4 – Proposed conforming amendments to Section 900 as revised as a result of the Part 4B project. [see pages 46 to 49]

Certain paragraphs (shaded in grey) are provided for context only and are unchanged from the extant Code.

Proposals Arising from the Fees Project

The draft explanatory memo (EM) in Agenda Item 4E notes the interactions between the Fees and NAS projects.

I. Chapter 1 of NAS ED – Proposed Revisions to Section 600

PART 4A – INTERNATIONAL INDEPENDENCE STANDARDS FOR AUDITS AND REVIEWS

... Section 600

PROVISION OF NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES TO AN AUDIT CLIENT

Introduction

600.1 Firms are required to comply with the fundamental principles, be independent, and apply the conceptual framework set out in Section 120 to identify, evaluate and address threats to independence.

600.2 Firms and network firms might provide a range of non-assurance services to their audit clients, consistent with their skills and expertise. Providing non-assurance services to audit clients...
might create threats to compliance with the fundamental principles and threats to independence.

600.3 This section sets out requirements and application material relevant to applying the conceptual framework to identify, evaluate and address threats to independence when providing non-assurance services to audit clients. The subsections that follow set out specific requirements and application material that are relevant when a firm or a network firm provides certain types of non-assurance services to audit clients and indicate the types of threats that might be created as a result.

600.4 In addition to the general provisions that apply in all circumstances, some subsections include requirements that expressly prohibit a firm or a network firm from providing certain services to an audit client because the threats created cannot be eliminated and safeguards are not capable of being applied to reduce the threats to an acceptable level.

Requirements and Application Material

General

600.5 A1 New business practices, the evolution of financial markets and changes in technology are among the developments that make it impossible to draw up an all-inclusive list of non-assurance services that firms and network firms might provide to an audit client. As a result, the Code does not include an exhaustive list of all non-assurance services that might be provided to a client.

Non-Assurance Services Provisions in Laws or Regulations

600.6 A1 Paragraphs R100.3 to 100.3 A2 set out a requirement and application material relating to compliance with the Code. If there are laws and regulations in a jurisdiction relating to the provision of non-assurance services to audit clients that differ from or go beyond those set out in this section, firms providing non-assurance services to which such provisions apply need to be aware of those differences and comply with the more stringent provisions.

Prohibition on Assuming Management Responsibilities

600.7 A1 When a firm or network firm provides a non-assurance service to an audit client, including providing advice and recommendations as part of such a service, there is a risk of that firm or network firm assuming a management responsibility unless the firm or network firm is satisfied that the requirements in paragraph R400.14 have been complied with.

Accepting an Engagement to Provide a Non-Assurance Service

R600.8 Before a firm or a network firm accepts an engagement to provide a non-assurance service to an audit client, the firm shall apply the conceptual framework to identify, evaluate and address any threat to independence that might be created by providing that service.
Identifying and Evaluating Threats

All Audit Clients

600.9 A1 A description of the categories of threats that might arise when a firm or network firm provides a non-assurance service to an audit client is set out in 120.6 A3.

600.9 A2 Factors that are relevant in identifying and evaluating threats created by providing a non-assurance service to an audit client include:

- The nature, scope, and intended use and purpose of the service.
- The manner in which the service will be provided (for example, the extent to which the service relates to providing a technology-based solution developed by the firm or network firm).
- The legal and regulatory environment in which the service is provided.
- Whether the client is a public interest entity.
- The degree of reliance that will be placed on the outcome of the service as part of the audit.
- The level of expertise of the client’s management and employees with respect to the type of service provided.
- The extent to which the client determines significant matters of judgment. (Ref: Para. R400.13 to R400.14).
- Whether the outcome of the service will affect matters reflected in the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion, and, if so:
  - The extent to which the outcome of the service will have a material effect on the financial statements.
  - The degree of subjectivity involved in determining the appropriate amounts or treatment for those matters reflected in the financial statements.
- The nature and extent of the impact of the service, if any, on the systems that generate information that form a significant part of the client’s:
  - Accounting records or financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion, or
  - Internal controls over financial reporting.
- The fees relating to the provision of the non-assurance services.
600.9 A3 Subsections 601 to 610 include examples of additional factors that are relevant in identifying and evaluating the level of threats created by providing the non-assurance services set out in those subsections.

Providing Advice and Recommendations

600.10 A1 Providing advice and recommendations might create a self-review threat. Whether providing advice and recommendations creates a self-review threat involves making the determination set out in 600.12 A2 having regard to the nature of the advice and recommendations and how such advice and recommendations might be implemented by the audit client. If a self-review threat is identified, application of the conceptual framework requires the firm to address the threat where the audit client is not a public interest entity. If the audit client is a public interest entity, paragraph R600.14 applies.

Multiple Non-Assurance Services Provided to the Same Audit Client

R600.11 When a firm or a network firm provides multiple non-assurance services to an audit client, the firm shall consider, in addition to the threats created by each service individually, whether the combined effect of such services creates or impacts threats to independence.

600.11 A1 In addition to paragraph 600.9 A2, factors that are relevant in evaluating the level of threats created where multiple non-assurance services are provided to an audit client might include whether:

- The combined effect of providing multiple services impacts the level of threats created by each individual service.

- A proposed service impacts the effectiveness of safeguards put in place in relation to other non-assurance services.

Self-review Threats

600.12 A1 A self-review threat is the threat that a firm or a network firm will not appropriately evaluate the results of a previous judgment made or an activity performed by an individual within the firm or network firm as part of a non-assurance service on which the audit team will rely when forming a judgment as part of an audit.

600.12 A2 Identifying whether the provision of a non-assurance service to an audit client will create a self-review threat involves determining whether there is a risk that:

(a) The results of the service will affect the accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion;

(b) In the course of the audit of those financial statements, the results of the service will be subject to audit procedures; and
(c) When making an audit judgment, the audit team will evaluate or rely on any judgments made or activities performed by the firm or network firm in the course of providing the service.

Audit clients that are public interest entities

600.13 A1   
When a firm or network firm undertakes a non-assurance service for an audit client, there is a risk of the firm auditing its own work, thereby giving rise to a self-review threat. When the audit client is a public interest entity, stakeholders have heightened expectations regarding the firm’s independence. Consideration of these expectations forms part of the reasonable and informed third party test applied when deciding whether to provide a non-assurance service to a client.

600.13 A2   
Where the provision of a non-assurance service to an audit client that is a public interest entity creates a self-review threat, that threat cannot be eliminated, and safeguards are not capable of being applied to reduce that threat to an acceptable level.

R600.14    
A firm or a network firm shall not provide a non-assurance service to an audit client that is a public interest entity if a self-review threat will be created in relation to the audit of the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.

Materiality in Relation to Financial Statements

600.15 A1   
Subsections 601 to 610 refer to materiality in relation to an audit client’s financial statements. The concept of materiality in relation to an audit is addressed in ISA 320, Materiality in Planning and Performing an Audit, and in relation to a review in ISRE 2400 (Revised), Engagements to Review Historical Financial Statements. The determination of materiality involves the exercise of professional judgment and is impacted by both quantitative and qualitative factors. It is also affected by perceptions of the financial information needs of users.

Addressing Threats

600.16 A1   
Paragraphs R120.10 to 120.10 A2 include a requirement and application material that are relevant when addressing threats to independence, including a description of safeguards.

600.16 A2   
Threats to independence created by providing a non-assurance service or multiple services to an audit client vary depending on the facts and circumstances of the audit engagement and the nature of the service. Such threats might be addressed by adjusting the scope of the proposed service or by applying safeguards. Actions that might be safeguards include:

- Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service.
- Having an appropriate reviewer who was not involved in providing the service review the audit work or service performed.
Obtaining pre-clearance or confirmation of the outcome of the service from an appropriate authority (e.g., a tax authority).

Safeguards might not be available to reduce any threats created by providing a non-assurance service to an audit client to an acceptable level. In such a situation, the application of the conceptual framework requires the firm or network firm to:

(a) Adjust the scope of the proposed service to eliminate the circumstances that are creating the threats;

(b) Decline or end the service that creates the threats that cannot be eliminated or reduced to an acceptable level; or

(c) End the audit engagement.

Communication with Those Charged With Governance Regarding Non-Assurance Services

Audit Clients that are not Public Interest Entities

In the case of audit clients that are not public interest entities, paragraphs 400.40 A1 and 400.40 A2 are relevant to a firm’s communication with those charged with governance in relation to the provision non-assurance services.

