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International Public Sector Accounting Standard 6, *Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements*, is set out in paragraphs 1–71. All the paragraphs have equal authority. IPSAS 6 should be read in the context of the Basis for Conclusions and the Preface to International Public Sector Accounting Standards. IPSAS 3, *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors*, provides a basis for selecting and applying accounting policies in the absence of explicit guidance.
Scope

1. An entity that prepares and presents financial statements under the accrual basis of accounting shall apply this Standard in the preparation and presentation of consolidated financial statements for an economic entity.

2. This Standard does not deal with methods of accounting for entity combinations and their effects on consolidation, including goodwill arising on an entity combination (guidance on accounting for entity combinations can be found in the relevant international or national accounting standard dealing with business combinations).

3. This Standard shall also be applied in accounting for controlled entities, jointly controlled entities, and associates when an entity elects, or is required by local regulations, to present separate financial statements.

4. This Standard applies to all public sector entities other than Government Business Enterprises.

5. The Preface to International Public Sector Accounting Standards issued by the IPSASB explains that Government Business Enterprises (GBEs) apply IFRSs issued by the IASB. GBEs are defined in IPSAS 1, Presentation of Financial Statements.

6. This Standard establishes requirements for the preparation and presentation of consolidated financial statements, and for accounting for controlled entities, jointly controlled entities, and associates in the separate financial statements of the controlling entity, the venturer, and the investor. Although GBEs are not required to comply with this Standard in their own financial statements, the provisions of this Standard will apply where a public sector entity that is not a GBE has one or more controlled entities, jointly controlled entities, and associates that are GBEs. In these circumstances, this Standard shall be applied in consolidating GBEs into the financial statements of the economic entity, and in accounting for investments in GBEs in the controlling entity’s, the venturer’s, and the investor’s separate financial statements.

Definitions

7. The following terms are used in this Standard with the meanings specified:

- **Consolidated financial statements** are the financial statements of an economic entity presented as those of a single entity.

- **Controlled entity** is an entity, including an unincorporated entity such as a partnership, which is under the control of another entity (known as the controlling entity).

- **Controlling entity** is an entity that has one or more controlled entities.
The cost method is a method of accounting for an investment, whereby the investment is recognized at cost. The investor recognizes revenue from the investment only to the extent that the investor is entitled to receive distributions from accumulated surpluses of the investee arising after the date of acquisition. Entitlements due or received in excess of such surpluses are regarded as a recovery of investment, and are recognized as a reduction of the cost of the investment.

Minority interest is that portion of the surplus or deficit and net assets/equity of a controlled entity attributable to net assets/equity interests that are not owned, directly or indirectly, through controlled entities, by the controlling entity.

Separate financial statements are those presented by a controlling entity, an investor in an associate, or a venturer in a jointly controlled entity, in which the investments are accounted for on the basis of the direct net assets/equity interest rather than on the basis of the reported results and net assets of the investees.

Terms defined in other IPSASs are used in this Standard with the same meaning as in those Standards, and are reproduced in the Glossary of Defined Terms published separately.

Consolidated Financial Statements and Separate Financial Statements

8. A controlling entity or its controlled entity may be an investor in an associate, or a venturer in a jointly controlled entity. In such cases, consolidated financial statements prepared and presented in accordance with this Standard are also prepared so as to comply with IPSAS 7, Investments in Associates, and IPSAS 8, Interests in Joint Ventures.

9. For an entity described in paragraph 8, separate financial statements are those prepared and presented in addition to the financial statements referred to in paragraph 8. Separate financial statements need not be appended to, or accompany, those statements.

10. The financial statements of an entity that does not have a controlled entity, associate, or venturer’s interest in a jointly controlled entity are not separate financial statements.

11. A controlling entity that is exempted in accordance with paragraph 16 from presenting consolidated financial statements may present separate financial statements as its only financial statements.

Economic Entity

12. The term economic entity is used in this Standard to define, for financial reporting purposes, a group of entities comprising the controlling entity and any controlled entities.
13. Other terms sometimes used to refer to an economic entity include administrative entity, financial entity, consolidated entity, and group.

14. An economic entity may include entities with both social policy and commercial objectives. For example, a government housing department may be an economic entity that includes entities that provide housing for a nominal charge, as well as entities that provide accommodation on a commercial basis.

Presentation of Consolidated Financial Statements

15. A controlling entity, other than a controlling entity described in paragraph 16, shall present consolidated financial statements in which it consolidates its controlled entities in accordance with this Standard.

16. A controlling entity need not present consolidated financial statements if and only if:

(a) The controlling entity is:

   (i) Itself a wholly-owned controlled entity, and users of such financial statements are unlikely to exist or their information needs are met by its controlling entity’s consolidated financial statements; or

   (ii) A partially-owned controlled entity of another entity and its other owners, including those not otherwise entitled to vote, have been informed about, and do not object to, the controlling entity not presenting consolidated financial statements;

(b) The controlling entity’s debt or equity instruments are not traded in a public market (a domestic or foreign stock exchange or an over-the-counter market, including local and regional markets);

(c) The controlling entity did not file, nor is it in the process of filing, its financial statements with a securities commission or other regulatory organization for the purpose of issuing any class of instruments in a public market; and

(d) The ultimate or any intermediate controlling entity of the controlling entity produces consolidated financial statements available for public use that comply with IPSASs.

17. In the public sector, many controlling entities that are either wholly-owned or partially-owned, represent key sectors or activities of a government, and the purpose of this Standard is not to exempt such entities from preparing consolidated financial statements. In this situation, the information needs of certain users may not be served by the consolidated financial statements at a whole-of-government level alone. In many jurisdictions, governments have
recognized this and have legislated the financial reporting requirements of such entities.

18. In some instances, an economic entity will include a number of intermediate controlling entities. For example, while a department of health may be the ultimate controlling entity, there may be intermediate controlling entities at the local or regional health authority level. Accountability and reporting requirements in each jurisdiction may specify which entities are required to (or exempted from the requirement to) prepare consolidated financial statements. Where there is no specific reporting requirement for an intermediate controlling entity to prepare consolidated financial statements for which users are likely to exist, intermediate controlling entities are to prepare and publish consolidated financial statements.

19. A controlling entity that elects in accordance with paragraph 16 not to present consolidated financial statements, and presents only separate financial statements, complies with paragraphs 58–64.

