

**NOCLAR—Professional Accountants in Public Practice  
Proposed IESBA Staff Q&As<sup>1</sup>****[Date]**

This Questions and Answers (Q&A) publication is issued by the Staff of the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants (IESBA). It is intended to assist national standards setters, IFAC member bodies and professional accountants (PAs) in public practice (including firms) as they adopt and implement the provisions in Section 225<sup>2</sup> of the *Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants* (the Code) addressing PAs' responsibility to respond to non-compliance with laws and regulations (NOCLAR). The IESBA issued its [NOCLAR pronouncement](#) in July 2016.

This publication does not amend or override the Code, the text of which alone is authoritative. Reading this Q&A is not a substitute for reading the Code. This Q&A is not meant to be exhaustive and reference to the Code itself should always be made. This publication does not constitute an authoritative or official pronouncement of the IESBA.

**I. General****Applicability of Section 225**

- Q1.** Is Section 225 only general guidance for a PA to consult or does it impose any obligation on the PA in circumstances where the PA becomes aware of NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR?
- A.** Section 225 is not merely guidance that the PA may choose to follow or not. It contains a number of requirements with which the PA must comply. These requirements (designated by the word "shall") vary depending on whether the PA is performing an audit of financial statements or providing another professional service. Where the matter is within the scope of Section 225, an overriding obligation under the Code is for the PA to respond to it and not simply ignore it.

**Definition of NOCLAR**

- Q2.** Does the Code require PAs to respond to acts of NOCLAR committed by contractors or agents working for the client, or by non-executive directors of the client?
- A.** Yes. Paragraph 225.2 of the Code defines NOCLAR to include acts committed by individuals working for or under the direction of a client which are contrary to prevailing laws or regulations. Contractors, agents and non-executive directors are examples of parties who work for or under the direction of a client. In the context of responding to NOCLAR under the Code, it is not necessary that there be a formal employment relationship between the party that has committed the act of NOCLAR and the client, as might be established through an employment contract.

---

<sup>1</sup> Feedback from the IAASB's NOCLAR Task Force will be sought on the next version of the draft Q&As after the September 2016 IESBA meeting.

<sup>2</sup> Section 225, *Responding to Non-Compliance with Laws and Regulations*

### Multi-Disciplinary Firms

- Q3.** Does Section 225 apply to individuals providing professional services in firms who are not PAs as defined by the Code,<sup>3</sup> for example, consultants and lawyers who are providing advisory services?
- A.** Yes. The Code applies to all PAs in public practice, which the Code defines to include firms. Firms are expected to ensure that all individuals providing professional services to their clients comply with the applicable provisions in the Code, including with respect to NOCLAR, regardless of whether the individuals are PAs.

### Compliance with Applicable Laws and Regulations

- Q4.** In jurisdiction X, there are legal provisions governing how PAs should address certain types of NOCLAR, including a requirement to report suspected NOCLAR to designated public authorities. If a PA has complied with these provisions with respect to suspected NOCLAR within the scope of Section 225, does this mean that the PA need not comply with the rest of Section 225?
- A.** No. The Preface to the Code states that some jurisdictions may have legal, regulatory or professional requirements and guidance that differ from those contained in this Code. It emphasizes that PAs in those jurisdictions need to be aware of those differences and comply with whichever are the more stringent requirements and guidance unless prohibited from doing so by law or regulation.
- Q5.** Jurisdiction X has confidentiality laws that prohibit firms from disclosing NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR to an appropriate authority. Does this mean that the NOCLAR provisions in the Code have limited applicability or relevance in that jurisdiction?
- A.** No. The Preface to the Code makes clear that if a firm is prohibited from complying with certain parts of the Code by law or regulation, it must comply with all other parts of the Code. Accordingly, all the other NOCLAR provisions in the Code would still apply to the extent that compliance with them is not prohibited by law or regulation. This includes provisions addressing understanding and complying with applicable laws and regulations (including any reporting obligations); escalation of the matter within the entity; in the case of an audit of group financial statements, communication with respect to the group audit; mitigation or remediation of the consequences of NOCLAR or the deterrence of NOCLAR; and withdrawal from the client relationship.

Further, in the case of groups with components in other parts of the world, application of the NOCLAR provisions of the Code in the jurisdictions where those components are based may have implications and relevance in jurisdiction X. For example, there may be implications for the parent entity arising from the disclosure of suspected NOCLAR by the auditor of a component to a public authority in another jurisdiction. See also Q26-31 addressing the topic of communication with respect to groups.