Audit Clients that are Public Interest Entities

Before a firm or a network firm accepts an engagement to provide a non-assurance service to an audit client that is a public interest entity (which, for this purpose, shall include only related entities over which the audit client has direct or indirect control), the firm shall provide those charged with governance with sufficient information to enable them to make an informed decision about the impact of the provision of such non-assurance service on the firm’s independence.

Examples of information that might be provided to those charged with governance include:

- The nature and scope of the service to be provided.
- Any threats to independence identified by the firm from the provision of such service.
- Whether such threats are at an acceptable level.
- Actions that the firm or network firm intends to take to address any threats that are not at an acceptable level.
- How such actions will eliminate or reduce the threats to an acceptable level.

A firm or a network firm shall not provide a non-assurance service to an audit client that is a public interest entity (which, for this purpose, shall include only related entities over which the
audit client has direct or indirect control), unless those charged with governance of the public interest entity concur with:

(a) The provision of that service.

(b) The firm’s conclusion that any threat to independence has been eliminated or that safeguards that the firm proposes to apply will reduce such threat to an acceptable level.

600.19 A1 The firm and those charged with governance of the public interest entity may develop a process by which the firm obtains the concurrence of those charged with governance for the provision of a non-assurance service to the audit client. For example, concurrence may be obtained either on an individual engagement basis, under a general policy, or via other means provided that the process to be used is approved by those charged with governance.

600.19 A2 Where an audit client includes one or more public interest entities, it may be appropriate for the process by which the firm or the network firm obtains concurrence to address how and from whom such concurrence is to be obtained.

Audit Client that Later Becomes a Public Interest Entity

R600.20 A non-assurance service provided, either currently or previously, by a firm or a network firm to an audit client compromises the firm’s independence when the client becomes a public interest entity unless:

(a) The previous non-assurance service complies with the provisions of this section that relate to audit clients that are not public interest entities;

(b) Non-assurance services currently in progress that are not permitted under this section for audit clients that are public interest entities are ended before or, if that is not possible, as soon as practicable after, the client becomes a public interest entity; and

(c) The firm discusses actions that might be taken with those charged with governance, including any safeguards or actions, obtains their concurrence to the approach it proposes to take to address any threat to independence and implements such safeguards or actions.

Considerations for Certain Related Entities

R600.21 This section includes requirements that prohibit firms and network firms from providing certain non-assurance services to audit clients. As an exception to those requirements and the requirement in paragraph R400.13, a firm or a network firm may assume management responsibilities or provide certain non-assurance services that would otherwise be prohibited to the following related entities of the client on whose financial statements the firm will express an opinion:

(a) An entity that has direct or indirect control over the client;
(b) An entity with a direct financial interest in the client if that entity has significant influence over the client and the interest in the client is material to such entity; or

(c) An entity which is under common control with the client,

provided that all of the following conditions are met:

(i) The firm or a network firm does not express an opinion on the financial statements of the related entity;

(ii) The firm or a network firm does not assume a management responsibility, directly or indirectly, for the entity on whose financial statements the firm will express an opinion;

(iii) The services do not create a self-review threat; and

(iv) The firm addresses other threats created by providing such services that are not at an acceptable level.

SUBSECTION 601 – ACCOUNTING AND BOOKKEEPING SERVICES

Introduction

601.1 In addition to the specific requirements and application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in paragraphs 600.1 to R600.21 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework when providing accounting and bookkeeping services to an audit client.

Requirements and Application Material

General

601.2 A1 Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework. These responsibilities include:

- Determining accounting policies and the accounting treatment in accordance with those policies.

- Preparing or changing source documents or originating data, in electronic or other form, evidencing the occurrence of a transaction. Examples include:
  - Purchase orders.
  - Payroll time records.
  - Customer orders.
Originating or changing journal entries.

Determining or approving the account classifications of transactions.

The audit process necessitates dialogue between the firm and the management of the audit client, which might involve:

- Applying accounting standards or policies and financial statement disclosure requirements.
- Assessing the appropriateness of financial and accounting control and the methods used in determining the stated amounts of assets and liabilities.
- Proposing adjusting journal entries arising from audit findings.
- Responding to questions relating to financial reporting.
- Discussing how to resolve account reconciliation problems.
- Analysing information for regulatory reporting purposes.
- Discussing how to comply with group accounting policies.
- Discussing how to convert existing financial statements from one financial reporting framework to another.

These activities do not usually create threats as long as the client accepts responsibility for making the decisions involved in the preparation of accounting records or financial statements and the firm does not assume a management responsibility.

Description of Service

Accounting and bookkeeping services comprise a broad range of services including:

- Preparing accounting records or financial statements.
- Recording transactions.
- Payroll services.
- Providing technical assistance on matters such as resolving account reconciliation problems.
- Providing technical advice on accounting issues, including the conversion of existing financial statements from one financial reporting framework to another.
Potential Threats Arising from the Provision of Accounting and Bookkeeping Services

All Audit Clients

601.3 A1 Providing accounting and bookkeeping services to an audit client creates a self-review threat when the results of the services will affect the accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.

Audit Clients that are Not Public Interest Entities

R601.4 A firm or a network firm shall not provide to an audit client that is not a public interest entity accounting and bookkeeping services, including preparing financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion or financial information which forms the basis of such financial statements, unless:

(a) The services are of a routine or mechanical nature; and

(b) The firm addresses any threats that are not at an acceptable level created by providing such services.

601.4 A1 Routine and mechanical accounting and bookkeeping services [are clerical in nature and] require little or no professional judgment. Examples include:

- Preparing payroll calculations or reports based on client-originated data for approval and payment by the client.
- Recording recurring transactions for which amounts are easily determinable from source documents or originating data, such as a utility bill where the client has determined or approved the appropriate account classification.
- Calculating depreciation on fixed assets when the client determines the accounting policy and estimates of useful life and residual values.
- Posting transactions coded by the client to the general ledger.
- Posting client-approved entries to the trial balance.
- Preparing financial statements based on information in the client-approved trial balance and preparing related notes based on client-approved records.

The firm may provide such services to audit clients that are not public interest entities provided that the firm complies with the requirements of R400.14 to ensure that it does not assume management responsibility for the results of the service and with the requirement in R601.4 (b).

601.4 A2 Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address a self-review threat created when providing accounting and bookkeeping services of a routine and mechanical nature to an audit
client that is not a public interest entity include:

- Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service.
- Having an appropriate reviewer who was not involved in providing the service review the audit work or service performed.

Audit Clients that are Public Interest Entities

R601.5 A firm or a network firm shall not provide accounting and bookkeeping services to an audit client that is a public interest entity when the results of the services create a self-review threat that affects the accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.

SUBSECTION 602 – ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES

Introduction

602.1 In addition to the specific application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in paragraphs 600.1 to R600.21 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework when providing administrative services.

Application Material

Description of Service

602.2 A1 Administrative services involve assisting clients with their routine or mechanical tasks within the normal course of operations.

602.2 A2 Examples of administrative services include:

- Word processing or document formatting.
- Preparing administrative or statutory forms for client approval.
- Submitting such forms as instructed by the client.
- Monitoring filing dates and advising the audit client of those dates.

Potential Threats Arising from the Provision of Administrative Services

All Audit Clients

602.3 A1 Providing administrative services to an audit client does not usually create a threat when such services are clerical in nature and require little to no professional judgment.
SUBSECTION 603 – VALUATION SERVICES

Introduction

603.1 In addition to the specific requirements and application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in paragraphs 600.1 to R600.21 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework when providing valuation services to an audit client.

Requirements and Application Material

Description of Service

603.2 A1 A valuation comprises the making of assumptions with regard to future developments, the application of appropriate methodologies and techniques and the combination of both to compute a certain value, or range of values, for an asset, a liability or for the whole or part of an entity.

603.2 A2 If a firm or a network firm is requested to perform a valuation to assist an audit client with its tax reporting obligations or for tax planning purposes and the results of the valuation affect only the accounting records or the financial statements through accounting entries related to tax, the requirement and application material set out in paragraphs 604.16 A1 to R604.19 A1, relating to such services, apply.

Potential Threats Arising from the Provision of Valuation Services

All Audit Clients

603.3 A1 Providing a valuation service to an audit client might create a self-review threat when the results of the service will affect the accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion. Such services might also create an advocacy threat.