Scope of Consolidated Financial Statements

20. Consolidated financial statements shall include all controlled entities of the controlling entity, except those referred to in paragraph 21.

21. A controlled entity shall be excluded from consolidation when there is evidence that (a) control is intended to be temporary because the controlled entity is acquired and held exclusively with a view to its disposal within twelve months from acquisition, and (b) management is actively seeking a buyer.

22. Such controlled entities are classified and accounted for as financial instruments. IPSAS 28, Financial Instruments: Presentation, IPSAS 29, Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement, and IPSAS 30, Financial Instruments: Disclosures provide guidance on financial instruments.

23. An example of temporary control is where a controlled entity is acquired with a firm plan to dispose of it within twelve months. This may occur where an economic entity is acquired, and an entity within it is to be disposed of because its activities are dissimilar to those of the acquirer. Temporary control also occurs where the controlling entity intends to cede control over a controlled entity to another entity – for example a national government may transfer its interest in a controlled entity to a local government. For this exemption to apply, the controlling entity must be demonstrably committed to a formal plan to dispose of, or no longer control, the entity that is subject to temporary control. An entity is demonstrably committed to dispose of, or no longer control, another entity when it has a formal plan to do so, and there is no realistic possibility of withdrawal from that plan.
24. When a controlled entity previously excluded from consolidation in accordance with paragraph 21 is not disposed of within twelve months, it shall be consolidated as from the acquisition date (guidance on the acquisition date can be found in the relevant international or national accounting standard dealing with business combinations). Financial statements for the periods since acquisition are restated.

25. Exceptionally, an entity may have found a buyer for a controlled entity excluded from consolidation in accordance with paragraph 21, but may not have completed the sale within twelve months of acquisition because of the need for approval by regulators or others. The entity is not required to consolidate such a controlled entity if the sale is in process at the reporting date, and there is no reason to believe that it will not be completed shortly after the reporting date.

26. A controlled entity is not excluded from consolidation simply because the investor is a venture capital organization, mutual fund, unit trust, or similar entity.

27. A controlled entity is not excluded from consolidation because its activities are dissimilar to those of the other entities within the economic entity, for example, the consolidation of GBEs with entities in the budget sector. Relevant information is provided by consolidating such controlled entities and disclosing additional information in the consolidated financial statements about the different activities of controlled entities. For example, the disclosures required by IPSAS 18, Segment Reporting, help to explain the significance of different activities within the economic entity.

**Establishing Control of Another Entity for Financial Reporting Purposes**

28. Whether an entity controls another entity for financial reporting purposes is a matter of judgment, based on the definition of control (in the Glossary of Defined Terms) and the particular circumstances of each case. That is, consideration needs to be given to the nature of the relationship between the two entities. In particular, the two elements of the definition of control need to be considered. These are the power element (the power to govern the financial and operating policies of another entity) and the benefit element (which represents the ability of the controlling entity to benefit from the activities of the other entity).

29. For the purposes of establishing control, the controlling entity needs to benefit from the activities of the other entity. For example, an entity (a) may benefit from the activities of another entity in terms of a distribution of its surpluses (such as a dividend), and (b) is exposed to the risk of a potential loss. In other cases, an entity may not obtain any financial benefits from the other entity but may benefit from its ability to direct the other entity to work with it to achieve its objectives. It may also be possible for an entity to derive both financial and
non-financial benefits from the activities of another entity. For example, a GBE may provide a controlling entity with a dividend, and also enable it to achieve some of its social policy objectives.

Control for Financial Reporting Purposes

30. For the purposes of financial reporting, control stems from an entity’s power to govern the financial and operating policies of another entity, and does not necessarily require an entity to hold a majority shareholding or other equity interest in the other entity. The power to control must be presently exercisable. That is, the entity must already have had this power conferred upon it by legislation or some formal agreement. The power to control is not presently exercisable if it requires changing legislation or renegotiating agreements in order to be effective. This should be distinguished from the fact that the existence of the power to control another entity is not dependent upon the probability or likelihood of that power being exercised.

31. Similarly, the existence of control does not require an entity to have responsibility for the management of (or involvement in) the day-to-day operations of the other entity. In many cases, an entity may only exercise its power to control another entity where there is a breach or revocation of an agreement between the controlled entity and its controlling entity.

32. For example, a government department may have an ownership interest in a rail authority, which operates as a GBE. The rail authority is allowed to operate autonomously and does not rely on the government for funding, but has raised capital through significant borrowings that are guaranteed by the government. The rail authority has not returned a dividend to government for several years. The government has the power to appoint and remove a majority of the members of the governing body of the rail authority. The government has never exercised the power to remove members of the governing body, and would be reluctant to do so because of sensitivity in the electorate regarding the previous government’s involvement in the operation of the rail network. In this case, the power to control is presently exercisable but under the existing relationship between the controlled entity and controlling entity, an event has not occurred to warrant the controlling entity exercising its powers over the controlled entity. Accordingly, control exists because the power to control is sufficient, even though the controlling entity may choose not to exercise that power.

33. An entity may own (a) share warrants, (b) share call options, (c) debt or equity instruments that are convertible into ordinary shares, or (d) other similar instruments that have the potential, if exercised or converted, to give the entity voting power or reduce another party’s voting power over the financial and operating policies of another entity (potential voting rights). The existence and effect of potential voting rights that are currently exercisable or convertible, including potential voting rights held by another entity, are considered when
assessing whether an entity has the power to govern the financial and operating policies of another entity. Potential voting rights are not currently exercisable or convertible when, for example, they cannot be exercised or converted until a future date or until the occurrence of a future event.

34. In assessing whether potential voting rights contribute to control, the entity examines all facts and circumstances (including the terms of exercise of the potential voting rights and any other contractual arrangements, whether considered individually or in combination) that affect potential voting rights, except the intention of management and the financial ability to exercise or convert.

35. The existence of separate legislative powers does not, of itself, preclude an entity from being controlled by another entity. For example, the Office of the Government Statistician usually has statutory powers to operate independently of the government. That is, the Office of the Government Statistician may have the power to obtain information and report on its findings without recourse to government or any other body. The existence of control does not require an entity to have responsibility over the day-to-day operations of another entity or the manner in which professional functions are performed by the entity.

