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Overview

1. Countries have made some progress in producing balance sheets
2. But, they are not always used for fiscal analysis
3. There is scope to make them more relevant
4. And to inform more integrated balance sheet and fiscal risk management
Reporting of statistical balance sheets is improving
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Reporting gaps also translate into gaps in analysis and management

Asset and Liability Management: (percent of countries)

Source: IMF Fiscal Transparency Evaluations for 21 countries for which they have been conducted.
Scope for balance sheets to better inform risk management practices

• Identification and valuation of assets
• More integrated approaches to asset and liability management
• More appropriate accounting for “off-budget” activities
• More robust assessments of fiscal sustainability
Factors limiting role in risk management

• Backward looking and come too late
• Limited linkages between balance sheet and fiscal policy or fiscal targets
• Some rights and obligations are excluded
  – Prospective or implicit rights and obligations
  – Potential contingent liability realizations
• Limited picture of sustainability of tax and spending policies
Using the balance sheet as a tool for fiscal policy and risk analysis

- **Use balance sheets to inform fiscal strategy and objectives:**
  - Move away from debt/fiscal balance focus, towards broader balance sheet measures (e.g. net worth)

- **Assess balance sheet impacts of policy decisions**
  - Include non-debt costs and benefits of planned equity investments
  - Take risk into account for financial assets and obligations

- **Include balance sheet projections within the budget**
  - Extend macro and fiscal projections to include balance sheet components
  - Impact of macro variables (interest, exchange and equity)

- **Developing a comprehensive balance sheet**
  - Bring together accounting balance sheet, expected contingent liability realizations, and long-term sustainability e.g., NZ

- **Stress test public sector balance sheets**
  - IMF Fiscal stress test
**Australia: Produces forecast balance sheets to guide fiscal policymaking**

### Forecast Balance Sheet Aggregates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Estimates</th>
<th>Projections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Financial assets</td>
<td>$342.6</td>
<td>$383.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-financial assets</td>
<td>$122.9</td>
<td>$126.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$465.4</td>
<td>$509.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities</td>
<td>$730.4</td>
<td>$810.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net worth</td>
<td>$-265.0</td>
<td>$-300.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net financial worth(a)</td>
<td>$-387.9</td>
<td>$-427.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per cent of GDP</td>
<td>$-23.5</td>
<td>$-24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net debt(b)</td>
<td>$285.7</td>
<td>$326.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per cent of GDP</td>
<td>$17.3</td>
<td>$18.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Projections of Net Financial Worth

[Graph showing projections of net financial worth from 2015-16 to 2026-27]
### Developing Comprehensive Balance Sheets

#### Comprehensive Net Worth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NZ$ billion</th>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>Liabilities</th>
<th>Net Worth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Based on accounting principles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accounting Net Worth</strong></td>
<td>275</td>
<td>193</td>
<td><strong>82</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingent Liabilities</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV future expenses &amp; revenue</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>886</td>
<td>(101)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comprehensive Net Worth</strong></td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>1098</td>
<td><strong>(20)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Figures are illustrative only.*

---

**Fiscal Risk**—All of the assets and liabilities have the potential to create fiscal risk. This impacts comprehensive net worth immediately and GAAP net worth eventually.

**Social Assets**—Tangible assets, primarily P&E, used to support the delivery of social services.

**Financial and Commercial Assets**—Assets held to fund contractual or social obligations such as New Zealand Superannuation.

**Accounting Net Worth**—Cumulative impact of past decisions

**Contingent Liabilities**—An estimate of the value of both contingent and implicit liabilities.

**Fiscal**—Estimates of the present value of future Government spending and income

**Comprehensive Net Worth**—Combines balance sheet, expected losses from CL realizations, and NPV of future policies.
Stress testing public balance sheets

- Conventional macro-fiscal risk analysis tends to:
  - Focus on deficit and gross debt;
  - Assume shocks are relatively modest in size;
  - Analyze fiscal risks as independent of each other.

- But, fiscal risks can be large, come from a variety of sources, are highly correlated.

- Highlights need for more integrated approaches to fiscal risk analysis.
Stress testing public balance sheets

- Fiscal Stress Testing can be used to test the resilience of public balance sheets
  - Range of alternative scenarios, with integrated, rather than discrete approach
  - Assess more extreme shocks; rather than year-to-year volatility
  - Correlated shocks of relevant macroeconomic variables
  - Assess impact on assets, liabilities, and future flows
  - Realization of contingent liabilities
Contingent liability realizations are correlated with GDP shocks and with each other. 

Stress testing public balance sheets
The IMF’s new fiscal stress test

• The IMF’s Fiscal Stress Test looks at the impact of shocks on fiscal flows and balance sheet aggregates

• FSTs provide three summary outputs for use in assessing fiscal risks:
  – **Solvency**: change in the government’s comprehensive net worth
  – **Liquidity risk**: gross financing needs
  – **Fiscal burden**: interest expenses as share of government revenue
Stress testing public balance sheets
IMF’s fiscal stress test: fiscal balance and debt shocks
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**Fiscal Balance**
(Percent of GDP)

**Public Debt**
(Percent of GDP)

Stress testing public balance sheets
IMF’s fiscal stress test: liquidity and fiscal burden
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Stress testing public balance sheets
IMF’s fiscal stress test: solvency
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Conclusions

1. Countries have made some progress in producing balance sheets
2. But, this has been slow to translate into more active approaches to managing fiscal risks
3. Challenges remain around how to better integrate balance sheets and risk analysis into fiscal policymaking
4. Development of more comprehensive balance sheets can help policymakers better understand their underlying fiscal position
5. And, inform more integrated balance sheet and fiscal risk analysis and management