603.3 A2 Factors that are relevant in identifying self-review or advocacy threats, and evaluating the level of any such threats, created by providing valuation services to an audit client include:

- The use and purpose of the valuation report.
- Whether the valuation report will be made public.
- The extent of the client’s involvement in determining and approving the valuation methodology and other significant matters of judgment.
- The degree of subjectivity inherent in the item for valuations involving standard or established methodologies.
- Whether the valuation will have a material effect on the financial statements.
● The extent of the disclosures related to the valuation in the financial statements.
● The volatility of the amounts involved as a result of dependence on future events.

Audit Clients that are Not Public Interest Entities

603.3 A3 Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address threats created by providing valuation services to an audit client that is not a public interest entity include:

● Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service might address self-review or advocacy threats.
● Having an appropriate reviewer who was not involved in providing the service review the audit work or service performed might address a self-review threat.

R603.4 A firm or a network firm shall not provide a valuation service to an audit client that is not a public interest entity if:

(a) The valuation involves a significant degree of subjectivity; and
(b) The valuation will have a material effect on the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.

603.4 A1 Certain valuations do not involve a significant degree of subjectivity. This is likely to be the case when the underlying assumptions are either established by law or regulation, or are widely accepted and when the techniques and methodologies to be used are based on generally accepted standards or prescribed by law or regulation. In such circumstances, the results of a valuation performed by two or more parties are not likely to be materially different.

Audit Clients that are Public Interest Entities

Self-review Threats

R603.5 A firm or a network firm shall not provide a valuation service to an audit client that is a public interest entity if the provision of that valuation service will create a self-review threat in relation to the audit of the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.

Advocacy Threats

603.5 A1 An example of an action that might be a safeguard to address an advocacy threat created by providing valuation services to an audit client that is a public interest entity is using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service.
SUBSECTION 604 – TAX SERVICES

Introduction

604.1 In addition to the specific requirements and application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in paragraphs 600.1 to R600.21 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework when providing a tax service to an audit client.

Requirements and Application Material

Description of Service

604.2 A1 Tax services comprise a broad range of services. This subsection deals specifically with:

- Tax return preparation.
- Tax calculations for the purpose of preparing accounting entries.
- Tax advisory services
- Tax planning services.
- Tax services involving valuations.
- Assistance in the resolution of tax disputes.

604.2 A2 It is possible to consider tax services under broad headings, such as tax planning or compliance. However, such services are often interrelated in practice and might be combined with other types of non-assurance services provided by the firm such as corporate finance services. It is, therefore, impracticable to categorize services in order to identify generically the threats to which specific tax services give rise.

Potential Threats Arising from the Provision of Tax Services

604.3 A1 Providing tax services to an audit client might create a self-review threat when the results of the services will affect the accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion. Such services might also create an advocacy threat.

604.3 A2 Factors that are relevant in identifying self-review or advocacy threats, and evaluating the level of any such threats, created by providing any tax service to an audit client include:

- The particular characteristics of the engagement.
- The level of tax expertise of the client’s employees.
- The system by which the tax authorities assess and administer the tax in question and the role of the firm or network firm in that process.
The complexity of the relevant tax regime and the degree of judgment necessary in applying it.

The extent to which the results of the services will be used for financial reporting purposes.

All Audit Clients

R604.4 A firm or a network firm shall not provide a tax service to an audit client if the service relates to marketing, planning, or opining in favor of a tax treatment that was initially recommended, directly or indirectly, by the firm or network firm, and a significant purpose of the service is tax avoidance, unless that treatment has a basis in applicable tax law and regulation that is likely to prevail.

604.4 A1 Providing the non-assurance service that fails to meet the requirements in paragraph R604.4 creates self-interest, self-review and advocacy threats that cannot be eliminated and safeguards are not capable of being applied to reduce those threats to an acceptable level.

A. Tax Return Preparation

Description of Service

604.5 A1 Tax return preparation services include:

- Assisting clients with their tax reporting obligations by drafting and compiling information, including the amount of tax due (usually on standardized forms) required to be submitted to the applicable tax authorities.
- Advising on the tax return treatment of past transactions.
- Responding on behalf of the audit client to the tax authorities’ requests for additional information and analysis (for example, providing explanations of and technical support for the approach being taken).

Potential Threats Arising from the Provision of Tax Return Preparation Services

All Audit Clients

604.6 A1 Providing tax return preparation services does not usually create a threat because:

(a) Tax return preparation services are based on historical information and principally involve analysis and presentation of such historical information under existing tax law, including precedents and established practice; and

(b) Tax returns are subject to whatever review or approval process the tax authority considers appropriate.
B. Tax Calculations for the Purpose of Preparing Accounting Entries

Description of Service

604.7 A1 This service involves the preparation of tax calculations (current and deferred tax liabilities or assets) for the purpose of preparing accounting entries supporting the tax asset or liability in the financial statements of the audit client.

Potential Threats Arising from the Provision of Tax Calculation Services

All Audit Clients

604.8 A1 Preparing tax calculations of current and deferred tax liabilities (or assets) for an audit client for the purpose of preparing accounting entries creates a self-review threat.

Audit Clients that are Not Public Interest Entities

604.9 A1 A self-review threat is created when preparing tax calculations of current and deferred tax liabilities (or assets) for an audit client that is not a public interest entity for the purpose of preparing accounting entries. A factor that is relevant in evaluating the level of self-review threat created in addition to those in paragraph 604.3 A2, is whether the calculation might have a material effect on the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.

604.9 A2 Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address such a self-review threat when the audit client is not a public interest entity include:

- Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service.
- Having an appropriate reviewer who was not involved in providing the service review the audit work or service performed.

Audit Clients that are Public Interest Entities

R604.10 A firm or a network firm shall not prepare tax calculations of current and deferred tax liabilities (or assets) for an audit client that is a public interest entity when the results of the services create a self-review threat that affects the accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.

C. Tax Advisory and Tax Planning Services

Description of Service

604.11 A1 Tax advisory and tax planning services comprise a broad range of services, such as advising the client how to structure its affairs in a tax efficient manner or advising on the application of a new tax law or regulation.
Potential Threats Arising from the Provision of Tax Advisory and Tax Planning Services

All Audit clients

604.12 A1 Providing tax advisory and tax planning services might create a self-review threat when the results of the services will affect the accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion. Such services might also create an advocacy threat.

604.12 A2 Providing tax advisory and tax planning services that include advice and recommendations, will not create a self-review threat if such services:

(a) Are supported by a tax authority or other precedent;

(b) Are based on an established practice (being a practice that has been commonly used over a long period and has not been challenged by the relevant tax authority); or

(c) Have a basis in tax law that is likely to prevail.

604.12 A3 In addition to those identified in paragraph 604.3 A2, factors that are relevant in identifying self-review or advocacy threats, and evaluating the level of any such threats created by providing tax advisory and tax planning services to audit clients include:

- The degree of subjectivity involved in determining the appropriate treatment for the tax advice in the financial statements.
- Whether the tax treatment is supported by a ruling or has otherwise been cleared by the tax authority before the preparation of the financial statements.
- The extent to which the outcome of the tax advice might have a material effect on the financial statements.

When Effectiveness of Tax Advice Is Dependent on a Particular Accounting Treatment or Presentation

R604.13 A firm or a network firm shall not provide tax advisory and tax planning services to an audit client when:

(a) The effectiveness of the tax advice depends on a particular accounting treatment or presentation in the financial statements; and

(b) The audit team has doubt as to the appropriateness of the related accounting treatment or presentation under the relevant financial reporting framework.

Audit Clients that are Not Public Interest Entities

604.14 A1 Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address threats arising from the provision of tax advisory and tax planning services to an audit client that is not a public interest entity include:
● Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service might address self-review or advocacy threats.

● Having an appropriate reviewer, who was not involved in providing the service, review the audit work or service performed might address a self-review threat.

● Obtaining pre-clearance from the tax authorities might address self-review or advocacy threats.

**Audit Clients that are Public Interest Entities**

**Self-review Threats**

**R604.15** A firm or a network firm shall not provide tax advisory and tax planning services to an audit client that is a public interest entity if the provision of such services might create a self-review threat in relation to the audit of the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.

**Advocacy threats**

**604.15 A1** Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address an advocacy threat created by tax advisory and tax planning services for an audit client that is a public interest entity include:

- Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service.
- Obtaining pre-clearance from the tax authorities.