36. The power of one entity to govern decision making in relation to the financial and operating policies of another entity is insufficient, in itself, to ensure the existence of control. The controlling entity needs to be able to govern decision making so as to be able to benefit from its activities, for example by enabling the other entity to operate with it as part of an economic entity in pursuing its objectives. This will have the effect of excluding from the definitions of a “controlling entity” and “controlled entity” relationships that do not extend beyond, for instance, that of a liquidator and the entity being liquidated, and would normally exclude a lender and borrower relationship. Similarly, a trustee whose relationship with a trust does not extend beyond the normal responsibilities of a trustee would not be considered to control the trust for the purposes of this Standard.

Regulatory and Purchase Power

37. Governments and their agencies have the power to regulate the behavior of many entities by use of their sovereign or legislative powers. Regulatory and purchase powers do not constitute control for the purposes of financial reporting. To ensure that the financial statements of public sector entities include only those resources that they control and can benefit from, the meaning of control for the purposes of this Standard does not extend to:

(a) The power of the legislature to establish the regulatory framework within which entities operate, and to impose conditions or sanctions on their operations. Such power does not constitute control by a public
sector entity of the assets deployed by these entities. For example, a pollution control authority may have the power to close down the operations of entities that are not complying with environmental regulations. However, this power does not constitute control because the pollution control authority only has the power to regulate; or

(b) Entities that are economically dependent on a public sector entity. That is, where an entity retains discretion as to whether it will take funding from, or do business with, a public sector entity, that entity has the ultimate power to govern its own financial or operating policies, and accordingly is not controlled by the public sector entity. For example, a government department may be able to influence the financial and operating policies of an entity that is dependent on it for funding (such as a charity), or a profit-orientated entity that is economically dependent on business from it. Accordingly, the government department has some power as a purchaser but not to govern the entity’s financial and operating policies.

**Determining Whether Control Exists for Financial Reporting Purposes**

38. Public sector entities may create other entities to achieve some of their objectives. In some cases, it may be clear that an entity is controlled, and hence should be consolidated. In other cases, it may not be clear. Paragraphs 39 and 40 provide guidance to help determine whether or not control exists for financial reporting purposes.

39. In examining the relationship between two entities, control is presumed to exist when at least one of the following power conditions and one of the following benefit conditions exists, unless there is clear evidence of control being held by another entity.

*Power Conditions*

(a) The entity has, directly or indirectly through controlled entities, ownership of a majority voting interest in the other entity.

(b) The entity has the power, either granted by or exercised within existing legislation, to appoint or remove a majority of the members of the board of directors or equivalent governing body, and control of the other entity is by that board or by that body.

(c) The entity has the power to cast, or regulate the casting of, a majority of the votes that are likely to be cast at a general meeting of the other entity.

(d) The entity has the power to cast the majority of votes at meetings of the board of directors or equivalent governing body, and control of the other entity is by that board or by that body.
Benefit Conditions

(a) The entity has the power to dissolve the other entity and obtain a significant level of the residual economic benefits or bear significant obligations. For example, the benefit condition may be met if an entity had responsibility for the residual liabilities of another entity.

(b) The entity has the power to extract distributions of assets from the other entity, and/or may be liable for certain obligations of the other entity.

40. When one or more of the circumstances listed in paragraph 39 does not exist, the following factors are likely, either individually or collectively, to be indicative of the existence of control.

Power Indicators

(a) The entity has the ability to veto operating and capital budgets of the other entity.

(b) The entity has the ability to veto, overrule, or modify governing body decisions of the other entity.

(c) The entity has the ability to approve the hiring, reassignment, and removal of key personnel of the other entity.

(d) The mandate of the other entity is established and limited by legislation.

(e) The entity holds a golden share\(^1\) (or equivalent) in the other entity that confers rights to govern the financial and operating policies of that other entity.

Benefit Indicators

(a) The entity holds direct or indirect title to the net assets/equity of the other entity, with an ongoing right to access these.

(b) The entity has a right to a significant level of the net assets/equity of the other entity in the event of a liquidation, or in a distribution other than a liquidation.

(c) The entity is able to direct the other entity to cooperate with it in achieving its objectives.

(d) The entity is exposed to the residual liabilities of the other entity.

---

\(^1\) Golden share refers to a class of share that entitles the holder to specified powers or rights generally exceeding those normally associated with the holder’s ownership interest or representation on the governing body.
41. The following diagram indicates the basic steps involved in establishing control of another entity. It should be read in conjunction with paragraphs 28 to 40.

**Establishing Control of Another Entity for Financial Reporting Purposes**

- **Does the entity benefit from the activities of the other entity?**
  - Yes
  - No

- **Does the entity have the power to govern the financial and operating policies of the other entity?**
  - Yes
  - No

- **Is the power to govern the financial and operating policies presently exercisable?**
  - Yes
  - No

- **Entity controls other entity.**
- **Control does not appear to exist. Consider whether the other entity is an associate, as defined in IPSAS 7, or whether the relationship between the two entities constitutes “joint control” as in IPSAS 8.**

42. A controlling entity loses control when it loses the power to govern the financial and operating policies of a controlled entity so as to benefit from its activities. The loss of control can occur with or without a change in absolute or relative ownership levels. It could occur, for example, when a controlled entity becomes subject to the control of another government, a court, administrator, or regulator. It could also occur as a result of a contractual agreement or, for example, a foreign government may sequester the operating assets of a foreign controlled entity so that the controlling entity loses the
power to govern the operating policies of the controlled entity. In this case, control is unlikely to exist.

**Consolidation Procedures**

43. In preparing consolidated financial statements, an entity combines the financial statements of the controlling entity and its controlled entities line by line, by adding together like items of assets, liabilities, net assets/equity, revenue, and expenses. In order that the consolidated financial statements present financial information about the economic entity as that of a single entity, the following steps are then taken:

(a) The carrying amount of the controlling entity’s investment in each controlled entity and the controlling entity’s portion of net assets/equity of each controlled entity are eliminated (the relevant international or national accounting standard dealing with business combinations provides guidance on the treatment of any resultant goodwill);

(b) Minority interests in the surplus or deficit of consolidated controlled entities for the reporting period are identified; and

(c) Minority interests in the net assets/equity of consolidated controlled entities are identified separately from the controlling entity’s net assets/equity in them. Minority interests in the net assets/equity consist of:

(i) The amount of those minority interests at the date of the original combination (the relevant international or national accounting standard dealing with business combinations provides guidance on calculating this amount); and

(ii) The minority’s share of changes in net assets/equity since the date of combination.