### Scope

- Q6.** What is the relationship between the laws and regulations addressed by Section 225 of the Code and the laws and regulations addressed by International Standards on Auditing (ISAs) and other engagement standards?

---

<sup>3</sup> The Code defines a professional accountant as an individual who is a member of an IFAC member body.

- A.** Engagements governed by ISAs or other professional standards will generally establish the types of laws and regulations of which a PA must have knowledge in order to perform the engagement. Nothing in Section 225 of the Code increases the range of laws and regulations of which a PA must have knowledge for purposes of performing the engagement. However, if a PA becomes aware of NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR that is within the scope of laws and regulations addressed by Section 225, the Code requires the PA to respond to it in accordance with Section 225, regardless of whether the matter falls within the scope of laws and regulations addressed by the applicable engagement standards.
- Q7.** A PA becomes aware of a breach of a law by an entity that is not the PA's client. Does the PA have any responsibility to respond to the matter under Section 225 of the Code?
- A.** No. As the PA has no professional relationship with the entity, Section 225 does not apply. The PA would be in the same position as an ordinary good citizen in those circumstances.
- Q8.** Why does Section 225 (paragraph 225.8) scope out clearly inconsequential matters when paragraph 225.5 already indicates that laws and regulations covered are those that directly affect the determination of *material* amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, and those in respect of which compliance may be *fundamental* to the client's business?
- A.** The phrases "*material* amounts and disclosures" and "*fundamental* to the operating aspects of the business" used to describe the laws and regulations within the scope of Section 225 refer to the kind of laws and regulations this Section is concerned about. They do not refer to actual instances of NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR. For example, laws and regulations addressing vehicle safety for a car manufacturer are within the scope of Section 225. However, a traffic fine by an employee of the car manufacturer would be a clearly inconsequential matter which the PA need not pursue under the Code.

### Identifying NOCLAR

- Q9.** Paragraph 225.1 indicates that a PA may encounter or be made aware of NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR in the course of providing a professional service to a client. What is the significance of distinguishing between encountering NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR and being made aware of it?
- A.** The Code recognizes that a PA may identify NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR while performing an audit engagement or providing a professional service. It also recognizes that another party may bring the matter to the PA's attention, for example, another PA or an employee of the client. Section 225 covers both circumstances.
- Q10.** Does the Code require PAs to detect acts of NOCLAR at their clients?
- A.** No. The Code does not require PAs to perform procedures to identify acts of NOCLAR at their clients when providing professional services to the clients. The Code, however, requires an ethical response from PAs in accordance with its NOCLAR provisions when they become aware of NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR at their clients. However, PAs performing audits of financial

statements have a responsibility under ISA 250<sup>4</sup> to perform specified audit procedures to help identify instances of NOCLAR that may have a material effect on the financial statements.

- Q11.** Does the Code expect a PA to be able to identify NOCLAR not directly related to the financial statements, for example, in relation to food safety or vehicle emissions requirements?
- A.** The Code does not expect a PA to have a level of knowledge of laws and regulations greater than that which is required to undertake a given engagement. PAs who specialize in a particular field (for example, corporate taxation or greenhouse gas emissions) need an understanding of laws and regulations relevant to that particular field to an extent sufficient to competently undertake engagements relating to subject matter in that field. In those circumstances, the Code does expect PAs to be able to recognize NOCLAR related to their subject matter expertise if information concerning the NOCLAR comes to their attention. However, acts of NOCLAR may be concealed. The Code does not require or expect PAs to search for NOCLAR. See also Q12.
- Q12.** A PA has been engaged to perform an assurance engagement in accordance with International Standard on Assurance Engagement (ISAE) 3000<sup>5</sup> with respect to subject matter information that is not historical financial information. Does the Code expect the PA to recognize NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR that materially affects the entity's financial statements to the same extent as a PA engaged to perform an audit of the entity's financial statements?
- A.** In most instances, no. The likelihood of the PA recognizing NOCLAR that materially affects the entity's financial statements depends on how close the subject matter of the assurance engagement is to the financial statements. Some subject matters (for example, controls over financial reporting) may be closer to the financial statements than others (for example, sustainability data).
- Q13.** Does the Code expect a PA to have specialized legal knowledge and skills unrelated to the engagement?
- A.** The Code only expects a PA to have a level of knowledge of laws and regulations necessary for the professional service for which the PA was engaged. It does not expect the PA to have specialized legal knowledge and skills unrelated to the engagement.