**D. Tax Services Involving Valuations**

**Description of Service**

**604.16 A1** The provision of tax services involving valuations can arise in a range of circumstances and include:

- Merger and acquisition transactions.
- Group restructurings and corporate reorganizations.
- Transfer pricing studies.
- Stock-based compensation arrangements.
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Potential Threats Arising from the Provision of Tax Services involving Valuations

All Audit Clients

604.17 A1 Providing valuation for tax purposes to an audit client might create a self-review threat when the results of the services will affect the accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion. Such services might also create an advocacy threat.

604.17 A2 When a firm or a network firm performs a valuation for tax purposes to assist an audit client with its tax reporting obligations or for tax planning purposes, the result of the valuation:

- May have no effect on the accounting records or the financial statements other than through accounting entries related to tax. In such situations, the requirements and application material set out in this subsection apply.
- May affect the accounting records or the financial statements in ways not limited to accounting entries related to tax – for example, because the valuation leads to a revaluation of assets. In such situations, the requirements and application material set out in subsection 603 relating to valuation services apply.

Audit Clients that are Not Public Interest Entities

604.18 A1 A firm or a network firm might perform a valuation for tax purposes only for an audit client that is not a public interest entity where the result of the valuation only affects the accounting records or the financial statements through accounting entries related to tax. This would not usually create threats if the effect on the financial statements is immaterial or the valuation is subject to external review by a tax authority or similar regulatory authority.

604.18 A2 If the valuation that is performed for tax purposes is not subject to an external review and the effect is material to the financial statements, in addition to those identified in paragraph 604.3 A2, the following factors are relevant in identifying self-review or advocacy threats, and evaluating the level of such threats, created by providing those services to an audit client that is not a public interest entity:

- The extent to which the valuation methodology is supported by tax law or regulation, other precedent or established practice.
- The degree of subjectivity inherent in the valuation.
- The reliability and extent of the underlying data.

604.18 A3 Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address threats for an audit client that is not a public interest entity include:

- Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service might address self-review or advocacy threats.
Having an appropriate reviewer who was not involved in providing the service review the audit work or service performed might address a self-review threat.

Obtaining pre-clearance from the tax authorities might address self-review or advocacy threats.

**Audit Clients that are Public Interest Entities**

**Self-review Threats**

R604.19 A firm or a network firm shall not perform a valuation for tax purposes for a public interest entity where the results of the service create a self-review threat that affects the accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express opinion, unless:

(a) The underlying assumptions are either established by law or regulation, or are widely accepted; or

(b) The techniques and methodologies to be used are based on generally accepted standards or prescribed by law or regulation, and the valuation is subject to external review by a tax authority or similar regulatory authority.

**Advocacy Threats**

604.19 A1 Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address an advocacy threat for an audit client that is a public interest entity include:

- Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service.
- Obtaining pre-clearance from the tax authorities.

**E. Assistance in the Resolution of Tax Disputes**

**Description of Service**

604.20 A1 A non-assurance service to provide assistance to an audit client in the resolution of tax disputes might arise from a tax authority’s consideration of tax calculations and treatments. This includes, for example, providing assistance when the tax authorities have notified the client that arguments on a particular issue have been rejected and either the tax authority or the client refers the matter for determination in a formal proceeding, for example, before a tribunal or court.

**Potential Threats Arising from the Provision of Assistance in the Resolution of Tax Disputes**

**All Audit Clients**

604.21 A1 Providing assistance in the resolution of a tax dispute to an audit client might create a self-
review or advocacy threat.

604.22 A1 In addition to those identified in paragraph 604.3 A2, factors that are relevant in identifying self-review or advocacy threats, and evaluating the level of any such threats, created by assisting an audit client in the resolution of tax disputes include:

- The role management plays in the resolution of the dispute.
- The extent to which the outcome of the dispute will have a material effect on the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.
- Whether the firm or network firm provided the advice that is the subject of the tax dispute.
- The extent to which the matter is supported by tax law or regulation, other precedent, or established practice.
- Whether the proceedings are conducted in public.

Audit Clients that are Not Public Interest Entities

604.23 A1 Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address threats for an audit client that is not a public interest entity include:

- Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service might address self-review or advocacy threats.
- Having an appropriate reviewer who was not involved in providing the service review the audit work or the service performed might address a self-review threat.

Audit Clients that are Public Interest Entities

Self-review Threats

R604.24 A firm or a network firm shall not provide assistance in the resolution of tax disputes to an audit client that is a public interest entity if the provision of that assistance will create a self-review threat in relation to the audit of the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.

Advocacy Threats

604.24 A1 An example of an action that might be a safeguard to address an advocacy threat for an audit client that is a public interest entity is using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service.
Resolution of Tax Matters Including Acting as an Advocate before a Tribunal or Court

Audit Clients that are Not Public Interest Entities

R604.25 A firm or a network firm shall not provide tax services that involve assisting in the resolution of tax disputes to an audit client that is not a public interest entity if:

(a) The services involve acting as an advocate for the audit client before a tribunal or court in the resolution of a tax matter; and

(b) The amounts involved are material to the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.

Audit Clients that are Public Interest Entities

R604.26 A firm or a network firm shall not provide tax services that involve assisting in the resolution of tax disputes to an audit client that is a public interest entity if the services involve acting as an advocate for the audit client before a tribunal or court.

604.27 A1 Paragraphs R604.25 and R604.26 do not preclude a firm or a network firm from having a continuing advisory role in relation to the matter that is being heard before a tribunal or court, for example:

- Responding to specific requests for information.
- Providing factual accounts or testimony about the work performed.
- Assisting the client in analyzing the tax issues related to the matter.

604.27 A2 What constitutes a “tribunal or court” depends on how tax proceedings are heard in the particular jurisdiction.

SUBSECTION 605 – INTERNAL AUDIT SERVICES

Introduction

605.1 In addition to the specific requirements and application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in paragraphs 600.1 to R600.21 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework when providing an internal audit service to an audit client.

Requirements and Application Material

Description of Service

605.2 A1 Internal audit services comprise a broad range of activities and might involve assisting the audit client in the performance of one or more aspects of its internal auditing activities.
audit activities might include:

- Monitoring of internal control – reviewing controls, monitoring their operation and recommending improvements to them.
- Examining financial and operating information by:
  - Reviewing the means used to identify, measure, classify and report financial and operating information.
  - Inquiring specifically into individual items including detailed testing of transactions, balances and procedures.
- Reviewing the economy, efficiency and effectiveness of operating activities including non-financial activities of an entity.
- Reviewing compliance with:
  - Laws, regulations and other external requirements.
  - Management policies, directives and other internal requirements.

605.2 A2 The scope and objectives of internal audit activities vary widely and depend on the size and structure of the entity and the requirements of those charged with governance as well as the needs and expectations of management. As they might involve matters that are operational in nature, they do not necessarily relate to matters that will be subject to consideration in relation to the audit of the financial statements.

Prohibition on Assuming Management Responsibility

R605.3 When providing an internal audit service to an audit client, the firm shall be satisfied that:

(a) The client designates an appropriate and competent resource, who reports to a person who is charged with governance to:
   (i) Be responsible at all times for internal audit activities; and
   (ii) Acknowledge responsibility for designing, implementing, maintaining and monitoring internal control;

(b) The client reviews, assesses and approves the scope, risk and frequency of the internal audit services;

(c) The client evaluates the adequacy of the internal audit services and the findings resulting from their performance;

(d) The client evaluates and determines which recommendations resulting from internal
audit services to implement and manages the implementation process; and

(e) The client reports to those charged with governance the significant findings and recommendations resulting from the internal audit services.

605.3 A1 Performing part of the client’s internal audit activities increases the possibility that firm or network firm personnel providing internal audit services will assume a management responsibility. Examples of internal audit services that involve assuming management responsibilities include:

- Setting internal audit policies or the strategic direction of internal audit activities.
- Directing and taking responsibility for the actions of the entity’s internal audit employees.
- Deciding which recommendations resulting from internal audit activities to implement.
- Reporting the results of the internal audit activities to those charged with governance on behalf of management.
- Performing procedures that form part of the internal control, such as reviewing and approving changes to employee data access privileges.
- Taking responsibility for designing, implementing, monitoring and maintaining internal control.
- Performing outsourced internal audit services, comprising all or a substantial portion of the internal audit function, where the firm or network firm is responsible for determining the scope of the internal audit work; and might have responsibility for one or more of the matters noted above.