44. When potential voting rights exist, the proportions of surplus or deficit and changes in net assets/equity allocated to the controlling entity and minority interests are determined on the basis of present ownership interests, and do not reflect the possible exercise or conversion of potential voting rights.

45. **Balances, transactions, revenues, and expenses between entities within the economic entity shall be eliminated in full.**

46. Balances and transactions between entities within the economic entity, including (a) revenues from sales and transfers, (b) revenues recognized consequent to an appropriation or other budgetary authority, (c) expenses, and (d) dividends or similar distributions, are eliminated in full. Surpluses and deficits resulting from transactions within the economic entity that are recognized in assets, such as inventory and fixed assets, are eliminated in full. Deficits within the economic entity may indicate an impairment that requires
recognition in the consolidated financial statements. Guidance on accounting for temporary differences that arise from the elimination of surpluses and deficits resulting from transactions within the economic entity, can be found in the relevant international or national accounting standard dealing with income taxes.

47. **The financial statements of the controlling entity and its controlled entities used in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements shall be prepared as of the same reporting date. When the reporting dates of the controlling entity and a controlled entity are different, the controlled entity prepares, for consolidation purposes, additional financial statements as of the same date as the financial statements of the controlling entity, unless it is impracticable to do so.**

48. **When, in accordance with paragraph 47, the financial statements of a controlled entity used in the preparation of consolidated financial statements are prepared as of a reporting date different from that of the controlling entity, adjustments shall be made for the effects of significant transactions or events that occur between that date and the date of the controlling entity’s financial statements. In any case, the difference between the reporting date of the controlled entity and that of the controlling entity shall be no more than three months. The length of the reporting periods and any difference in the reporting dates shall be the same from period to period.**

49. **Consolidated financial statements shall be prepared using uniform accounting policies for like transactions and other events in similar circumstances.**

50. **If a member of the economic entity uses accounting policies other than those adopted in the consolidated financial statements for like transactions and events in similar circumstances, appropriate adjustments are made to its financial statements in preparing the consolidated financial statements.**

51. **The revenue and expenses of a controlled entity are included in the consolidated financial statements from the acquisition date (the relevant international or national accounting standard dealing with business combinations provides guidance on the meaning of the acquisition date). The revenue and expenses of a controlled entity are included in the consolidated financial statements until the date on which the controlling entity ceases to control the controlled entity. The difference between the proceeds from the disposal of the controlled entity and its carrying amount as of the date of disposal, including the cumulative amount of any exchange differences that relate to the controlled entity recognized in net assets/equity in accordance with IPSAS 4, *The Effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates*, is recognized in the consolidated statement of financial performance as the gain or loss on the disposal of the controlled entity.**
52. From the date an entity ceases to be a controlled entity, provided that it does not become (a) an associate as defined in IPSAS 7, or (b) a jointly controlled entity as defined in IPSAS 8, it shall be accounted for as a financial instrument. IPSAS 29 provides guidance on the recognition and measurement of financial instruments.

53. The carrying amount of the investment at the date that the entity ceases to be a controlled entity shall be regarded as the cost on initial measurement of a financial instrument.

54. Minority interests shall be presented in the consolidated statement of financial position within net assets/equity, separately from the controlling entity’s net assets/equity. Minority interests in the surplus or deficit of the economic entity shall also be separately disclosed.

55. The surplus or deficit is attributed to the controlling entity and minority interests. Because both are net assets/equity, the amount attributed to minority interests is not revenue or expense.

56. Losses applicable to the minority in a consolidated controlled entity may exceed the minority interest in the controlled entity’s net assets/equity. The excess, and any further losses applicable to the minority, are allocated against the majority interest, except to the extent that the minority has a binding obligation and is able to make an additional investment to cover the losses. If the controlled entity subsequently reports surpluses, such surpluses are allocated to the majority interest until the minority’s share of losses previously absorbed by the majority has been recovered.

57. If a controlled entity has outstanding cumulative preference shares that are held by minority interests and classified as net assets/equity, the controlling entity computes its share of surpluses or deficits after adjusting for the dividends on such shares, whether or not dividends have been declared.

Accounting for Controlled Entities, Jointly Controlled Entities and Associates in Separate Financial Statements

58. When separate financial statements are prepared, investments in controlled entities, jointly controlled entities, and associates shall be accounted for:
   
   (a) Using the equity method as described in IPSAS 7;
   
   (b) At cost; or
   
   (c) As a financial instrument in accordance with IPSAS 29.

   The same accounting shall be applied for each category of investments.

59. This Standard does not mandate which entities produce separate financial statements available for public use. Paragraphs 58 and 60–64 apply when an
entity prepares separate financial statements that comply with IPSASs. The entity also produces consolidated financial statements available for public use as required by paragraph 15, unless the exemption provided in paragraph 16 is applicable.

60. Controlled entities, jointly controlled entities, and associates that are accounted for as financial instruments in the consolidated financial statements shall be accounted for in the same way in the investor’s separate financial statements.

61. Guidance on the recognition and measurement of financial instruments can be found in IPSAS 29.

Disclosure

62. The following disclosures shall be made in consolidated financial statements:

(a) A list of significant controlled entities;
(b) The fact that a controlled entity is not consolidated in accordance with paragraph 21;
(c) Summarized financial information of controlled entities, either individually or in groups, that are not consolidated, including the amounts of total assets, total liabilities, revenues, and surplus or deficit;
(d) The name of any controlled entity in which the controlling entity holds an ownership interest and/or voting rights of 50% or less, together with an explanation of how control exists;
(e) The reasons why the ownership interest of more than 50% of the voting or potential voting power of an investee does not constitute control;
(f) The reporting date of the financial statements of a controlled entity when such financial statements are used to prepare consolidated financial statements and are as of a reporting date or for a period that is different from that of the controlling entity, and the reason for using a different reporting date or period; and
(g) The nature and extent of any significant restrictions (e.g., resulting from borrowing arrangements or regulatory requirements) on the ability of controlled entities to transfer funds to the controlling entity in the form of cash dividends, or similar distributions, or to repay loans or advances.
63. When separate financial statements are prepared for a controlling entity that, in accordance with paragraph 16, elects not to prepare consolidated financial statements, those separate financial statements shall disclose:

(a) The fact that the financial statements are separate financial statements; that the exemption from consolidation has been used; the name of the entity whose consolidated financial statements that comply with IPSASs have been produced for public use and the jurisdiction in which the entity operates (when it is different from that of the controlling entity); and the address where those consolidated financial statements are obtainable;

(b) A list of significant controlled entities, jointly controlled entities, and associates, including the name; the jurisdiction in which the entity operates (when it is different from that of the controlling entity); proportion of ownership interest; and, where that interest is in the form of shares, the proportion of voting power held (only where this is different from the proportionate ownership interest); and

(c) A description of the method used to account for the entities listed under (b).