#### **Effective Date**

- Q14.** The NOCLAR provisions in the Code become effective on July 15, 2017. If a PA was already aware of an act or suspected act of NOCLAR prior to that time, is there any obligation under the Code for the PA to address it?
- A.** No. The PA would need to respond in accordance with Section 225 to any NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR of which the PA becomes aware from July 15, 2017. However, as early adoption is permitted, the provisions may be applied with respect to any NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR of which the PA was aware prior to that date.

---

<sup>4</sup> ISA 250, *Consideration of Laws and Regulations in an Audit of Financial Statements*

<sup>5</sup> ISAE 3000, *Assurance Engagements Other than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information*

- Q15.** The NOCLAR provisions in the Code become effective on July 15, 2017. If a client had committed an act of NOCLAR before then and the PA only became aware of it on November 15, 2017, is there any obligation under the Code for the PA to address it?
- A.** Yes. The Code requires a response from the PA to any NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR of which the PA becomes aware on or after July 15, 2017. Therefore, in this case, the PA would need to respond to the matter in accordance with Section 225.
- Q16.** The NOCLAR provisions in the Code become effective on July 15, 2017. However, the NOCLAR-related changes to ISA 250 become effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2017. Why are the effective dates different and what are the implications of that difference?
- A.** The IAASB determined that the most appropriate effective date for the changes to ISA 250 would be for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2017, with early adoption permitted. This is to allow sufficient time for implementation activities. The IAASB noted that the changes to ISA 250 do not alter the auditor's work effort compared with the extant ISA 250, given that the auditor would still have an obligation to consider the appropriate action to take in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements. Accordingly, the absence of alignment between the two effective dates would, in practice, have no effect. See paragraphs 80-84 of the IAASB Staff-prepared Basis for Conclusions for the changes to ISA 250. [\[Link\]](#)

## II. Audits of Financial Statements

### Relationship with ISA 250

- Q17.** Does Section 225 of the Code in any way extend auditors' obligations under ISA 250 and other ISAs?
- A.** No. Nothing in Section 225 is intended to modify or interpret ISA 250 or other ISAs.
- Q18.** An auditor has been engaged to perform an audit of an entity's financial statements. If during the audit, the auditor becomes aware of an act of NOCLAR committed by the entity, will complying with ISA 250 be sufficient for the auditor to fulfill the auditor's obligations under Section 225 of the Code in these circumstances?
- A.** No. While the scope of laws and regulations covered by Section 225 is similar to that of ISA 250, the application of Section 225 differs from the application of ISA 250 in the context of the different objectives of the Code and the ISAs. Specifically, under ISA 250, auditors are concerned with the consequences of identified or suspected NOCLAR in terms of whether it has a material effect on the financial statements. This concern will apply equally for purposes of the Code given the need for auditors to have regard to the consequences for the entity. However, where Section 225 goes beyond ISA 250 is to call for auditors to have regard to the *wider public interest implications* of the matter in terms of potentially substantial harm to stakeholders, whether in financial or non-financial terms. This includes determining the need for further action beyond what is required by ISA 250 for purposes of the audit. That broader consideration is consistent with auditors' responsibility to act in the public interest as set out in the Code. Paragraph 225.7 explains this important distinction and provides examples of NOCLAR that illustrate this point.

### **Audits of Financial Statements for Purposes other than Statutory Purposes**

- Q19.** Do the different circumstances that that may lead to an audit of financial statements (for example, voluntary, contractual or to meet a statutory requirement) affect the responsibilities applicable to audits of financial statements under Section 225 for a PA engaged to perform such an audit?
- A.** No. The provisions in Section 225 that address audits of financial statements apply regardless of the circumstances giving rise to the audit engagement. The overriding focus of Section 225 is on the potential adverse consequences of NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR not only to the entity but also to its stakeholders (including the general public), not on the nature or number of users of the auditor's report.

### **Timing of the NOCLAR Information**

- Q20.** An auditor becomes aware of an instance of NOCLAR shortly before the auditor was expected to sign the auditor's report on the entity's financial statements. How does the timing of finalization of the auditor's report affect the auditor's responsibilities under Section 225?
- A.** The timing of finalization of the auditor's report does not affect the auditor's responsibilities under Section 225. The auditor must still respond to the NOCLAR in accordance with the provisions of Section 225. This includes complying with applicable auditing standards, which may stipulate specific audit procedures with respect to finalization of the auditor's report in these circumstances.