Potential Threats Arising from the Provision of Internal Audit Services

All Audit Clients

605.4 A1 Providing internal audit services to an audit client might create a self-review threat when the results of the services impact the audit of the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.

605.4 A2 When a firm uses the work of an internal audit function in an audit engagement, ISAs require the performance of procedures to evaluate the adequacy of that work. Similarly, when a firm or a network firm accepts an engagement to provide internal audit services to an audit client, the results of those services might be used in conducting the external audit. This creates a self-review threat because it is possible that the audit team will use the results of the internal
audit service for purposes of the audit engagement without:

(a) Appropriately evaluating those results; or
(b) Exercising the same level of professional skepticism as would be exercised when the internal audit work is performed by individuals who are not members of the firm.

**Audit Clients that are Not Public Interest Entities**

605.5 A1 Factors that are relevant in identifying and evaluating the level of self-review threat created by providing internal audit services to an audit client that is not a public interest entity include:

- The materiality of the related financial statements amounts.
- The risk of misstatement of the assertions related to those financial statement amounts.
- The degree of reliance that the audit team will place on the work of the internal audit service.

605.5 A2 An example of an action that might be a safeguard to address such a self-review threat is using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service.

**Audit Clients that are Public Interest Entities**

R605.6 A firm or a network firm shall not provide internal audit services to an audit client that is a public interest entity if the provision of such services will create a self-review threat in relation to the audit of the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.

605.6 A1 Examples of the services that are prohibited under paragraph R605.6 include internal audit services that relate to:

- The internal controls over financial reporting.
- Financial accounting systems that generate information for the client’s accounting records or financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.
- Amounts or disclosures that relate to the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.

**SUBSECTION 606 – INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS SERVICES**

**Introduction**

606.1 In addition to the specific requirements and application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in paragraphs 600.1 to R600.21 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework when providing an information technology (IT) systems.
service to an audit client.

Requirements and Application Material

Description of Service

606.2 A1 Services related to IT systems include the design or implementation of hardware or software systems. The IT systems might:

(a) Aggregate source data;
(b) Form part of the internal control over financial reporting; or
(c) Generate information that affects the accounting records or financial statements, including related disclosures.

However, the IT systems might also involve matters that are unrelated to the audit client’s accounting records or the internal control over financial reporting or financial statements.

R606.3 Paragraph R400.13 precludes a firm or a network firm from assuming a management responsibility. When providing IT systems services to an audit client, the firm or network firm shall be satisfied that:

(a) The client acknowledges its responsibility for establishing and monitoring a system of internal controls;
(b) The client assigns the responsibility to make all management decisions with respect to the design and implementation of the hardware or software system to a competent employee, preferably within senior management;
(c) The client makes all management decisions with respect to the design and implementation process;
(d) The client evaluates the adequacy and results of the design and implementation of the system; and
(e) The client is responsible for operating the system (hardware or software) and for the data it uses or generates.

606.3 A1 Providing the following IT systems services to an audit client does not usually create a threat as long as personnel of the firm or network firm do not assume a management responsibility:

(a) Designing or implementing IT systems that are unrelated to internal control over financial reporting;
(b) Designing or implementing IT systems that do not generate information forming part of the accounting records or financial statements; and
(c) Implementing “off-the-shelf” accounting or financial information reporting software that
was not developed by the firm or network firm, if the customization required to meet the client's needs is not significant.

Potential Threats Arising from the Provision of IT Systems Services

All Audit Clients

606.4 A1 Providing IT systems services to an audit client might create a self-review threat when the results of the services impact the audit of the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.

Audit Clients that are Not Public Interest Entities

606.5 A1 Factors that are relevant in identifying and evaluating the level of a self-review threat created by providing an IT systems service to an audit client that is not a public interest entity include:

- The nature of the service.
- The nature of the client's IT systems and the extent to which the IT systems service impacts or interacts with the client's accounting records, internal controls over financial reporting or financial statements.
- The degree of reliance that will be placed on the particular IT systems as part of the audit.

606.5 A2 An example of an action that might be a safeguard to address such a self-review threat is using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service.

Audit Clients that are Public Interest Entities

R606.6 A firm or a network firm shall not provide IT systems services to an audit client that is a public interest entity if the provision of such services will create a self-review threat in relation to the audit of the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.

606.6 A1 Examples of services that are prohibited because they give rise to a self-review threat include those involving designing or implementing IT systems that:

- Form part of the internal control over financial reporting; or
- Generate information for the client's accounting records or financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.
SUBSECTION 607 – LITIGATION SUPPORT SERVICES

Introduction

607.1 In addition to the specific application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in paragraphs 600.1 to R600.21 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework when providing a litigation support service to an audit client.

Requirements and Application Material

Description of Service

607.2 A1 Litigation support services might include activities such as:

- Assisting with document management and retrieval.
- Acting as a witness, including an expert witness.
- Calculating estimated damages or other amounts that might become receivable or payable as the result of litigation or other legal dispute.
- Forensic or investigative services.

Potential Threats Arising from the Provision of Litigation Support Services

All Audit Clients

607.3 A1 Providing litigation support services to an audit client might create a self-review threat when the results of the services create a self-review threat in relation to the accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion. Such services might also create an advocacy threat.

607.4 A1 Factors that are relevant in identifying self-review or advocacy threats, and evaluating the level of any such threats, created by providing litigation support services to an audit client include:

- The legal and regulatory environment in which the service is provided.
- The nature and characteristics of the service.
- The extent to which the outcome of the litigation support service will involve estimating damages and other amounts that might have a material effect on the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.

607.4 A2 If a firm or a network firm provides a litigation support service to an audit client and the service involves estimating damages or other amounts that affect the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion, the requirements and application material set out in...
Subsection 603 related to valuation services apply.

**Audit Clients that are Not Public Interest Entities**

607.5 A1 An example of an action that might be a safeguard to address such a self-review or advocacy threat for an audit client that is not a public interest entity is using a professional who was not an audit team member to perform the service.

**Audit Clients that are Public Interest Entities**

**Self-review Threats**

R607.6 A firm or a network firm shall not provide litigation support services to an audit client that is a public interest entity if the provision of such services will create a self-review threat in relation to the audit of the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.

607.6 A1 An example of a service that is prohibited because it gives rise to a self-review threat is providing advice in connection with a legal proceeding which affects directly or indirectly the quantification of any provision in the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.

**Advocacy Threats**

607.6 A2 An example of an action that might be a safeguard to address an advocacy threat created by providing litigation support services to an audit client that is a public interest entity is using a professional who was not an audit team member to perform the service.

**Acting as a Witness**

607.7 A1 A professional within the firm or the network firm might give evidence to a court or tribunal as a witness of fact or as an expert witness.

- A witness of fact is an individual who gives evidence to a court or tribunal based on his or her direct knowledge of facts or events in dispute.
- An expert witness is an individual who gives evidence, including opinions, based on that individual’s expertise, to a court or tribunal on matters relevant to the dispute.

607.7 A2 A threat to independence is not created when an individual, in relation to a dispute that involves an audit client, acts as a witness of fact and in the course of doing so provides an opinion within the individual’s area of expertise in response to a question asked in the course of giving factual evidence.

607.7 A3 An advocacy threat is created when a firm or a network firm or an individual within a firm or a network firm, is engaged to act as an expert witness to give evidence before a court or tribunal on behalf of an audit client. No such advocacy threat is created if a firm or a network firm, or...
an individual within a firm or a network firm, is appointed by a court or tribunal to act as an expert witness in a dispute involving a client.

Audit Clients that are Not Public Interest Entities

607.8 A1 An example of an action that might be a safeguard to address an advocacy threat for an audit client that is not a public interest entity is using a professional to perform the service who is not, and has not been a member of the audit team.

Audit Clients that are Public Interest Entities

R607.9 A firm or a network firm or an individual within a firm or a network firm, shall not act as an expert witness in a dispute involving an audit client that is a public interest entity unless appointed by a court or tribunal.

SUBSECTION 608 – LEGAL SERVICES

Introduction

608.1 In addition to the specific requirements and application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in paragraphs 600.1 to R600.22 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework when providing a legal service to an audit client.

Requirements and Application Material

Description of Service

608.2 A1 Legal services are defined as any services for which the individual providing the services must either:

(a) Have the required legal training to practice law; or

(b) Be admitted to practice law before the courts of the jurisdiction in which such services are to be provided.