64. When a controlling entity (other than a controlling entity covered by paragraph 63), venturer with an interest in a jointly controlled entity, or an investor in an associate prepares separate financial statements, those separate financial statements shall disclose:

(a) The fact that the statements are separate financial statements and the reasons why those statements are prepared if not required by law, legislation, or other authority;

(b) A list of significant controlled entities, jointly controlled entities, and associates, including the name; the jurisdiction in which the entity operates (when it is different from that of the controlling entity); proportion of ownership interest; and, where that interest is in the form of shares, the proportion of voting power held (only where this is different from the proportionate ownership interest); and

(c) A description of the method used to account for the entities listed under (b);

and shall identify the financial statements prepared in accordance with paragraph 15 of this Standard, IPSAS 7, and IPSAS 8 to which they relate.
Transitional Provisions

65. Entities are not required to comply with the requirement in paragraph 45 concerning the elimination of balances and transactions between entities within the economic entity for reporting periods beginning on a date within three years following the date of first adoption of accrual accounting in accordance with IPSASs.

66. Controlling entities that adopt accrual accounting for the first time in accordance with IPSASs may have many controlled entities, with a significant number of transactions between these entities. Accordingly, it may be difficult to identify some transactions and balances that need to be eliminated for the purpose of preparing the consolidated financial statements of the economic entity. For this reason, paragraph 65 provides relief from the requirement to fully eliminate balances and transactions between entities within the economic entity.

67. Where entities apply the transitional provision in paragraph 65, they shall disclose the fact that not all balances and transactions occurring between entities within the economic entity have been eliminated.

68. Transitional provisions in IPSAS 6 (2000) provide entities with a period of up to three years to fully eliminate balances and transactions between entities within the economic entity from the date of its first application. Entities that have previously applied IPSAS 6 (2000) may continue to take advantage of this three-year transitional period from the date of first application of IPSAS 6 (2006).

Effective Date

69. An entity shall apply this Standard for annual financial statements covering periods beginning on or after January 1, 2008. Earlier application is encouraged. If an entity applies this Standard for a period beginning before January 1, 2008, it shall disclose that fact.

70. When an entity adopts the accrual basis of accounting as defined by IPSASs for financial reporting purposes subsequent to this effective date, this Standard applies to the entity’s annual financial statements covering periods beginning on or after the date of adoption.

Withdrawal of IPSAS 6 (2000)

71. This Standard supersedes IPSAS 6, Consolidated Financial Statements and Accounting for Controlled Entities, issued in 2000.
Appendix

Amendments to Other IPSASs

In IPSASs applicable at January 1, 2008, references to the current version of IPSAS 6, *Consolidated Financial Statements and Accounting for Controlled Entities*, are amended to IPSAS 6, *Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements*.

The following is added to paragraph 4(f) of IPSAS 15, *Financial Instruments: Disclosure and Presentation*:

However, entities shall apply this Standard to an interest in a controlling entity, associate, or joint venture that, according to IPSAS 6, IPSAS 7, or IPSAS 8 is accounted for as a financial instrument. In these cases, entities shall apply the disclosure requirements in IPSAS 6, IPSAS 7, and IPSAS 8 in addition to those in this Standard.
Basis for Conclusions

This Basis for Conclusions accompanies, but is not part of, IPSAS 6.

Background

BC1. The IPSASB’s IFRS Convergence Program is an important element in the IPSASB’s work program. The IPSASB’s policy is to converge the accrual basis IPSASs with IFRSs issued by the IASB where appropriate for public sector entities.

BC2. Accrual basis IPSASs that are converged with IFRSs maintain the requirements, structure, and text of the IFRSs, unless there is a public sector-specific reason for a departure. Departure from the equivalent IFRS occurs when requirements or terminology in the IFRS is not appropriate for the public sector, or when inclusion of additional commentary or examples is necessary to illustrate certain requirements in the public sector context. Differences between IPSASs and their equivalent IFRSs are identified in the Comparison with IFRS included in each IPSAS.

BC3. In May 2002, the IASB issued an exposure draft of proposed amendments to 13 International Accounting Standards (IASs) as part of its General Improvements Project. The objectives of the IASB’s General Improvements Project were “to reduce or eliminate alternatives, redundancies and conflicts within the Standards, to deal with some convergence issues and to make other improvements.” The final IASs were issued in December 2003.

BC4. IPSAS 6, issued in May 2000, was based on IAS 27 (Reformatted 1994), Consolidated Financial Statements and Accounting for Controlled Entities, which was reissued in December 2003. In late 2003, the IPSASB’s predecessor, the Public Sector Committee (PSC), actioned an IPSAS Improvements Project to converge, where appropriate, IPSASs with the improved IASs issued in December 2003.

BC5. The IPSASB reviewed the improved IAS 27 and generally concurred with the IASB’s reasons for revising the IAS and with the amendments made. (The IASB’s Bases for Conclusions are not reproduced here. Subscribers to the IASB’s Comprehensive Subscription Service can view the Bases for Conclusions on the IASB’s website at http://www.iasb.org). In those cases

---

1 The International Accounting Standards (IASs) were issued by the IASB’s predecessor, the International Accounting Standards Committee. The Standards issued by the IASB are entitled International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRSs). The IASB has defined IFRSs to consist of IFRSs, IASs, and Interpretations of the Standards. In some cases, the IASB has amended, rather than replaced, the IASs, in which case the old IAS number remains.