### **Obtaining an Understanding of the Matter**

- Q21.** If an auditor becomes aware of suspected NOCLAR committed by a client in circumstances other than through performing audit procedures on the engagement (for example, by coming across the matter on the internet or hearing about it at the auditor's sports club), is the auditor required to discuss the matter with management or those charged with governance (TCWG)?
- A.** Paragraph 225.12 of the Code requires the auditor to obtain an understanding of the matter regardless of the source of the information. Placing a limitation on the source of the information would be inconsistent with the principle of "not turning a blind eye" to NOCLAR. In practice, if information about the matter is circulating in a public forum such as the internet, management and TCWG may already have become aware of it and may be duly investigating the matter. If they are not already aware of it, the auditor may bring it to their attention. Paragraph 225.10 makes clear that it is the responsibility of management and TCWG to address any identified or suspected NOCLAR.
- Q22.** During the audit of an entity's financial statements, an auditor becomes aware of suspected NOCLAR committed by the entity. Management, however, disagrees with the auditor regarding the evidence concerning the matter. Does this mean that the auditor need not pursue the matter further under the Code?
- A.** No. The fact that management disagrees with the auditor regarding the evidence concerning the matter is not sufficient grounds for the auditor to automatically stop pursuing the matter. The auditor needs to be satisfied that management's explanations adequately dispel the auditor's suspicion. If they do not, the auditor may consider other courses of action, which will depend on the complexity of the matter and extent of judgment involved. These courses of action include, for example,

consulting with others within the firm, obtaining advice from the auditor's legal counsel, consulting on a confidential basis with a regulator or professional body, or escalating the matter to TCWG.

### **Addressing the Matter**

- Q23.** If management and TCWG are unwilling to speak with the auditor in relation to identified or suspected NOCLAR, does this mean that the PA will not be able to complete the response process under the Code?
- A.** No. Part of the response framework under Section 225 involves assessing the appropriateness of the response of management and, where applicable, TCWG to the matter. An unwillingness by management and TCWG to discuss the matter with the auditor would be grounds for the auditor to conclude that their response is not appropriate. In these circumstances, paragraph 225.25 requires the auditor to determine if further action is needed in the public interest. Paragraph 225.26 sets out various factors for the auditor to consider in making this determination, including the nature and extent of any such further action.
- Q24.** Management disagrees with the auditor's assessment of the significance of an instance of NOCLAR. What is the auditor expected to do in these circumstances under the Code?
- A.** See the response to Q22.
- Q25.** Does the Code impose any responsibility on the auditor to rectify, remediate or mitigate the adverse consequences of identified or suspected NOCLAR or to deter the commission of NOCLAR?
- A.** No. Rectifying, remediating or mitigating the adverse consequences of identified or suspected NOCLAR or deterring the commission of NOCLAR are the sole responsibility of management, with oversight from TCWG. Paragraph 225.18 of the Code only requires the auditor to advise them to take appropriate and timely actions in that regard, if they have not already done so.

### *Communication with Respect to Groups*

- Q26.** Is the group engagement partner expected under the Code to always take action to address any identified or suspected NOCLAR communicated by those performing work at components for purposes of the audit of the group financial statements, including in circumstances where the components are based in another jurisdiction?
- A.** Section 225 always requires a response from the group engagement partner, even if there are confidentiality laws in the jurisdiction where the group engagement partner is based. This response includes, at a minimum, obtaining an understanding of the matter, and potentially discussing it with group management. However, if the matter is clearly confined to, and is being addressed at, a particular component or components and it has no other implications for the group and its stakeholders, the group engagement partner need not take any further action.
- Q27.** Is a PA requested to perform work at a component for purposes of the audit of group financial statements expected under the Code to always take action to address any identified or suspected NOCLAR communicated by the group engagement partner, including in circumstances where the group engagement partner is based in another jurisdiction?