608.2 A2 This subsection deals specifically with:

- Providing legal advice.
- Acting as general counsel.
- Acting in an advocacy role.
Potential Threats Arising from Providing Legal Services

All Audit clients

608.3 A1 Providing legal services to an audit client might create a self-review threat when the results of the services create a self-review threat that will affect the accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion. Such services might also create an advocacy threat.

A. Providing Legal Advice

Description of Service

608.4 A1 Depending on the jurisdiction, providing legal advice might include a wide and diversified range of service areas including both corporate and commercial services to audit clients, such as:

- Contract support.
- Supporting an audit client in executing a transaction.
- Mergers and acquisitions.
- Supporting and assisting an audit client's internal legal department.
- Legal due diligence and restructuring.

Potential Threats Arising from Providing Legal Advice

Audit Clients that are Not Public Interest Entities

608.5 A1 Factors that are relevant in identifying self-review or advocacy threats, and evaluating the level of any such threats, created by providing legal advice to an audit client that is not a public interest entity include:

- The materiality of the specific matter in relation to the client’s financial statements.
- The complexity of the legal matter and the degree of judgment necessary to provide the service.

608.5 A2 Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address such threats include:

- Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service might address a self-review or advocacy threat.
- Having an appropriate reviewer who was not involved in providing the service review the audit work or the service performed might address a self-review threat.
Audit Clients that are Public Interest Entities

Self-review Threats

608.6 A firm or a network shall not provide legal advice to an audit client that is a public interest entity if the provision of such services will create a self-review threat in relation to the audit of the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.

608.6 A1 Examples of legal advice that might create such a self-review threat are:

- Estimating a potential loss arising from a lawsuit for the purpose of recording a provision in the financial statements;
- Drafting provisions in contracts that may give rise to liabilities reflected in the client’s financial statements and whether the client is a going concern.

Advocacy Threats

608.6 A2 The provisions in paragraphs 608.5 A1 and 608.5 A2 are also relevant to evaluating and addressing advocacy threats that might be created by providing legal advisory services to an audit client that is a public interest entity.

B. Acting as General Counsel

All Audit Clients

608.7 A partner or employee of the firm or the network firm shall not serve as General Counsel of an audit client.

608.7 A1 The position of General Counsel is usually a senior management position with broad responsibility for the legal affairs of a company.

C. Acting in an Advocacy Role

Potential Threats Arising from Acting in an Advocacy Role Before a Court or Tribunal

Audit Clients that are Not Public Interest Entities

608.8 A firm or a network firm shall not act in an advocacy role for an audit client that is a not public interest entity in resolving a dispute or litigation before a tribunal or court when the amounts involved are material to the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.

608.8 A1 Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address a self-review or advocacy threat created when acting in an advocacy role for an audit client that is a not public interest entity...
include:

- Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service.
- Having an appropriate reviewer who was not involved in providing the service review the audit work or the service performed.

Audit Clients that are Public Interest Entities

R608.9 A firm or a network firm shall not act in an advocacy role for an audit client that is a public interest entity in resolving a dispute or litigation before a tribunal or court.

SUBSECTION 609 – RECRUITING SERVICES

Introduction

609.1 In addition to the specific requirements and application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in paragraphs 600.1 to R600.2 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework when providing a recruiting service to an audit client.

Requirements and Application Material

Description of Service

609.2 A1 Recruiting services might include activities such as:

- Developing a job description.
- Developing a process for identifying and selecting potential candidates.
- Searching for or seeking out candidates.
- Screening potential candidates for the role by:
  - Reviewing the professional qualifications or competence of applicants and determining their suitability for the position.
  - Undertaking reference checks of prospective candidates.
  - Interviewing and selecting suitable candidates and advising on candidates’ competence.
- Determining employment terms and negotiating details, such as salary, hours and other compensation.
Potential Threats Arising from Providing Recruiting Services

All Audit Clients

609.3 A1 Providing recruiting services to an audit client might create a self-interest, familiarity or intimidation threat.

R609.4 Paragraph R400.13 precludes a firm or a network firm from assuming a management responsibility.

R609.5 When a firm or a network firm provides recruiting services to an audit client, the firm shall be satisfied that:

(a) The client assigns the responsibility to make all management decisions with respect to hiring the candidate for the position to a competent employee, preferably within senior management; and

(b) The client makes all management decisions with respect to the hiring process, including:

- Determining the suitability of prospective candidates and selecting suitable candidates for the position.
- Determining employment terms and negotiating details, such as salary, hours and other compensation.

609.5 A1 Providing the following services does not usually create a threat as long as personnel of the firm or network firm does not assume a management responsibility:

- Reviewing the professional qualifications of a number of applicants and providing advice on their suitability for the position.
- Interviewing candidates and advising on a candidate’s competence for financial accounting, administrative or control positions.

609.5 A2 Factors that are relevant in identifying self-interest, familiarity or intimidation threats, and evaluating the level of any such threats created by providing recruiting services to an audit client include:

- The nature of the requested assistance.
- The role of the individual to be recruited.
- Any conflicts of interest or relationships that might exist between the candidates and the firm providing the advice or service.
609.5 A3 An example of an action that might be a safeguard to address such a self-interest, familiarity or intimidation threat is using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service.

Recruiting Services that are Prohibited

R609.6 When providing recruiting services to an audit client, the firm or the network firm shall not act as a negotiator on the client’s behalf.

R609.7 A firm or a network firm shall not provide a recruiting service to an audit client if the service relates to:

(a) Searching for or seeking out candidates; or

(b) Undertaking reference checks of prospective candidates,

with respect to the following positions:

(i) A director or officer of the entity; or

(ii) A member of senior management in a position to exert significant influence over the preparation of the client’s accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.

SUBSECTION 610 – CORPORATE FINANCE SERVICES

Introduction

610.1 In addition to the specific requirements and application material in this subsection, the requirements and application material in paragraphs 600.1 to R600.21 are relevant to applying the conceptual framework when providing a corporate finance service to an audit client.

Requirements and Application Material

Description of Service

610.2 A1 Examples of corporate finance services include:

● Assisting an audit client in developing corporate strategies.
● Identifying possible targets for the audit client to acquire.
● Advising on disposal transactions.
● Performing due diligence in relation to potential acquisitions and disposals.
• Assisting in finance raising transactions.
• Providing structuring advice.
• Valuations of prospective acquisitions.
• Providing advice on the structuring of a corporate finance transaction or on financing arrangements.

Potential Threats Arising from the Provision of Corporate Finance Services

All Audit Clients

610.3 A1 Providing corporate finance services to an audit client might create a self-review threat when the results of the services will affect the accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion. Such services might also create an advocacy threat.

610.4 A1 Factors that are relevant in identifying self-review or advocacy threats, and evaluating the level of any such threats, created by providing corporate finance services to an audit client include:

• The degree of subjectivity involved in determining the appropriate treatment for the outcome or consequences of the corporate finance advice in the financial statements.

• The extent to which:
  ○ The outcome of the corporate finance advice will directly affect amounts recorded in the financial statements.
  ○ The outcome of the corporate finance service might have a material effect on the financial statements.

• Whether the effectiveness of the corporate finance advice depends on a particular accounting treatment or presentation in the financial statements and there is doubt as to the appropriateness of the related accounting treatment or presentation under the relevant financial reporting framework.

Corporate Finance Services that are Prohibited

R610.5 A firm or a network firm shall not provide corporate finance services to an audit client that involve promoting, dealing in, or underwriting the audit client’s shares.
When effectiveness of corporate finance advice is dependent on a particular accounting treatment or presentation

R610.6 A firm or a network firm shall not provide advice in relation to corporate finance services to an audit client where:

(a) The effectiveness of such advice depends on a particular accounting treatment or presentation in the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion; and

(b) The audit team has doubt as to the appropriateness of the related accounting treatment or presentation under the relevant financial reporting framework.

Audit Clients that are Not Public Interest Entities

610.7 A1 Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address threats arising from providing corporate finance services to an audit client that is not a public interest entity include:

- Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service might address self-review or advocacy threats.

- Having an appropriate reviewer who was not involved in providing the service review the audit work or service performed might address a self-review threat.

Audit Clients that are Public Interest Entities

Self-review Threats

R610.8 A firm or a network firm shall not provide corporate finance and related transaction services to an audit client that is a public interest entity if the provision of such services will create a self-review threat in relation to the accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.