2 The PSC became the IPSASB when the IFAC Board changed the PSC’s mandate to become an independent standard-setting board in November 2004.
where the IPSAS departs from its related IAS, the Basis for Conclusions explains the public sector-specific reasons for the departure.

BC6. The IPSASB has departed from the provisions of IAS 27 in that it has decided to retain the equity method as a method of accounting for controlled entities in the separate financial statements of controlling entities. The IPSASB is aware that views on this treatment are evolving and that it is not necessary at this time to remove the equity method as an option.

BC7. IAS 27 has been further amended as a consequence of IFRSs issued after December 2003. IPSAS 6 does not include the consequential amendments arising from IFRSs issued after December 2003. This is because the IPSASB has not yet reviewed and formed a view on the applicability of the requirements in those IFRSs to public sector entities.
Implementation Guidance

This guidance accompanies, but is not part of, IPSAS 6, IPSAS 7, and IPSAS 8.

Consideration of Potential Voting Rights

Introduction

IG1. Most public sector entities do not issue financial instruments with potential voting rights. However, they may be issued by GBEs. Therefore, a government or other public sector entity may hold potential voting rights of GBEs.

IG2. Paragraphs 33, 34, and 44 of IPSAS 6, Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements, and paragraphs 14 and 15 of IPSAS 7, Investments in Associates, require an entity to consider the existence and effect of all potential voting rights that are currently exercisable or convertible. They also require all facts and circumstances that affect potential voting rights to be examined, except the intention of management and the financial ability to exercise or convert potential voting rights. Because the definition of joint control in paragraph 6 of IPSAS 8, Interests in Joint Ventures, depends upon the definition of control, and because that Standard is linked to IPSAS 7 for application of the equity method, this guidance is also relevant to IPSAS 8.

Guidance

IG3. Control is defined as the power to govern the financial and operating policies of an entity so as to benefit from its activities. Paragraph 7 of IPSAS 7 defines significant influence as the power to participate in the financial and operating policy decisions of the investee, but not to control those policies. Paragraph 6 of IPSAS 8 defines joint control as the agreed sharing of control over an activity by a binding agreement. In these contexts, power refers to the ability to do or affect something. Consequently, an entity has control, joint control, or significant influence when it currently has the ability to exercise that power, regardless of whether control, joint control, or significant influence is actively demonstrated or is passive in nature. Potential voting rights held by an entity that are currently exercisable or convertible provide this ability. The ability to exercise power does not exist when potential voting rights lack economic substance (e.g., the exercise price is set in a manner that precludes exercise or conversion in any feasible scenario). Consequently, potential voting rights are considered when, in substance, they provide the ability to exercise power.

IG4. Control and significant influence also arise in the circumstances described in paragraphs 39 and 40 of IPSAS 6 and paragraphs 12 and 13 of IPSAS 7 respectively, which include consideration of the relative ownership of voting rights. IPSAS 8 depends on IPSAS 6 and IPSAS 7, and references to IPSAS 6 and IPSAS 7 from this point onwards should be read as being relevant to IPSAS 8. Nevertheless it should be borne in mind that joint control involves
sharing of control by a binding agreement, and this aspect is likely to be the critical determinant. Potential voting rights such as share call options and convertible debt are capable of changing an entity’s voting power over another entity – if the potential voting rights are exercised or converted, then the relative ownership of the ordinary shares carrying voting rights changes. Consequently, the existence of control (the definition of which permits only one entity to have control of another entity) and significant influence are determined only after (a) assessing all the factors described in paragraphs 39 and 40 of IPSAS 6 and paragraphs 12 and 13 of IPSAS 7 respectively, and (b) considering the existence and effect of potential voting rights. In addition, the entity examines all facts and circumstances that affect potential voting rights except the intention of management and the financial ability to exercise or convert. The intention of management does not affect the existence of power, and the financial ability of an entity to exercise or convert is difficult to assess.

IG5. An entity may initially conclude that it controls or significantly influences another entity after considering the potential voting rights that it can currently exercise or convert. However, the entity may not control or significantly influence the other entity when potential voting rights held by other parties are also currently exercisable or convertible. Consequently, an entity considers all potential voting rights held by it and by other parties that are currently exercisable or convertible when determining whether it controls or significantly influences another entity. For example, all share call options are considered, whether held by the entity or another party. Furthermore, the definition of control permits only one entity to have control of another entity. Therefore, when two or more entities each hold significant voting rights, both actual and potential, the factors in paragraphs 39 and 40 of IPSAS 6 are reassessed to determine which entity has control.

IG6. The proportion allocated to the controlling entity and minority interests in preparing consolidated financial statements in accordance with IPSAS 6, and the proportion allocated to an investor that accounts for its investment using the equity method in accordance with IPSAS 7, are determined solely on the basis of present ownership interests. The proportion allocated is determined taking into account the eventual exercise of potential voting rights and other derivatives that, in substance, give access at present to the economic benefits associated with an ownership interest.

IG7. In some circumstances, an entity has, in substance, a present ownership as a result of a transaction that gives it access to the economic benefits or service potential associated with an ownership interest. In such circumstances, the proportion allocated is determined taking into account the eventual exercise of those potential voting rights and other derivatives that give the entity access to the economic benefits at present.
IG8. IPSAS 29 provides guidance on the recognition and measurement of financial instruments. However, it does not apply to interests in controlled entities, associates, and jointly controlled entities that are (a) consolidated, (b) accounted for using the equity method, (c) or proportionately consolidated in accordance with IPSAS 6, IPSAS 7 and IPSAS 8 respectively. When instruments containing potential voting rights in substance currently give access to the economic benefits or service potential associated with an ownership interest, and the investment is accounted for in one of the above ways, the instruments are not subject to the requirements of IPSAS 29. In all other cases, guidance on accounting for instruments containing potential voting rights can be found in IPSAS 29.
Illustrative Examples

These examples accompany, but are not part of, IPSAS 6, IPSAS 7, and IPSAS 8.

IE1. The ten examples below each illustrate one aspect of a potential voting right. In applying IPSAS 6, IPSAS 7, or IPSAS 8, an entity considers all aspects. The existence of control, significant influence, and joint control can be determined only after assessing the other factors described in IPSAS 6, IPSAS 7, and IPSAS 8. For the purpose of these examples, however, those other factors are presumed not to affect the determination, even though they may affect it when assessed.

