

7. SECTION III: ABOUT THE RESPONDENT

1. From which perspective are you providing this feedback:

The view of an organization.

Please Indicate:

2. Please complete

Name of Organization: : Institute of Chartered Accountants of Barbados

Name or Person submitting Survey on behalf of the organization: : Bruce McClean

3. Select from the following options that describe your organization:

IFAC Member Body or Other Professional Organization

Is this a/an:

Is this response on behalf of the global network?

Is this response on behalf of a regional or national firm?

Other Firm?

4. IFAC Member Body or Other Professional Organization

IFAC Member Body, Affiliate or Regional Organization

National auditing standards same as, or based on, the IAASB’s current International Standards on Auditing

Please specify which standards are used:

Other national standards same as, or based on, IAASB’s other standards (i.e., assurance, related services and reviews)

Please specify which standards are used:

Are you a listed or non-listed entity?

Small or Medium-Sized The concept of ‘smaller or less complex entities’ varies country by country, but ordinarily exhibits one or more of the following characteristics:Concentration of ownership and management in a small number of individuals.One or more of the following:Straightforward or uncomplicated transactions.Simple record keeping;Few lines of business and few products within business lines;Few formal internal controls; Few levels of management with responsibility for a broad range of controls; orFew personnel, many having a wide range of duties.

.Entity?

Please Indicate:

Do you apply International Standards of Supreme Audit Institutions (ISSAIs) for financial audits

Those Charged with Governance

Private Sector

Please specify in the box below.

Are you any of the following?

5. Please select the geographical region where you are based:

South America

8. SECTION IV - QUESTIONS FOR RESPONDENTS ABOUT THE IAASB'S STRATEGY FOR 2020-2023

6. 1. In your view, will the strategic environment affecting the needs of the IAASB's stakeholders look like in 2020 onward and what will be the impact on the IAASB's International Standards (for example, will the audit market change significantly; will other services dominate stakeholders needs – including what the needs may be for different types of evolving services; how evolving technologies, such as cryptocurrency, artificial intelligence or blockchain , will impact the environment in which the IAASB's stakeholders operate, etc.).

The most significant development will arise from the evolution of the technologies noted above and the need for practitioners to adapt to be able to effectively and efficiently provide the required levels of assurance in these areas once engaged. Standards need to be developed setting overall broad principles as to allow practitioners the flexibility to adapt as the technologies evolve which is likely to occur at a very fast pace.

1. In your view, will the strategic environment affecting the needs of the IAASB's stakeholders look like in 2020 onward and what will be the impact on the IAASB's International Standards (for example, will the audit market change significantly; will other services dominate stakeholders needs – including what the needs may be for different types of evolving services; how evolving technologies, such as cryptocurrency, artificial intelligence or blockchain , will impact the environment in which the IAASB's stakeholders operate, etc.). - Text Analysis

7. 2. Section II. Describes the additional challenges and opportunities that the IAASB has identified relating to its people, processes and technology, including possible changes that may arise from the MG consultation. In your view, as the IAASB develops its Strategy for 2020–2023, what are the:

- (a) Key challenges and other factors that may impact the IAASB's activities and focus; and(b)
- (b) Main opportunities for changing the way it undertakes its activities.

Your response may include views about the matters identified by the IAASB as set out in Section III, but any views about matters that have not been mentioned are particularly welcome.

The key challenge will be ensuring that standards keep pace with the technological developments which the IAASB has identified such that resulting audit practices can in turn remain relevant to how engagements teams need to respond to address the changes.

8. 3. Are there specific initiatives within the stakeholder group to which you belong, or of which you are aware, that you believe the IAASB should actively monitor in light of their potential to inform the IAASB's future agenda? If so, what are they, and why do you think they are relevant to the IAASB?