Advocacy Threats

610.8 A1 An example of an action that might be a safeguard to address advocacy threats created by providing corporate finance services to an audit client that is a public interest entity is using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service.
II. Chapter 2 of NAS ED – Proposed Conforming Amendments to Section 400

INTERNATIONAL INDEPENDENCE STANDARDS

PART 4A – INDEPENDENCE FOR AUDIT AND REVIEW ENGAGEMENTS

Section 400

APPLYING THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK TO INDEPENDENCE FOR AUDITS AND REVIEWS

... 

General

R400.11 A firm performing an audit engagement shall be independent.

R400.12 A firm shall apply the conceptual framework set out in Section 120 to identify, evaluate and address threats to independence in relation to an audit engagement.

Prohibition on Assuming Management Responsibilities

R400.13 A firm or a network firm shall not assume a management responsibility for an audit client.

400.13 A1 Management responsibilities involve controlling, leading and directing an entity, including making decisions regarding the acquisition, deployment and control of human, financial, technological, physical and intangible resources.

400.13 A2 When a firm or a network firm assumes a management responsibility for an audit client, self-review, self-interest and familiarity threats are created. Assuming a management responsibility might also create an advocacy threat because the firm or network firm becomes too closely aligned with the views and interest of management.

400.13 A3 Determining whether an activity is a management responsibility depends on the circumstances and requires the exercise of professional judgment. Examples of activities that would be considered a management responsibility, and therefore would not be assumed for audit clients include:

- Setting policies and strategic direction.
- Hiring or dismissing employees.
- Directing and taking responsibility for the actions of employees in relation to the employees’ work for the entity.
• Authorizing transactions.
• Controlling or managing bank accounts or investments.
• Deciding which recommendations of the firm or network firm or other third parties to implement.
• Reporting to those charged with governance on behalf of management.
• Taking responsibility for:
  o The preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework.
  o Designing, implementing, monitoring or maintaining internal control.

R400.14 When performing activities for an audit client, the firm shall be satisfied that client management makes all judgments and decisions that are the proper responsibility of management. This includes ensuring that the client’s management:

(a) Designates an individual who possesses suitable skill, knowledge and experience to be responsible always for the client’s decisions and to oversee the activities. Such an individual, preferably within senior management, would understand:

(i) The objectives, nature and results of the activities; and

(ii) The respective client and firm or network firm responsibilities.

However, the individual is not required to possess the expertise to perform or re-perform the activities.

(b) Provides oversight of the activities and evaluates the adequacy of the results of the activity performed for the client’s purpose.

(c) Accepts responsibility for the actions, if any, to be taken arising from the results of the activities.

[Paragraphs 400.15 to 400.19 are intentionally left blank]

Related Entities

R400.20 As defined, an audit client that is a listed entity includes all of its related entities. For all other entities, references to an audit client in this Part include related entities over which the client...
has direct or indirect control. When the audit team knows, or has reason to believe, that a relationship or circumstance involving any other related entity of the client is relevant to the evaluation of the firm’s independence from the client, the audit team shall include that related entity when identifying, evaluating and addressing threats to independence.

[Paragraphs 400.21 to 400.29 are intentionally left blank]

Period During which Independence is Required

All Audit Clients

R400.30 Independence as required by this Part, shall be maintained during both:

(a) The engagement period; and
(b) The period covered by the financial statements.

400.30 A1 The engagement period starts when the audit team begins to perform the audit. The engagement period ends when the audit report is issued. When the engagement is of a recurring nature, it ends at the later of the notification by either party that the professional relationship has ended or the issuance of the final audit report.

R400.31 If an entity becomes an audit client during or after the period covered by the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion, the firm shall determine whether any threats to independence are created by:

(a) Financial or business relationships with the audit client during or after the period covered by the financial statements but before accepting the audit engagement; or
(b) Previous services provided to the audit client by the firm or a network firm.

400.31 A1 Threats to independence are created if a non-assurance service was provided to an audit client during, or after the period covered by the financial statements, but before the audit team begins to perform the audit, and the service would not be permitted during the engagement period.

400.31 A2 Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address such threats include:

- Using professionals who are not audit team members to perform the service.
- Having an appropriate reviewer review the audit and non-assurance work as appropriate.
- Engaging another firm outside of the network to evaluate the results of the non-assurance service or having another firm outside of the network re-perform the non-assurance service to the extent necessary to enable the other firm to take responsibility for the service.
Audit Clients that are Public Interest Entities

**R400.32** A firm shall not accept appointment as auditor of a public interest entity to which the firm or the network firm has provided a non-assurance service that would create a self-review threat during the period covered by the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion unless the provision of such service has ceased and:

(a) The firm has a professional accountant, who is not a member of the firm expressing the opinion on the financial statements, perform a review that is equivalent to an engagement quality control review; or

(b) The public interest entity engages another firm to evaluate the results of the non-assurance service or has another firm re-perform the non-assurance service, in either case, to the extent necessary to enable the other firm to take responsibility for the result of the service.

[Paragraphs 400.33 to 400.39 are intentionally left blank]
III. Chapter 3 of NAS ED – Proposed Consequential Amendments to Section 950

PART 4B – INTERNATIONAL INDEPENDENCE STANDARDS ASSURANCE ENGAGEMENTS OTHER THAN AUDIT AND REVIEW ENGAGEMENTS

... 

SECTION 950

PROVISION OF NON-ASSURANCE SERVICES TO ASSURANCE CLIENTS OTHER THAN AUDIT AND REVIEW CLIENTS

Introduction

950.1 Firms are required to comply with the fundamental principles, be independent, and apply the conceptual framework set out in Section 120 to identify, evaluate and address threats to independence.

950.2 Firms might provide a range of non-assurance services to their assurance clients, consistent with their skills and expertise. Providing certain non-assurance services to assurance clients might create threats to compliance with the fundamental principles and threats to independence. This section sets out specific requirements and application material relevant to applying the conceptual framework in such circumstances.

950.3 This section sets out requirements and application material relevant to applying the conceptual framework to identify, evaluate and address threats to independence when providing non-assurance services to assurance clients.

Requirements and Application Material

General

950.4 A1 New business practices, the evolution of financial markets and changes in technology are among the developments that make it impossible to draw up an all-inclusive list of non-assurance services that might be provided to an assurance client. As a result, the Code does not include an exhaustive listing of all non-assurance services that might be provided to an assurance client.

Before Accepting an Engagement to Provide a Non-Assurance Service

R950.5 Before a firm accepts an engagement to provide a non-assurance service to an assurance client, the firm shall apply the conceptual framework to identify, evaluate and address any threat to independence that might be created by providing that service.
Identifying and Evaluating Threats

950.6 A1 A description of the categories of threats that might arise when a firm provides a non-assurance service to an assurance client is set out in 120.6 A3.

950.6 A2 Factors that are relevant in identifying and evaluating threats created by providing a non-assurance service to an assurance client include:

- The nature, scope, intended use and purpose of the service.
- The manner in which the service will be provided (for example, the extent to which the service relates to providing a technology-based solution developed by the firm).
- The legal and regulatory environment in which the service is provided.
- Whether the client is a public interest entity.
- The level of expertise of the client’s management and employees with respect to the type of service provided.
- The degree of reliance that will be placed on the outcome of the service as part of the assurance engagement.
- Whether the outcome of the service will affect the underlying subject matter and, in an attestation engagement, matters reflected in the subject matter information of the assurance engagement, and, if so:
  - The extent to which the outcome of the service will have a material or significant effect on the underlying subject matter and, in an attestation engagement, the subject matter information of the assurance engagement.
  - The extent to which the assurance client’s management determines significant matters of judgment.
- The fees relating to the provision of the non-assurance services.

Multiple Non-assurance Services Provided to the Same Assurance Client

950.7 A1 A firm might provide multiple non-assurance services to an assurance client. In these circumstances the combined effect of threats created by providing those services is relevant to the firm’s evaluation of threats.

Self-Review Threats

950.8 A1 A self-review threat might be created if, in a non-assurance engagement, the firm is involved in the preparation of subject matter information which subsequently becomes the subject
matter information of an assurance engagement. Examples of non-assurance services that might create such self-review threats when providing services related to the subject matter information of an assurance engagement include:

(a) Developing and preparing prospective information and subsequently issuing an assurance report on this information.