Options are Out of the Money

IE2. Entities A and B own 80 percent and 20 percent respectively of the ordinary shares that carry voting rights at a general meeting of shareholders of Entity C. Entity A sells one-half of its interest to Entity D, and buys call options from Entity D that are exercisable at any time at a premium to the market price when issued, and if exercised would give Entity A its original 80 percent ownership interest and voting rights.

IE3. Although the options are out of the money, they are currently exercisable and give Entity A the power to continue to set the operating and financial policies of Entity C, because Entity A could exercise its options now. The existence of the potential voting rights, as well as the other factors described in paragraphs 39 and 40 of IPSAS 6, are considered, and it is determined that Entity A controls Entity C.

Right to Purchase at Premium to Fair Value

IE4. The municipalities of Dunelm and Eboracum own 80 percent and 20 percent respectively of Dunelm-Eboracum General Hospital, a public sector entity established by charter. The hospital is managed by a board of ten trustees, appointed by the municipalities in proportion to their ownership interest of the hospital. The charter permits either municipality to sell part or its entire ownership interest in the hospital to another municipality within the region. Dunelm sells one-half of its interest to the municipality of Formio; however, the sale contract gives Dunelm the right to repurchase Formio’s interest in the hospital at an amount equal to 115 percent of the fair value of the ownership interest determined by an independent valuer. This right is exercisable at any time and, if exercised would give Dunelm its original 80 percent ownership interest and the right to appoint trustees accordingly.

IE5. Although the right to reacquire the ownership interest sold to Formio would involve paying a premium over the fair value, the right is currently exercisable and gives Dunelm the power to continue to set the operating and financial policies of the Dunelm-Eboracum General Hospital, because Dunelm could exercise its right to reacquire Formio’s interest now. The existence of the
Potential right to appoint trustees, as well as the other factors described in paragraphs 39 and 40 of IPSAS 6, are considered, and it is determined that the municipality of Dunelm controls the Dunelm-Eboracum General Hospital.

**Possibility of Exercise or Conversion**

IE6. Entities A, B, and C own 40 percent, 30 percent, and 30 percent respectively of the ordinary shares that carry voting rights at a general meeting of shareholders of Entity D. Entity A also owns call options that are exercisable at any time at the fair value of the underlying shares and, if exercised, would give it an additional 20 percent of the voting rights in Entity D and reduce Entity B’s and Entity C’s interests to 20 percent each. If the options are exercised, Entity A will have control over more than one-half of the voting power. The existence of the potential voting rights, as well as the other factors described in paragraphs 39 and 40 of IPSAS 6 and paragraphs 12 and 13 of IPSAS 7, are considered, and it is determined that Entity A controls Entity D.

**Possibility of Exercise of Rights**

IE7. The federal government of Arandis, in agreement with the state governments of Brixia and Mutina, establishes the University of Pola-Iluro. The University of Pola-Iluro is near the cities of Pola, Brixia and Iluro, Mutina, which are located next to each other on the border between the two states. The federal legislation that establishes the University of Pola-Iluro provides that the federal minister of education has the right to appoint four of the ten governors that manage the university. The state ministers of education of Brixia and Mutina are given the right to appoint three governors each. The legislation also provides that the federal government has ownership of 40 percent of the university’s net assets, with the state governments having 30 percent each. The federal legislation gives the federal minister of education the right to acquire an additional 20 percent of the ownership in the university’s net assets, with the right to appoint an additional two governors. This right is exercisable at any time, at the discretion of the federal minister. It requires the federal government to pay each state government the fair value of the net assets of the university acquired. If the federal government exercises its right, it would own 60 percent of the net assets of the university, and have the right to appoint six of the ten governors. This would reduce the state governments’ ownership to 20 percent each, with the right to appoint only two governors each.

IE8. The existence of the potential right to appoint the majority of the university’s governors, as well as the other factors described in paragraphs 39 and 40 of IPSAS 6 and paragraphs 12 and 13 of IPSAS 7, are considered, and it is determined that the federal government of Arandis controls the University of Pola-Iluro.
Other Rights that have the Potential to Increase an Entity’s Voting Power or Reduce Another Entity’s Voting Power—Example A

IE9. Entities A, B, and C own 25 percent, 35 percent, and 40 percent respectively of the ordinary shares that carry voting rights at a general meeting of shareholders of Entity D. Entities B and C also have share warrants that are exercisable at any time at a fixed price and provide potential voting rights. Entity A has a call option to purchase these share warrants at any time for a nominal amount. If the call option is exercised, Entity A would have the potential to increase its ownership interest, and thereby its voting rights, in Entity D to 51 percent (and dilute Entity B’s interest to 23 percent and Entity C’s interest to 26 percent).

IE10. Although the share warrants are not owned by Entity A, they are considered in assessing control because they are currently exercisable by Entities B and C. Normally, if an action (e.g., purchase or exercise of another right) is required before an entity has ownership of a potential voting right, the potential voting right is not regarded as held by the entity. However, the share warrants are, in substance, held by Entity A, because the terms of the call option are designed to ensure Entity A’s position. The combination of the call option and share warrants gives Entity A the power to set the operating and financial policies of Entity D, because Entity A could currently exercise the option and share warrants. The other factors described in paragraphs 39 and 40 of IPSAS 6 and paragraphs 12 and 13 of IPSAS 7 are also considered, and it is determined that Entity A, not Entity B or C, controls Entity D.

Other Rights that have the Potential to Increase an Entity’s Voting Power or Reduce Another Entity’s Voting Power—Example B

IE11. The cities of Deva, Oxonia, and Isca own 25 percent, 35 percent, and 40 percent respectively of the Deva-Oxonia-Isca Electricity Generating Authority, a public sector entity established by charter. The charter gives the cities voting rights in the management of the Authority and the right to receive the electricity generated by the Authority. The voting rights and electricity access are in proportion to their ownership in the Authority. The charter gives Oxonia and Isca rights to increase their ownership (and therefore voting rights) in the Authority each by 10 percent at any time, at a commercial price agreed by the three cities. The charter also gives Deva the right to acquire 15 percent interest of the Authority from Oxonia and 20 percent from Isca at any time for a nominal consideration. If Deva exercised the right, Deva would increase its ownership interest, and thereby its voting rights, in Deva-Oxonia-Isca Electric Generating Authority to 60 percent. This would dilute Oxonia’s ownership to 20 percent and Isca’s to 20 percent.