None at this time

9. THE FOCUS OF THE IAASB's ACTIVITIES IN 2020–2023

9. 4. Section II illustrates that the IAASB has, and will continue to, focus a significant part of its efforts in 2015–2019 on revising and developing standards addressing the audit of historical financial information and quality control. With respect to new standard-setting projects for the period 2020–2023, in light of where you believe IAASB actions are needed and to continue to serve the public interest, in your view what proportion of effort should the IAASB allocate to each of the following? (Allocation should total 100%)

Quality control : 10%

Audits and reviews of historical financial information : 15%

Other assurance- [EER Emerging Forms of External Reporting \(EER\)](#) refers to emerging forms of external reporting by entities that increasingly provide non-financial information that goes beyond the traditional (financial statement) focus on the entity's financial position, financial; performance and impact on its financial resources. engagements : 15%

Other assurance (and [related services](#) [Related services pronouncements include: ISRS 4400, Engagements to Perform Agreed-Upon Procedures Regarding Financial Information \(currently being revised\); ISRS 4410 \(Revised\), Compilation Engagements.](#)) - other than EER engagements : 15%

Professional skepticism : 15%

Matters relating to audits of smaller and less complex entities : 30%

Total : 100%

Comments: SMEs are a significant component of the business activity in many of our economies within the Caribbean region and certainly in Barbados. Many are not complex and are non-public interest entities and as such we support the call for a focus on the scalability of the IAASB's auditing standards for auditing such entities.

10. Please provide relative %; for each option by category to total 100%

| | (i) Developing new or revised standards | (ii) Undertaking implementation activities | (iii) Apply efforts to both |
|--|---|--|---|
| Quality control | 40% | 60% | |
| Audits and reviews of historical financial information | 40% | 60% | |
| Other assurance – EER engagements | 40% | 60% | |
| Other assurance (other than EER engagements) and related services Related services pronouncements include: ISRS 4400, Engagements to Perform Agreed-Upon Procedures Regarding Financial Information (currently being revised); ISRS 4410 (Revised), Compilation Engagements. | 40% | 60% | |

Comments: While there will certainly be a need to develop new standards to address the challenges such as those associated with emerging technologies, there are significant gains to be realized from providing supporting implementation guidance on the current standards.

11. 6. In relation to the development of new, or the revision of extant, standards as noted in Question 5, and in keeping an open mind as to the impact of the evolving environment and the challenges and opportunities for the IAASB in 2020–2023, should the IAASB, in your view:

- (a) Focus first on a strategic review of extant standards (for example, consider how the standards could be better structured or presented in light of evolving technologies) before prioritizing projects on new topics. Please provide an explanation for your view.
- (b) Prioritize projects on new topics (as determined by the consultation on the IAASB's future strategy and priorities). Please provide an explanation for your view.
- (c) During implementation of the current standards under revision (i.e., those standards that currently have a committed project plan in place), consider a moratorium on developing new or other revised standards? If so, how long should such a moratorium last? Please provide an explanation for your view.
- (d) Undertake other actions related to the development of new, or revision of extant, standards, and/or implementation support. Please describe what these actions should be and provide an explanation for your view.

Focus first on a strategic review of extant standards (for example, consider how the standards could be better structured or presented in light of evolving technologies) before prioritizing projects on new topics.

Our concerns remain with the current issues with SMEs and less complex entities being subject to the same standards as large and/or complex and/or public interest entities and also the issue of the potential impact of evolving technologies. Solutions are needed for the SME issue given the importance of this sector from our view point. The technological issue is upon us as well and needs urgent attention.

12. 7. If there was a specific topic(s) that, in your view, should be the IAASB's priority(ies) when developing new, or revising existing, standards or related guidance for the period 2020—2023, what would it be, and why?

Where applicable, kindly indicate whether in your view the topic(s) (you have indicated) has particular relevance mainly for engagements for listed entities, small- and medium-sized entities (SMEs), or for entities of all sizes. Views in relation to matters of relevance to developing nations and the public sector are also encouraged.

Focus on SMEs and less complex entities as well as emerging technologies for the reasons already articulated in this submission.

13. 8. Are there any other topics of interest or matters of relevance that you feel the IAASB should consider when conducting its strategic review, including those related to its the way that the IAASB undertakes its activities (e.g., changes to address matters highlighted in the MG review)?

We have not identified any other topics or matters at this time.