- A.** Section 225 always requires a response from the PA performing work at the component, even if there are confidentiality laws in the jurisdiction where the PA is based. This response includes, at a minimum, obtaining an understanding of the matter, and potentially discussing it with component management. However, if the matter clearly has no implications for the component and its stakeholders, the PA need not take any further action to address it.
- Q28.** A PA has been engaged to perform an audit of the financial statements of a component for statutory purposes. During the performance of the audit, the PA becomes aware of an instance of NOCLAR at the component. Paragraph 225.21 of the Code requires the PA to communicate the matter to the group engagement partner in these circumstances, unless prohibited by law or regulation. What is expected of the PA under the Code if the identity of the group engagement partner is not known to the PA?
- A.** The PA is expected to request the information from component management or request that component management obtain the information from group management. Appropriate inquiries may also be made from publicly available sources of information.
- Q29.** A PA performing work at a component for purposes of the audit of the group financial statements becomes aware of an instance of NOCLAR at the component. Does the Code require the group engagement partner to first be informed of the matter before the PA performing work at the component can disclose the matter to an appropriate authority?
- A.** No. Assuming that the PA determines that disclosure of the matter to an appropriate authority is an appropriate course of further action in the circumstances, the Code does not preclude the PA from making that disclosure first before informing the group engagement partner about the matter. Whether to disclose the matter to an appropriate authority depends on a number of factors, including the urgency of the situation. Accordingly, the PA might determine to disclose the matter to an appropriate authority without delay before taking steps to have the matter communicated to the group engagement partner.
- Q30.** A group engagement partner has become aware of an instance of NOCLAR at a component during an audit of group financial statements. In considering whether to communicate the matter to those performing work at other components where the matter may be relevant, is the group engagement partner expected to assess the possible impact of the NOCLAR on such components?
- A.** No. Paragraph 225.22 of the Code only requires the group engagement partner to take steps to have the matter communicated to those performing work at components where the matter may be relevant, unless prohibited by law or regulation from doing so. Responsibility for assessing the possible impact of the NOCLAR on the components rests with the PAs performing work at those components.
- Q31.** If a PA performing work at a component for purposes of the audit of the group financial statements identifies an instance of NOCLAR at the component and component management has reported the matter up the group structure, is the PA still expected to communicate the matter to the group engagement partner under the Code?
- A.** Yes. Paragraph 225.21 of the Code requires the PA to communicate the matter to the group engagement partner regardless of whether management at the component has reported it up the group structure, unless the communication to the group engagement partner is prohibited by law

or regulation. Communication of the matter within the group does not guarantee that the matter will be brought to the attention of the group engagement partner.

### Disclosure of NOCLAR to an Appropriate Authority

- Q32.** Does the Code mandate disclosure of NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR to an appropriate authority if management and TCWG have not appropriately addressed the matter?
- A.** No. The Code does not mandate disclosure. It, however, sets out factors for an auditor to consider in deciding whether disclosure of the matter to an appropriate authority would be an appropriate course of further action. This decision will depend on an objective assessment of the facts and circumstances at the time, taking into account the factors set out in paragraphs 225.26 and 225.34 of the Code.
- Q33.** Is there an expectation under the Code for an auditor to disclose *any* identified or suspected NOCLAR to an appropriate authority if management and TCWG have not appropriately addressed the matter?
- A.** No. The provisions in the Code that address disclosure to an appropriate authority (paragraphs 225.33-35) only apply to instances of NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR where there is credible evidence of actual or potential *substantial harm* to the entity or its stakeholders, including the general public (paragraph 225.26). In other words, such disclosure only becomes a consideration in cases that the auditor determines, based on the particular facts and circumstances at the time and applying appropriate professional judgment, are “serious.”
- Q34.** Management has requested that the engagement letter for the audit of an entity’s financial statements include a provision requiring the auditor to maintain the confidentiality of client information at all times. Does this mean that the auditor would be precluded from making disclosure of NOCLAR to an appropriate authority under Section 225 if the auditor determines that such disclosure would be an appropriate course of action in the circumstances?
- A.** No. It is a prerequisite to auditors fulfilling their professional obligations as part of the audit engagement that they comply with the relevant ethical requirements of their professional bodies or that may apply to the particular engagement. ISA 210, in particular, notes that assurance engagements, including audit engagements, may only be accepted when the PA considers that relevant ethical requirements will be satisfied.<sup>6</sup> To minimize any potential misunderstanding, the auditor may clarify the auditor’s responsibilities under the relevant ethical requirements in the engagement letter.
- Q35.** What is the significance of the reasonable and informed third party test in paragraph 225.28 of the Code?
- A.** The reasonable and informed third party test is intended to bring an essential element of objectivity to an auditor’s determination of the need for, and nature and extent of, further action. The auditor is expected to objectively assess the facts and circumstances at the time.

