

General comments to IES 7

Thoroughly acquainted with the changes resulting from applying the clarity drafting conventions in the proposed IES 7 our respond to the questions are as follows.

1. The objective to be achieved by a member body, stated in the proposed redrafted IES 7, is appropriate.
2. The three criteria identified by the IAESB for determining whether a requirement should be specified have been applied appropriately and consistently, such that the resulting requirements could promote consistency in implementation by member bodies.
3. The terms within the proposed redrafted IES 7 are mostly clear, we will make suggestion if further clarification is necessary.

Further comments

Para.A5

The new para.A5 provides a line of examples of learning activities for CPD. It is very welcomed, that beside of the traditional learning activity of participation in courses, conferences seminars, a lot of other activities, like published professional or academic writing or participation as a speaker in conferences, appear.

Para.A8

We support the idea, that member bodies have the flexibility to choose to develop requirements, or other guidance or to rely on the professional judgment of individual members regarding which types of CPD activities are considered professionally relevant in the given conditions.

Para.A16

Our view, that more emphasis should be put on the difficulties of verification, especially when the learning activity may be measurable but not be able to be verified.

Para.A18

We agree that member bodies may establish their preferred approach to measuring their members' CPD activity including combination of approaches.