IE12. Although the charter gives Oxonia and Isca the right to increase their proportion of ownership, the overarching right of Deva to acquire a majority
interest in the Authority for a nominal consideration set out in the charter is, in substance, designed to ensure Deva’s position. The right held by Deva gives Deva the capacity to set the operating and financial policies of the Deva-Oxonia-Isca Electricity Generating Authority, because Deva could exercise the right to increase its ownership and therefore voting rights at any time. The other factors described in paragraphs 39 and 40 of IPSAS 6 and paragraphs 12 and 13 of IPSAS 7 are also considered, and it is determined that Deva, not Oxonia or Isca, controls the Deva-Oxonia-Isca Electricity Generating Authority.

Management Intention—Example A

IE13. Entities A, B, and C each own 33⅓ percent of the ordinary shares that carry voting rights at a general meeting of shareholders of Entity D. Entities A, B, and C each have the right to appoint two directors to the board of Entity D. Entity A also owns call options that are exercisable at a fixed price at any time and, if exercised, would give it all the voting rights in Entity D. The management of Entity A does not intend to exercise the call options, even if Entities B and C do not vote in the same manner as Entity A. The existence of the potential voting rights, as well as the other factors described in paragraphs 39 and 40 of IPSAS 6 and paragraphs 12 and 13 of IPSAS 7, are considered, and it is determined that Entity A controls Entity D. The intention of Entity A’s management does not influence the assessment.

Management Intention—Example B

IE14. The cities of Tolosa, Lutetia, and Massilia each own 33⅓ percent of TLM Water Commission, a public sector entity established by charter to reticulate drinking water to the cities of Tolosa, Lutetia, and Massilia and a number of outlying towns and villages. The charter gives each city an equal vote in the governance of the Commission, and the right to appoint two Commissioners each. The Commissioners manage the Commission on behalf of the cities. The charter also gives the city of Tolosa the right to acquire the ownership rights of Lutetia and Massilia at a fixed price, exercisable at any time by the Mayor of Tolosa. If exercised Tolosa would have sole governance of the Commission with the right to appoint all the Commissioners. The Mayor of Tolosa does not intend to exercise the right to acquire full ownership of Commission, even if the Commissioners appointed by Lutetia and Massilia vote against those appointed by Tolosa. The existence of the potential voting rights, as well as the other factors described in paragraphs 39 and 40 of IPSAS 6 and paragraphs 12 and 13 of IPSAS 7, are considered, and it is determined that Tolosa controls TLM Water Commission. The intention of the Mayor of Tolosa does not influence the assessment.
Financial Ability—Example A

IE15. Entities A and B own 55 percent and 45 percent respectively of the ordinary shares that carry voting rights at a general meeting of shareholders of Entity C. Entity B also holds debt instruments that are convertible into ordinary shares of Entity C. The debt can be converted at a substantial price, in comparison with Entity B’s net assets, at any time and, if converted, would require Entity B to borrow additional funds to make the payment. If the debt were to be converted, Entity B would hold 70 percent of the voting rights and Entity A’s interest would reduce to 30 percent.

IE16. Although the debt instruments are convertible at a substantial price, they are currently convertible, and the conversion feature gives Entity B the power to set the operating and financial policies of Entity C. The existence of the potential voting rights, as well as the other factors described in paragraphs 39 and 40 of IPSAS 6, are considered, and it is determined that Entity B, not Entity A, controls Entity C. The financial ability of Entity B to pay the conversion price does not influence the assessment.

Financial Ability—Example B

IE17. The cities of Melina and Newton own 55 percent and 45 percent respectively of the interests that carry voting rights of MN Broadcasting Authority, a public sector entity established by charter to provide broadcasting and television services for the regions. The charter gives the city of Newton the option to buy additional 25 percent interest of the Authority from the city of Melina at a substantial price, in comparison with the city of Newton’s net assets, at any time. If exercised, it would require the city of Newton to borrow additional funding to make the payment. If the option were to be exercised, the city of Newton would hold 70 percent of the voting rights and the city of Melina’s interest would reduce to 30 percent.

IE18. Although the option is exercisable at a substantial price, it is currently exercisable, and the exercise feature gives the city of Newton the power to set the operating and financial policies of MN Broadcasting Authority. The existence of potential voting rights, as well as the other factors described in paragraphs 39 and 40 of IPSAS 6, are considered, and it is determined that the city of Newton, not the city of Melina, controls MN Broadcasting Authority. The financial ability of the city of Newton to pay the exercise price does not influence the assessment.
Comparison with IAS 27

IPSAS 6, Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements is drawn primarily from IAS 27, Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements (2003). At the time of issuing this Standard, the IPSASB has not considered the applicability of IFRS 5, Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations, to public sector entities; therefore IPSAS 6 does not reflect amendments made to IAS 27 consequent upon the issue of IFRS 5. The main differences between IPSAS 6 and IAS 27 are as follows:

- Commentary additional to that in IAS 27 has been included in IPSAS 6 to clarify the applicability of the Standard to accounting by public sector entities.
- IPSAS 6 contains specific guidance on whether control exists in a public sector context (paragraphs 28–41).
- IPSAS 6 uses different terminology, in certain instances, from IAS 27. The most significant examples are the use of the terms “statement of financial performance,” “net assets/equity,” “economic entity,” “controlling entity,” and “controlled entity” in IPSAS 6. The equivalent terms in IAS 27 are “income statement,” “equity,” “group,” “parent,” and “subsidiary.”
- IPSAS 6 does not use the term “income,” which in IAS 27 has a broader meaning than the term “revenue.”
- IPSAS 6 permits entities to use the equity method to account for controlled entities in the separate financial statements of controlling entities.
- IPSAS 6 requires controlling entities to disclose a list of significant controlled entities in consolidated financial statements (paragraph 62(a)). IAS 27 does not require this disclosure. IPSAS 6 includes a transitional provision that permits entities to not eliminate all balances and transactions between entities within the economic entity for reporting periods beginning on a date within three years following the date of first adoption of this Standard (paragraphs 65–68). IAS 27 does not contain transitional provisions.
- IPSAS 6 contains additional illustrative examples that reflect the public sector context.