(b) Performing a valuation that is related to or forms part of the subject matter information of an assurance engagement.

Assurance clients that are public interest entities

950.9 A1 Concerns about a firm’s independence are heightened when an assurance engagement is undertaken by a firm for a public interest entity and the results of that engagement will be:

(a) Made available publicly, including to shareholders and other stakeholders; or

(b) Provided to an entity or organization established by law or regulation to oversee the operation of a business sector or activity.

950.9 A2 Therefore, when the assurance client is a public interest entity, stakeholders have heightened expectations regarding the firm’s independence including any self-review threat arising from a non-assurance service undertaken in relation to the subject matter information of the assurance engagement. Consideration of these expectations forms part of the reasonable and informed third party test applied when determining when deciding whether to provide an non-assurance service to a client.

950.9 A3 When the results of the engagement are to be provided in the circumstances described in paragraph 950.8 A1 (b), the firm is encouraged to disclose to the recipient the existence of a self-review threat to independence and the steps taken to address that threat.

Materiality in Relation to an Assurance Client’s Information

950.11 A1 The concept of materiality in relation to an assurance client’s subject matter information is addressed in International Standard on Assurance Engagements (ISAE) 3000 (Revised), Assurance Engagements other than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information. The determination of materiality involves the exercise of professional judgment and is impacted by both quantitative and qualitative factors. It is also affected by perceptions of the financial or other information needs of users.

Addressing Threats

950.12 A1 Paragraphs 120.10 to 120.10 A2 include a requirement and application that are relevant when addressing threats to independence, including a description of safeguards.

950.12 A2 In relation to providing non-assurance services to assurance clients, safeguards may not be
available to reduce any threat created by providing a non-assurance service to an assurance client to an acceptable level. In such a situation, the application of the conceptual framework set out in Section 120 requires the firm to:

(a) Adjust the scope of the proposed service to eliminate the circumstance that are creating the threat;

(b) Decline or end the service that creates the threat that cannot be eliminated or reduced to an acceptable level; or

(c) End the assurance engagement.
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APPLYING THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK TO INDEPENDENCE FOR ASSURANCE ENGAGEMENTS OTHER THAN AUDIT AND REVIEW ENGAGEMENTS
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Requirements and Application Material

General

R900.11 A firm performing an assurance engagement shall be independent of the assurance client.

900.11 A1 For the purposes of this Part, the assurance client in an assurance engagement is the responsible party and also, in an attestation engagement, the party taking responsibility for the subject matter information (who might be the same as the responsible party).

900.11 A2 The roles of the parties involved in an assurance engagement might differ and affect the application of the independence provisions in this Part. In the majority of attestation engagements, the responsible party and the party taking responsibility for the subject matter information are the same. This includes those circumstances where the responsible party involves another party to measure or evaluate the underlying subject matter against the criteria (the measurer or evaluator) where the responsible party takes responsibility for the subject matter information as well as the underlying subject matter. However, the responsible party or the engaging party might appoint another party to prepare the subject matter information on the basis that this party is to take responsibility for the subject matter information. In this circumstance, the responsible party and the party responsible for the subject matter information are both assurance clients for the purposes of this Part.

900.11 A3 In addition to the responsible party and, in an attestation engagement, the party taking responsibility for the subject matter information, there might be other parties in relation to the engagement. For example, there might be a separate engaging party or a party who is a measurer or evaluator other than the party taking responsibility for the subject matter information. In these circumstances, applying the conceptual framework requires the professional accountant to identify and evaluate threats to the fundamental principles created by any interests or relationships with such parties, including whether any conflicts of interest might exist as described in Section 310.

R900.12 A firm shall apply the conceptual framework set out in Section 120 to identify, evaluate and address threats to independence in relation to an assurance engagement.
Prohibition on Assuming Management Responsibilities

**R900.13** A firm shall not assume a management responsibility related to the underlying subject matter and, in an attestation engagement, the subject matter information of an assurance engagement provided by the firm. If the firm assumes a management responsibility as part of any other service provided to the assurance client, the firm shall ensure that the responsibility is not related to the underlying subject matter and, in an attestation engagement, the subject matter information of the assurance engagement provided by the firm.

**900.13 A1** Management responsibilities involve controlling, leading and directing an entity, including making decisions regarding the acquisition, deployment and control of human, financial, technological, physical and intangible resources.

**900.13 A2** When a firm assumes a management responsibility for an assurance client, self-review, self-interest and familiarity threats are created. Assuming a management responsibility might also create an advocacy threat because the firm becomes too closely aligned with the views and interests of management.

**900.13 A3** Determining whether an activity is a management responsibility depends on the circumstances and requires the exercise of professional judgment. Examples of activities that would be considered a management responsibility include:

- Setting policies and strategic direction.
- Hiring or dismissing employees.
- Directing and taking responsibility for the actions of employees in relation to the employees’ work for the entity.
- Authorizing transactions.
- Controlling or managing bank accounts or investments.
- Deciding which recommendations of the firm or other third parties to implement.
- Reporting to those charged with governance on behalf of management.
- Taking responsibility for designing, implementing, monitoring and maintaining internal control.

**900.13 A4** Subject to compliance with paragraph R900.14, providing advice and recommendations to assist the management of an assurance client in discharging its responsibilities is not assuming a management responsibility.

**R900.14** When performing non-assurance activities for an assurance client that are related to the underlying subject matter and, in an attestation engagement, the subject matter information of the assurance engagement, the firm shall be satisfied that client management makes all related judgments and decisions that are the proper responsibility of management. This includes ensuring that the client’s management:

(a) Designates an individual who possesses suitable skill, knowledge and experience to be responsible at all times for the client’s decisions and to oversee the activities. Such an individual, preferably within senior management, would understand:
(i) The objectives, nature and results of the activities; and
(ii) The respective client and firm responsibilities.

However, the individual is not required to possess the expertise to perform or re-perform the activities.

(b) Provides oversight of the activities and evaluates the adequacy of the results of the activity performed for the client’s purpose; and

(c) Accepts responsibility for the actions, if any, to be taken arising from the results of the activities.

[Paragraphs 900.16 to 900.29 are intentionally left blank]

### Period During which Independence is Required

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paragraph</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R900.30</td>
<td>Independence, as required by this Part, shall be maintained during both:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(a) The engagement period; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) The period covered by the subject matter information.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**900.30 A1** The engagement period starts when the assurance team begins to perform assurance services with respect to the particular engagement. The engagement period ends when the assurance report is issued. When the engagement is of a recurring nature, it ends at the later of the notification by either party that the professional relationship has ended or the issuance of the final assurance report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paragraph</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R900.31</td>
<td>If an entity becomes an assurance client during or after the period covered by the subject matter information on which the firm will express a conclusion, the firm shall determine whether any threats to independence are created by:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(a) Financial or business relationships with the assurance client during or after the period covered by the subject matter information but before accepting the assurance engagement; or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) Previous services provided to the assurance client.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**900.32** Threats to independence are created if a non-assurance service was provided to the assurance client during, or after the period covered by the subject matter information, but before the assurance team begins to perform assurance services, and the service would not be permitted during the engagement period. In such circumstances, the firm shall evaluate and address any threat to independence created by the service. If the threats are not at an acceptable level, the firm shall only accept the assurance engagement if the threats are reduced to an acceptable level.

**900.32 A1** Examples of actions that might be safeguards to address such threats include:

- Using professionals who are not assurance team members to perform the service.
- Having an appropriate reviewer review the assurance and non-assurance work as appropriate.
R900.33 If a non-assurance service that would not be permitted during the engagement period has not been completed and it is not practical to complete or end the service before the commencement of professional services in connection with the assurance engagement, the firm shall only accept the assurance engagement if:

(a) The firm is satisfied that:
   (i) the non-assurance service will be completed within a short period of time; or
   (ii) the client has arrangements in place to transition the service to another provider within a short period of time;

(b) The firm applies safeguards when necessary during the service period; and

(c) The firm discusses the matter with those charged with governance.

Communication with Those Charged With Governance

900.34 A1 Paragraphs R300.9 to 300.9 A2 set out requirements and application material for communicating with those charged with governance.

900.34 A2 Communication with those charged with governance might be appropriate when significant judgments are made, and conclusions reached, to address threats to independence in relation to an assurance engagement because the subject matter information of that engagement is the outcome of a previously performed non-assurance service.

[Paragraphs 900.35 to 900.39 are intentionally left blank]