---

<sup>6</sup> ISA 210, *Agreeing the Terms of Audit Engagements*, paragraph A1

- Q36.** Paragraph 225.34 of the Code indicates that an entity's promotion of a scheme to its clients to assist them in evading taxes is an example of a situation where the auditor might determine that making disclosure to an appropriate authority would be an appropriate course of further action. Does this mean that all instances of tax evasion would qualify for disclosure to an appropriate authority under the Code?
- A.** No. Whether disclosure of the matter to an appropriate authority would be an appropriate course of further action depends on various factors as set out in paragraphs 225.26 and 225.34, including the nature and extent of the actual or potential harm to stakeholders. Tax evasion by a single individual generally would not reach the threshold of substantial harm to the general public. However, where a firm has been systematically assisting its clients to evade taxes, the overall impact of such an act might rise to the level of substantial harm to the general public.
- Q37.** Can an auditor withdraw from the client relationship as a result of identified or suspected NOCLAR without disclosing the matter to an appropriate authority?
- A.** Yes. Under paragraph 225.29 of the Code, withdrawal from the client relationship and disclosure of the matter to an appropriate authority are courses of further action that can be taken independently of each other. One does not depend on the other. In some circumstances, the auditor may determine that both actions are necessary.
- Q38.** Paragraph 225.36 explains that in exceptional circumstances where an auditor has reason to believe an imminent breach of a law or regulation would cause substantial harm to investors, creditors, employees or the general public, the auditor may immediately disclose the matter to an appropriate authority. Does the auditor need to follow the response process set out in Section 225 to the end, including applying the reasonable and informed third party test, before deciding to make such disclosure?
- A.** No, the auditor need not go through the whole response process in these circumstances. However, paragraph 225.35 requires the auditor to act in good faith.

### **Documentation**

- Q39.** What is the purpose of the documentation requirement in Section 225?
- A.** Documentation provides a number of general benefits, including facilitating review of engagement team members' work, enhancing the quality of the professional judgments made through documentation of the thought process, and retaining a record of matters of continuing significance to future engagements. In the particular case of NOCLAR, the requirement to document the matters set out in paragraph 225.37 helps the PA to demonstrate compliance with Section 225, including retaining a record of the professional judgments made and actions taken given the information available to the PA at the time.

### **Change of Audit Appointment**

- Q40.** Where an auditor has withdrawn from the client relationship as a result of identified or suspected NOCLAR, does the Code expect the predecessor auditor to communicate information about the NOCLAR to the proposed successor auditor?

- A.** In this case, paragraph 225.31 requires the predecessor auditor, when requested by the proposed successor auditor, to communicate all such facts and other information concerning the NOCLAR that the predecessor auditor believes the proposed successor auditor needs to be aware of before deciding whether or not to accept the audit appointment. This is on condition that such communication of client information is not prohibited by law or regulation. The predecessor auditor is not expected to seek out the proposed successor auditor in order to provide this information.
- Q41.** Where there is a change of audit appointment as a result of a NOCLAR matter, does the Code require the predecessor auditor to obtain client consent before the predecessor auditor can share information concerning the NOCLAR with a proposed successor auditor?
- A.** No. In these circumstances, paragraph 225.31 allows the predecessor auditor to share information concerning the NOCLAR with the proposed successor auditor without the need to obtain client consent. The sharing of such information is subject to the disclosure of confidential client information not being prohibited by law or regulation. However, in accordance with paragraph 210.13, the proposed successor auditor will need to obtain the client's permission to initiate discussion with the predecessor auditor.
- Q42.** If there is a change of auditor as a result of an audit tender or mandatory firm rotation but the predecessor auditor is aware of an act or suspected act of NOCLAR that has not yet been addressed, does the Code require the predecessor auditor to communicate information concerning the NOCLAR to a proposed successor auditor?
- A.** In this particular circumstance, no, as the auditor has not withdrawn from the client relationship as a result of a NOCLAR matter. However, under paragraph 210.14 of the Code, the predecessor auditor may decide that it would be appropriate to share information concerning the identified or suspected NOCLAR with the proposed successor auditor if the client has consented to the communication, provided that disclosure of confidential client information is not prohibited by law or regulation.
- Q43.** Does a predecessor auditor have an ongoing obligation under the Code in relation to NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR encountered while appointed as auditor but not pursued to the end of the response process under Section 225 of the Code by the time the successor auditor has been appointed?
- A.** No. However, see paragraph 225.31 regarding communication of information concerning the matter from the predecessor auditor to a proposed successor auditor if the withdrawal from the client relationship is as a result of the matter. Client consent to the communication is not needed in these circumstances. In other circumstances, paragraph 210.14 of the Code allows communication of such information from the predecessor auditor to the proposed successor auditor if client consent has been obtained.

### **III. Professional Services Other than Audits of Financial Statements**

#### **Different Responsibilities Compared with Auditors**

- Q44.** Does a PA who is engaged to provide a professional service other than an audit of an entity's financial statements have the same level of responsibility to address identified or suspected NOCLAR as a PA who is engaged to perform an audit of the entity's financial statements?

- A.** No. The extent of effort in responding to identified or suspected NOCLAR that is expected of a PA engaged to provide a service other than an audit of financial statements is significantly less than that expected of a PA engaged to perform an audit of financial statements. For example, paragraph 225.39 only requires the former to *seek* to obtain an understanding of the matter, i.e., to make an attempt at gathering such an understanding, recognizing that limitations on access to information may preclude obtaining that understanding. In contrast, paragraph 225.12 requires the latter to *obtain* an understanding of the matter. The different extent of effort recognizes that there is a greater public expectation of auditors compared with non-auditors, and that auditors have greater access to information compared with non-auditors.
- Q45.** A PA is engaged to provide a professional service other than an audit of the entity's financial statements. If the PA becomes aware of a suspected NOCLAR within the entity but is unable to substantiate the suspicion, does this mean that the PA has not complied with the Code?
- A.** No. The Code recognizes that for PAs providing services other than audits of financial statements, there may be limitations on access to information. The PA will have fulfilled the PA's responsibilities under the Code if the PA has made an attempt at obtaining relevant information to substantiate the suspicion.

#### **Audits of Specific Items of a Financial Statement**

- Q46.** A PA has been engaged to perform an audit of specific items of a financial statement of an entity. Will the PA need to respond to suspected NOCLAR identified during the engagement in accordance with the requirements of Section 225 applicable to PAs performing audits of financial statements?
- A.** No. Such an audit is not an audit of financial statements. Accordingly, the provisions in Section 225 that apply with respect to professional services other than audits of financial statements (paragraphs 225.1-11 and 225.39-56) apply in this case.

#### **Component of an Audit Client of a Network Firm**

- Q47.** A PA is providing a professional service other than an audit of financial statements to a component of an audit client of a network firm. The PA becomes aware of an instance of NOCLAR at the component. If, pursuant to paragraph 225.45 of the Code, the PA decides to communicate information concerning the NOCLAR to the network firm, does the network firm have any responsibility to communicate the matter to the group engagement partner in the context of an audit of the financial statements of the group to which the network firm's audit client and its component belong?
- A.** Yes. Paragraph 225.21 of the Code requires the network firm to communicate the matter to the group engagement partner (unless the network firm itself already is the auditor of the group). The communication is subject to any prohibition in law or regulation regarding disclosure of client information.

#### **Forensic Engagements**

- Q48.** A PA has been engaged as a forensic accountant to investigate wrongdoing at a client. If the PA becomes aware of NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR during the engagement, would disclosure of the matter to an appropriate authority by the PA be precluded under the Code given the nature of the engagement?

- A.** Paragraph 225.52 sets out an expectation that the PA would take into account the purpose of the engagement in considering whether to disclose the matter to an appropriate authority. However, the Code does not preclude the possibility that such disclosure might be an appropriate course of further action *in the public interest* despite the nature of the engagement, unless there is a legal or regulatory basis to preclude disclosure, such as:
- Where there are confidentiality requirements in law or regulation.
  - Where legal privilege exists that applies to the PA.
  - Where there are restrictions imposed by a regulatory authority or prosecutor in relation to its investigation into the matter.

#### **Documentation**

- Q49.** Why is there no documentation requirement in Section 225 for PAs providing professional services other than audits of financial statements?
- A.** Section 225 recognizes that there are higher public expectations of auditors given their unique role in the financial reporting supply chain. Accordingly, it establishes a documentation requirement for them. However, given that there are a number of benefits to documentation (see Q39), Section 225 encourages it for other PAs in public practice (paragraph 225.56).